

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Right track	41.4%	18.3%	58.2%	38.8%	43.0%	39.9%	49.0%	56.3%	41.4%	34.3%	38.4%	34.5%	49.2%	36.3%	34.5%
	Wrong track	58.6%	81.7%	41.8%	61.2%	57.0%	60.1%	51.0%	43.7%	58.6%	65.7%	61.6%	65.5%	50.8%	63.7%	65.5%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strong fav	20.4%	7.9%	35.3%	9.5%	15.9%	24.4%	23.4%	24.8%	26.7%	19.8%	19.7%	12.0%	25.2%	19.8%	12.0%
	SW favorable	33.2%	10.1%	46.1%	37.0%	35.0%	31.6%	43.5%	38.5%	31.8%	34.0%	33.2%	24.9%	37.2%	33.6%	24.9%
	Favorable	53.6%	18.0%	81.5%	46.5%	50.9%	56.0%	66.9%	63.3%	58.5%	53.8%	53.0%	36.9%	62.3%	53.4%	36.9%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	79.9%	16.0%	47.6%	45.2%	41.3%	26.3%	31.5%	37.0%	43.7%	45.7%	61.5%	32.3%	44.7%	61.5%
	SW unfav	15.4%	19.6%	9.4%	20.9%	16.1%	14.9%	12.5%	21.8%	15.5%	14.7%	13.2%	14.2%	17.4%	14.0%	14.2%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	60.4%	6.6%	26.8%	29.1%	26.4%	13.8%	9.7%	21.5%	29.0%	32.5%	47.3%	15.0%	30.7%	47.3%
	No opinion	2.5%	2.0%	2.2%	3.6%	2.6%	2.4%	5.3%	3.8%	2.2%	2.5%	1.3%	1.6%	3.6%	1.9%	1.6%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	2.3%	1.3%	0.3%	1.5%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strong fav	9.1%	3.9%	14.8%	5.6%	10.1%	8.3%	9.1%	13.1%	9.7%	7.2%	9.2%	7.1%	10.9%	8.2%	7.1%
	SW favorable	34.5%	18.0%	46.9%	31.9%	35.5%	33.5%	30.7%	39.8%	33.4%	35.7%	34.7%	31.5%	35.4%	35.2%	31.5%
	Favorable	43.6%	21.8%	61.7%	37.6%	45.6%	41.8%	39.9%	52.9%	43.1%	42.9%	43.9%	38.6%	46.3%	43.4%	38.6%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	68.0%	25.1%	44.0%	43.8%	41.6%	22.9%	28.5%	38.1%	44.5%	50.6%	57.9%	30.8%	47.5%	57.9%
	SW unfav	23.3%	31.6%	18.1%	22.9%	24.4%	22.4%	17.0%	20.1%	18.0%	26.0%	23.5%	30.2%	18.6%	24.8%	30.2%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	36.4%	7.0%	21.0%	19.5%	19.2%	5.9%	8.5%	20.0%	18.6%	27.1%	27.7%	12.1%	22.7%	27.7%
	No opinion	11.6%	9.8%	11.8%	13.1%	8.7%	14.2%	27.5%	16.6%	13.8%	12.1%	5.6%	3.5%	18.0%	8.9%	3.5%
	Not heard of	2.2%	0.3%	1.4%	5.4%	1.9%	2.4%	9.8%	2.0%	5.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strong fav	4.2%	1.6%	6.8%	2.6%	5.7%	2.8%	6.8%	9.2%	7.0%	3.1%	0.0%	1.3%	7.8%	1.6%	1.3%
	SW favorable	22.5%	12.0%	31.1%	19.8%	22.4%	22.6%	28.4%	31.6%	23.9%	19.4%	15.6%	20.0%	28.1%	17.5%	20.0%
	Favorable	26.7%	13.6%	38.0%	22.4%	28.1%	25.4%	35.2%	40.8%	30.9%	22.5%	15.6%	21.3%	35.9%	19.1%	21.3%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	79.0%	48.2%	62.2%	63.4%	58.7%	36.2%	43.2%	54.5%	68.0%	73.7%	74.1%	45.8%	70.8%	74.1%
	SW unfav	33.9%	35.3%	34.3%	31.7%	31.4%	36.1%	27.6%	31.8%	33.7%	36.3%	33.2%	36.9%	31.6%	34.8%	36.9%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	43.6%	13.9%	30.5%	31.9%	22.6%	8.6%	11.3%	20.8%	31.8%	40.4%	37.1%	14.2%	36.0%	37.1%
	No opinion	9.6%	6.0%	11.2%	10.9%	6.0%	12.9%	23.1%	11.4%	10.2%	7.7%	9.4%	3.6%	13.6%	8.5%	3.6%
	Not heard of	2.8%	1.4%	2.6%	4.5%	2.5%	3.0%	5.5%	4.6%	4.3%	1.8%	1.3%	1.0%	4.7%	1.6%	1.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strong fav	11.8%	1.7%	23.4%	3.6%	11.4%	12.1%	17.5%	19.6%	12.7%	8.4%	8.1%	8.1%	16.6%	8.3%	8.1%
	SW favorable	32.6%	12.2%	49.5%	27.1%	31.4%	33.7%	38.6%	38.1%	35.6%	30.7%	36.3%	22.0%	37.3%	33.4%	22.0%
	Favorable	44.4%	13.9%	72.9%	30.7%	42.7%	45.8%	56.1%	57.8%	48.3%	39.1%	44.4%	30.2%	53.9%	41.7%	30.2%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	83.0%	22.3%	51.8%	49.8%	46.2%	26.9%	34.4%	40.3%	54.3%	52.1%	64.7%	34.8%	53.2%	64.7%
	SW unfav	22.3%	25.3%	17.8%	26.4%	20.8%	23.7%	17.2%	21.4%	22.6%	27.0%	21.8%	21.5%	20.9%	24.4%	21.5%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	57.7%	4.5%	25.4%	29.0%	22.6%	9.6%	12.9%	17.7%	27.3%	30.3%	43.2%	13.9%	28.8%	43.2%
	No opinion	6.0%	3.1%	4.2%	12.1%	5.8%	6.2%	9.8%	4.9%	10.0%	5.7%	2.8%	5.2%	7.9%	4.3%	5.2%
	Not heard of	1.7%	0.0%	0.6%	5.3%	1.7%	1.7%	7.3%	2.9%	1.4%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%	3.4%	0.8%	0.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Favorable/Unfavorable:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
Republican Party	Strong fav	6.9%	14.1%	3.2%	5.2%	9.1%	4.9%	4.3%	9.0%	7.3%	10.1%	6.5%	3.7%	7.3%	8.4%	3.7%
	SW favorable	22.9%	50.5%	8.8%	16.0%	24.7%	21.2%	23.0%	21.6%	22.3%	19.6%	19.0%	30.0%	22.2%	19.3%	30.0%
	Favorable	29.8%	64.6%	12.0%	21.2%	33.8%	26.1%	27.3%	30.6%	29.6%	29.8%	25.6%	33.7%	29.5%	27.7%	33.7%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	34.1%	81.0%	63.8%	60.0%	65.1%	49.6%	61.5%	57.4%	65.9%	69.4%	65.1%	57.3%	67.6%	65.1%
	SW unfav	26.8%	28.0%	23.1%	31.5%	24.8%	28.6%	21.1%	26.6%	27.9%	23.7%	25.5%	32.2%	25.9%	24.6%	32.2%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	6.1%	57.9%	32.2%	35.2%	36.6%	28.4%	34.9%	29.5%	42.2%	43.9%	32.9%	31.5%	43.1%	32.9%
	No opinion	5.8%	1.3%	5.4%	11.3%	4.6%	6.9%	16.1%	5.6%	10.7%	2.7%	5.1%	1.2%	9.9%	3.9%	1.2%
	Not heard of	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	3.7%	1.6%	1.8%	7.0%	2.3%	2.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	35.1%	37.5%	36.0%	34.2%	38.3%	32.3%	37.5%	33.3%	40.7%	44.3%	29.6%	34.8%	42.5%	29.6%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	5.9%	13.9%	9.9%	9.5%	11.4%	20.9%	8.2%	7.5%	10.5%	9.4%	10.8%	10.8%	10.0%	10.8%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	20.5%	14.9%	20.8%	19.2%	17.2%	14.6%	12.1%	19.5%	17.5%	15.3%	26.2%	15.4%	16.4%	26.2%
	Taxes	6.7%	9.3%	3.1%	9.6%	8.3%	5.2%	4.9%	10.3%	4.5%	6.9%	5.1%	7.2%	6.9%	6.0%	7.2%
	Immigration	7.7%	14.8%	5.0%	4.5%	7.1%	8.3%	6.3%	7.3%	7.5%	7.2%	4.9%	11.6%	7.1%	6.1%	11.6%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	3.0%	6.5%	4.1%	3.6%	5.9%	3.3%	5.4%	8.3%	4.7%	3.5%	3.8%	6.0%	4.1%	3.8%
	Health care	5.9%	3.0%	8.6%	4.6%	6.0%	5.8%	11.2%	5.2%	10.5%	6.4%	3.3%	2.6%	8.5%	4.9%	2.6%
	Gas prices	4.0%	3.4%	2.9%	6.3%	4.8%	3.2%	5.2%	6.0%	5.6%	1.4%	4.2%	2.7%	5.7%	2.8%	2.7%
	Energy costs	1.3%	1.1%	1.3%	1.5%	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%	1.2%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1.8%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	0.8%	2.4%	0.6%	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.3%	1.6%	1.5%	1.1%	1.6%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	1.2%	1.7%	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%	2.2%	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	1.7%	1.4%	1.1%	1.7%
	Something else	1.8%	1.8%	2.2%	1.1%	1.9%	1.7%	0.7%	0.6%	1.7%	2.1%	5.0%	0.4%	1.0%	3.5%	0.4%
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A lot better	3.3%	2.3%	4.5%	2.2%	5.5%	1.2%	4.9%	8.6%	4.1%	2.3%	1.1%	0.4%	6.1%	1.7%	0.4%
	A little better	27.1%	11.1%	38.0%	26.7%	27.5%	26.8%	25.9%	29.2%	24.8%	25.6%	27.7%	28.6%	26.8%	26.6%	28.6%
	Better	30.4%	13.5%	42.5%	28.9%	33.0%	28.1%	30.8%	37.7%	28.9%	27.8%	28.8%	29.0%	32.9%	28.3%	29.0%
	Staying the same	35.0%	34.1%	35.1%	35.7%	33.9%	35.9%	41.9%	39.9%	42.3%	30.7%	29.7%	30.7%	41.2%	30.2%	30.7%
	Worse	34.6%	52.4%	22.4%	35.4%	33.1%	36.0%	27.3%	22.4%	28.9%	41.5%	41.5%	40.3%	25.9%	41.5%	40.3%
	A little worse	21.8%	29.3%	16.7%	22.0%	21.2%	22.4%	21.6%	16.3%	14.9%	22.6%	25.6%	27.5%	17.0%	24.1%	27.5%
	A lot worse	12.8%	23.1%	5.7%	13.4%	11.9%	13.6%	5.7%	6.1%	14.0%	18.8%	15.9%	12.8%	8.9%	17.4%	12.8%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A lot better	3.6%	2.4%	4.4%	3.5%	5.0%	2.3%	2.3%	8.7%	6.4%	2.0%	2.1%	0.6%	6.4%	2.0%	0.6%
	A little better	18.6%	11.6%	26.9%	12.6%	21.0%	16.5%	22.8%	34.4%	21.1%	20.0%	11.2%	7.2%	26.9%	15.7%	7.2%
	Better	22.2%	14.0%	31.3%	16.1%	25.9%	18.8%	25.1%	43.1%	27.5%	22.0%	13.3%	7.8%	33.3%	17.7%	7.8%
	Staying the same	44.8%	41.9%	45.7%	46.6%	44.2%	45.4%	51.1%	34.7%	45.4%	40.7%	41.5%	55.8%	42.4%	41.1%	55.8%
	Worse	33.0%	44.0%	23.1%	37.3%	29.9%	35.8%	23.7%	22.1%	27.1%	37.3%	45.2%	36.4%	24.3%	41.2%	36.4%
	A little worse	23.8%	28.0%	18.4%	28.3%	22.6%	25.0%	21.7%	18.9%	17.1%	23.0%	29.0%	30.0%	18.9%	25.9%	30.0%
	A lot worse	9.2%	16.0%	4.7%	9.0%	7.3%	10.8%	2.1%	3.2%	9.9%	14.4%	16.2%	6.4%	5.4%	15.3%	6.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Much better	3.7%	2.9%	4.6%	2.9%	6.1%	1.5%	5.2%	10.3%	7.1%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	8.0%	0.9%	0.0%
	SW better	19.3%	6.8%	30.5%	14.4%	19.0%	19.6%	22.1%	28.2%	15.9%	14.0%	17.5%	19.5%	22.3%	15.7%	19.5%
	Better	23.0%	9.8%	35.2%	17.4%	25.1%	21.1%	27.2%	38.5%	23.0%	15.3%	17.8%	19.5%	30.2%	16.5%	19.5%
	About the same	35.9%	28.8%	38.3%	39.8%	36.6%	35.3%	39.9%	36.1%	35.9%	34.6%	30.9%	39.3%	36.9%	32.8%	39.3%
	Worse	41.1%	61.5%	26.5%	42.8%	38.3%	43.6%	32.9%	25.3%	41.1%	50.1%	51.3%	41.2%	32.9%	50.7%	41.2%
	SW worse	28.7%	39.8%	20.8%	29.4%	25.7%	31.3%	26.7%	17.0%	29.4%	34.1%	33.6%	29.7%	23.8%	33.9%	29.7%
	Much worse	12.4%	21.6%	5.7%	13.4%	12.6%	12.3%	6.2%	8.3%	11.8%	16.0%	17.7%	11.6%	9.1%	16.8%	11.6%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	6.3%	2.8%	4.5%	5.1%	3.5%	2.8%	9.5%	5.4%	2.8%	3.6%	1.7%	6.5%	3.2%	1.7%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	25.5%	18.4%	21.6%	21.0%	21.6%	12.0%	30.1%	27.6%	26.0%	20.7%	10.6%	25.1%	23.4%	10.6%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	8.4%	9.9%	9.3%	9.9%	8.8%	19.4%	8.7%	8.9%	11.4%	8.0%	4.8%	11.2%	9.7%	4.8%
	No	61.3%	56.0%	65.8%	59.6%	59.8%	62.6%	63.0%	44.8%	53.4%	58.3%	62.6%	80.6%	52.1%	60.4%	80.6%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	3.8%	3.2%	5.0%	4.2%	3.6%	2.7%	6.9%	4.7%	1.5%	5.2%	2.3%	5.1%	3.3%	2.3%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Way too high	38.3%	53.9%	27.6%	38.9%	35.8%	40.5%	27.9%	27.1%	38.3%	47.4%	42.4%	40.8%	31.4%	45.0%	40.8%
	SW too high	38.0%	34.3%	43.8%	32.8%	36.2%	39.7%	35.0%	48.8%	37.6%	35.4%	29.7%	40.2%	41.5%	32.6%	40.2%
	Too high	76.4%	88.2%	71.4%	71.7%	72.1%	80.2%	63.0%	75.9%	75.9%	82.8%	72.1%	81.0%	72.9%	77.6%	81.0%
	About right	19.4%	9.3%	23.6%	23.6%	21.0%	18.0%	34.1%	21.7%	22.1%	12.9%	20.9%	13.6%	24.7%	16.8%	13.6%
	Too low	4.2%	2.5%	5.0%	4.8%	6.9%	1.8%	3.0%	2.4%	2.1%	4.2%	7.0%	5.4%	2.4%	5.6%	5.4%
	SW too low	4.0%	2.5%	4.5%	4.8%	6.4%	1.8%	2.1%	2.4%	1.2%	4.2%	7.0%	5.4%	1.9%	5.6%	5.4%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	4.2%	3.1%	4.7%	4.6%	5.0%	3.5%	6.8%	10.7%	6.4%	2.7%	0.8%	0.6%	8.2%	1.8%	0.6%
	B - Good	9.1%	5.7%	12.7%	6.9%	11.2%	7.2%	20.7%	21.8%	11.0%	4.3%	0.9%	3.2%	17.6%	2.6%	3.2%
	C - Average	26.5%	15.0%	37.5%	21.0%	22.6%	30.0%	46.4%	28.4%	30.9%	22.7%	25.9%	16.6%	33.4%	24.3%	16.6%
	D - Poor	31.0%	34.8%	27.7%	32.2%	30.0%	31.9%	21.4%	21.2%	25.7%	33.9%	32.2%	43.2%	22.9%	33.1%	43.2%
	F - Failing	29.2%	41.4%	17.4%	35.3%	31.2%	27.5%	4.8%	17.9%	26.0%	36.4%	40.1%	36.4%	17.9%	38.3%	36.4%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	5.1%	3.0%	6.2%	5.5%	6.9%	3.5%	10.5%	14.4%	6.1%	2.2%	0.8%	0.6%	10.4%	1.5%	0.6%
	B - Good	14.2%	8.6%	20.5%	10.0%	14.6%	13.9%	29.8%	27.8%	17.3%	8.7%	5.4%	6.2%	24.4%	7.1%	6.2%
	C - Average	37.0%	28.5%	44.2%	34.3%	32.7%	40.8%	38.3%	33.1%	41.1%	38.7%	35.5%	36.2%	37.2%	37.1%	36.2%
	D - Poor	25.9%	31.6%	20.9%	28.0%	25.3%	26.5%	14.3%	16.7%	22.4%	23.7%	35.8%	34.7%	18.2%	29.6%	34.7%
	F - Failing	17.8%	28.2%	8.2%	22.3%	20.6%	15.3%	7.1%	8.0%	13.2%	26.8%	22.5%	22.3%	9.7%	24.7%	22.3%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	5.1%	3.0%	6.0%	5.9%	6.0%	4.2%	9.6%	9.0%	11.0%	2.6%	0.8%	1.4%	9.9%	1.7%	1.4%
	B - Good	11.9%	8.8%	17.0%	7.0%	13.9%	10.1%	21.0%	28.1%	11.2%	9.6%	4.1%	4.0%	20.3%	6.9%	4.0%
	C - Average	29.7%	23.0%	33.9%	30.1%	24.4%	34.5%	38.2%	27.8%	33.9%	27.1%	28.7%	27.5%	32.4%	27.9%	27.5%
	D - Poor	30.7%	32.5%	30.5%	29.3%	30.2%	31.2%	21.8%	20.1%	26.3%	28.3%	40.3%	40.6%	22.7%	34.2%	40.6%
	F - Failing	22.6%	32.7%	12.7%	27.7%	25.5%	19.9%	9.4%	15.1%	17.6%	32.5%	26.1%	26.6%	14.7%	29.3%	26.6%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	5.7%	4.2%	5.8%	7.2%	7.4%	4.2%	10.3%	12.5%	10.0%	3.5%	1.4%	0.6%	11.1%	2.5%	0.6%
	B - Good	16.1%	12.1%	20.6%	13.1%	16.6%	15.7%	31.2%	23.7%	16.6%	13.8%	9.4%	10.5%	22.8%	11.6%	10.5%
	C - Average	36.1%	39.5%	36.0%	32.5%	34.6%	37.4%	30.4%	35.4%	37.1%	34.5%	35.8%	39.9%	34.9%	35.2%	39.9%
	D - Poor	26.7%	25.5%	26.4%	28.4%	27.2%	26.2%	18.0%	18.1%	17.1%	26.3%	36.4%	36.6%	17.7%	31.2%	36.6%
	F - Failing	15.4%	18.7%	11.2%	18.8%	14.2%	16.5%	10.2%	10.3%	19.2%	21.9%	17.0%	12.4%	13.5%	19.5%	12.4%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	4.0%	2.4%	4.4%	5.2%	5.8%	2.4%	4.9%	10.4%	6.6%	2.2%	1.5%	0.4%	7.8%	1.9%	0.4%
	B - Good	8.9%	3.4%	13.6%	7.2%	10.6%	7.4%	11.6%	14.0%	11.6%	7.3%	5.4%	6.0%	12.6%	6.4%	6.0%
	C - Average	28.3%	20.3%	33.0%	29.2%	25.2%	31.0%	36.3%	35.5%	32.8%	21.4%	27.4%	22.3%	34.7%	24.3%	22.3%
	D - Poor	32.4%	34.4%	33.0%	29.2%	31.6%	33.1%	34.0%	20.9%	20.6%	37.6%	37.9%	40.3%	23.8%	37.7%	40.3%
	F - Failing	26.4%	39.6%	16.0%	29.1%	26.7%	26.1%	13.1%	19.2%	28.5%	31.5%	27.8%	31.0%	21.2%	29.7%	31.0%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	A - Excellent	4.0%	3.4%	5.0%	3.0%	6.1%	2.1%	3.6%	10.9%	5.0%	2.9%	2.0%	0.4%	7.1%	2.5%	0.4%
	B - Good	6.8%	2.5%	11.1%	4.5%	7.8%	5.8%	12.3%	16.8%	10.7%	2.0%	2.3%	1.3%	13.6%	2.1%	1.3%
	C - Average	36.0%	25.0%	41.8%	38.2%	32.7%	38.8%	66.3%	41.6%	32.2%	34.9%	28.1%	28.0%	43.8%	31.5%	28.0%
	D - Poor	29.7%	34.4%	28.9%	26.0%	27.0%	32.2%	12.5%	18.8%	31.7%	28.5%	35.0%	41.4%	22.1%	31.7%	41.4%
	F - Failing	23.5%	34.7%	13.2%	28.3%	26.3%	21.0%	5.3%	11.9%	20.3%	31.7%	32.7%	28.9%	13.5%	32.2%	28.9%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very good job	3.0%	2.4%	3.5%	2.8%	5.8%	0.5%	5.6%	8.9%	5.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.4%	0.0%
	SW good job	11.5%	5.6%	17.4%	8.4%	11.6%	11.4%	18.9%	22.9%	11.5%	8.4%	8.0%	4.8%	17.8%	8.2%	4.8%
	Good job	14.6%	8.0%	20.9%	11.3%	17.4%	12.0%	24.5%	31.8%	16.8%	9.1%	8.0%	4.8%	24.6%	8.6%	4.8%
	Can't say	26.2%	15.7%	33.5%	25.5%	24.3%	27.9%	38.3%	31.0%	29.9%	21.9%	22.3%	21.1%	32.2%	22.1%	21.1%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	76.3%	45.6%	63.2%	58.3%	60.2%	37.2%	37.3%	53.2%	69.0%	69.7%	74.1%	43.1%	69.3%	74.1%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	23.4%	27.7%	25.2%	23.4%	27.9%	21.4%	18.6%	19.7%	26.7%	27.7%	35.3%	19.7%	27.2%	35.3%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	52.9%	17.9%	38.0%	34.9%	32.3%	15.8%	18.7%	33.5%	42.3%	41.9%	38.9%	23.5%	42.1%	38.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very pos impact	12.9%	7.1%	17.4%	11.8%	14.8%	11.2%	20.9%	18.4%	20.9%	13.6%	6.1%	4.1%	19.9%	9.9%	4.1%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	24.3%	44.0%	30.4%	31.5%	37.5%	45.1%	40.1%	36.9%	30.9%	29.4%	31.5%	40.1%	30.1%	31.5%
	Positive impact	47.5%	31.4%	61.5%	42.2%	46.2%	48.7%	66.0%	58.5%	57.8%	44.5%	35.5%	35.6%	60.0%	40.1%	35.6%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	35.2%	29.9%	37.5%	29.1%	37.5%	27.2%	34.2%	30.5%	36.0%	38.3%	31.9%	31.2%	37.1%	31.9%
	Negative impact	19.0%	33.4%	8.6%	20.3%	24.7%	13.8%	6.8%	7.3%	11.7%	19.5%	26.2%	32.5%	8.8%	22.8%	32.5%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	17.2%	5.9%	11.2%	13.6%	7.9%	2.3%	6.2%	3.7%	7.5%	15.8%	21.1%	4.4%	11.6%	21.1%
Very neg impact	8.4%	16.2%	2.7%	9.1%	11.1%	5.9%	4.4%	1.1%	8.0%	12.0%	10.4%	11.4%	4.4%	11.2%	11.4%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Job creation	21.1%	11.7%	30.3%	16.3%	25.9%	16.8%	35.1%	33.2%	28.6%	16.7%	13.2%	10.2%	31.9%	15.0%	10.2%
	Can't say	52.4%	44.4%	55.4%	56.1%	46.5%	57.7%	56.0%	55.2%	52.2%	55.7%	48.4%	49.1%	54.3%	52.1%	49.1%
	Job loss	26.5%	43.9%	14.3%	27.6%	27.6%	25.5%	8.9%	11.6%	19.2%	27.6%	38.4%	40.7%	13.8%	32.9%	40.7%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Serious threat	37.8%	19.1%	51.2%	36.3%	35.7%	39.8%	39.4%	39.4%	37.2%	38.6%	41.7%	32.5%	38.6%	40.2%	32.5%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	33.9%	33.4%	32.0%	33.9%	32.5%	38.7%	41.6%	32.6%	27.5%	26.8%	34.4%	37.6%	27.2%	34.4%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	41.5%	8.3%	17.3%	23.4%	17.7%	14.5%	11.3%	18.2%	23.7%	21.9%	27.8%	14.5%	22.8%	27.8%
	Can't say	8.6%	5.5%	7.2%	14.3%	7.0%	10.1%	7.4%	7.7%	12.0%	10.2%	9.6%	5.3%	9.2%	9.9%	5.3%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very willing	7.2%	3.4%	9.2%	8.0%	7.7%	6.7%	6.5%	14.7%	7.4%	4.9%	4.3%	5.6%	10.2%	4.6%	5.6%
	SW willing	22.8%	16.0%	30.1%	18.2%	21.8%	23.6%	26.0%	27.3%	28.6%	16.0%	21.3%	20.5%	27.5%	18.6%	20.5%
	Willing	30.0%	19.5%	39.2%	26.2%	29.5%	30.4%	32.5%	42.0%	36.0%	21.0%	25.6%	26.1%	37.6%	23.3%	26.1%
	Can't say	17.1%	10.4%	22.2%	16.0%	17.0%	17.2%	19.0%	23.9%	13.7%	24.0%	11.6%	12.0%	19.0%	17.9%	12.0%
	Unwilling	53.0%	70.2%	38.6%	57.7%	53.5%	52.5%	48.5%	34.1%	50.3%	55.1%	62.8%	61.9%	43.3%	58.8%	61.9%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	21.7%	19.4%	22.6%	19.5%	22.2%	27.0%	17.5%	13.0%	18.9%	20.3%	28.9%	18.0%	19.6%	28.9%
Very unwilling	32.0%	48.4%	19.2%	35.2%	34.0%	30.2%	21.5%	16.6%	37.2%	36.2%	42.5%	33.0%	25.3%	39.3%	33.0%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very pos	6.5%	3.5%	7.6%	7.9%	8.0%	5.1%	9.2%	11.0%	9.8%	6.1%	2.0%	3.3%	10.1%	4.1%	3.3%
	SW positive	25.2%	14.9%	35.8%	18.9%	25.7%	24.7%	30.7%	32.2%	29.4%	22.5%	21.8%	19.1%	30.8%	22.2%	19.1%
	Positive	31.6%	18.4%	43.4%	26.8%	33.7%	29.8%	39.8%	43.2%	39.3%	28.6%	23.8%	22.4%	41.0%	26.2%	22.4%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	26.2%	28.4%	31.9%	24.6%	32.3%	38.8%	34.1%	25.7%	26.9%	25.2%	26.4%	32.1%	26.1%	26.4%
	Negative	29.7%	49.6%	16.7%	29.3%	33.5%	26.2%	13.1%	11.6%	22.2%	33.0%	42.0%	43.8%	15.8%	37.4%	43.8%
	SW neg	14.4%	22.0%	10.3%	13.1%	15.1%	13.8%	9.9%	8.5%	14.1%	9.6%	18.9%	21.7%	10.9%	14.2%	21.7%
	Very neg	15.3%	27.6%	6.4%	16.3%	18.4%	12.4%	3.3%	3.2%	8.1%	23.4%	23.0%	22.1%	5.0%	23.2%	22.1%
I don't know	10.0%	5.8%	11.5%	12.0%	8.1%	11.7%	8.3%	11.1%	12.9%	11.5%	9.0%	7.3%	11.1%	10.3%	7.3%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strongly support	18.9%	10.8%	22.7%	21.6%	24.7%	13.7%	21.9%	15.9%	14.2%	23.3%	18.9%	19.8%	16.6%	21.1%	19.8%
	SW support	30.5%	21.5%	36.3%	30.6%	30.2%	30.7%	31.7%	38.5%	39.6%	23.9%	28.5%	24.0%	37.4%	26.2%	24.0%
	Support	49.4%	32.2%	59.0%	52.2%	54.9%	44.4%	53.6%	54.4%	53.8%	47.2%	47.4%	43.8%	54.0%	47.3%	43.8%
	Can't say	22.0%	19.8%	24.9%	19.8%	17.3%	26.3%	31.4%	21.2%	22.0%	28.7%	15.9%	18.0%	23.8%	22.4%	18.0%
	Oppose	28.6%	48.0%	16.2%	28.0%	27.8%	29.3%	15.0%	24.4%	24.2%	24.1%	36.7%	38.2%	22.2%	30.3%	38.2%
	SW oppose	13.6%	19.2%	9.5%	14.3%	11.9%	15.2%	11.3%	13.6%	9.9%	9.8%	11.7%	22.0%	11.7%	10.7%	22.0%
Strongly oppose	15.0%	28.7%	6.7%	13.7%	16.0%	14.1%	3.7%	10.8%	14.3%	14.2%	25.0%	16.2%	10.5%	19.5%	16.2%	
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	11.9%	19.0%	17.1%	18.2%	14.9%	16.1%	17.5%	12.3%	16.5%	17.9%	17.6%	15.3%	17.2%	17.6%
	SW Yes	13.1%	8.0%	18.5%	9.8%	13.0%	13.1%	19.1%	17.3%	13.0%	10.2%	9.7%	12.3%	16.1%	10.0%	12.3%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	12.4%	19.4%	21.8%	18.7%	17.5%	20.0%	16.8%	21.3%	16.7%	18.4%	16.7%	19.2%	17.5%	16.7%
	Yes	47.6%	32.4%	56.9%	48.8%	49.9%	45.5%	55.2%	51.6%	46.5%	43.5%	45.9%	46.7%	50.5%	44.7%	46.7%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	20.8%	29.3%	25.9%	21.3%	30.1%	31.6%	29.4%	30.0%	31.2%	19.1%	19.0%	30.1%	25.2%	19.0%
	No	26.5%	46.8%	13.7%	25.3%	28.7%	24.5%	13.3%	19.0%	23.5%	25.3%	35.0%	34.3%	19.4%	30.1%	34.3%
	Lean No	6.7%	9.8%	5.8%	5.0%	6.3%	7.1%	5.0%	5.6%	7.8%	5.0%	7.9%	8.1%	6.3%	6.4%	8.1%
	SW No	3.9%	8.2%	1.2%	3.7%	3.1%	4.6%	5.0%	3.9%	3.5%	3.4%	4.9%	3.3%	4.0%	4.1%	3.3%
Strongly No	15.8%	28.8%	6.8%	16.6%	19.3%	12.7%	3.3%	9.5%	12.2%	17.0%	22.2%	22.9%	9.1%	19.5%	22.9%	
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very willing	23.7%	35.6%	17.5%	21.1%	29.1%	19.0%	19.1%	24.4%	25.6%	22.0%	26.9%	22.8%	23.6%	24.4%	22.8%
	SW willing	27.1%	28.0%	25.9%	28.2%	25.7%	28.4%	34.3%	28.6%	23.6%	21.7%	22.0%	34.1%	28.0%	21.9%	34.1%
	Willing	50.9%	63.7%	43.4%	49.3%	54.8%	47.4%	53.4%	53.0%	49.2%	43.8%	48.9%	56.9%	51.7%	46.3%	56.9%
	Can't say	20.8%	20.0%	22.8%	18.3%	19.3%	22.1%	26.0%	23.3%	24.3%	27.8%	12.7%	14.6%	24.3%	20.4%	14.6%
	Unwilling	28.3%	16.4%	33.8%	32.4%	26.0%	30.5%	20.7%	23.7%	26.5%	28.4%	38.3%	28.5%	24.1%	33.3%	28.5%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	9.8%	15.0%	18.0%	10.3%	17.9%	14.5%	11.8%	16.0%	11.1%	15.2%	17.0%	14.0%	13.1%	17.0%
Very unwilling	14.0%	6.6%	18.8%	14.4%	15.6%	12.6%	6.1%	11.9%	10.5%	17.4%	23.2%	11.5%	10.1%	20.2%	11.5%	
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Strongly support	21.8%	38.0%	13.9%	17.2%	27.4%	16.7%	12.6%	20.4%	19.7%	17.4%	25.1%	29.3%	18.4%	21.2%	29.3%
	SW support	24.6%	27.5%	23.3%	23.5%	28.9%	20.7%	27.1%	26.8%	16.8%	24.5%	20.7%	30.4%	23.2%	22.6%	30.4%
	Support	46.3%	65.6%	37.1%	40.6%	56.3%	37.4%	39.7%	47.2%	36.6%	41.9%	45.8%	59.7%	41.6%	43.8%	59.7%
	Can't say	24.0%	19.5%	28.1%	22.1%	16.5%	30.7%	33.9%	23.6%	34.3%	24.2%	17.2%	17.7%	29.9%	20.8%	17.7%
	Oppose	29.7%	15.0%	34.8%	37.3%	27.2%	31.9%	26.4%	29.2%	29.1%	33.8%	37.0%	22.6%	28.5%	35.4%	22.6%
	SW oppose	14.6%	9.6%	16.3%	17.3%	14.1%	15.1%	11.7%	15.3%	18.7%	15.2%	15.3%	11.4%	15.7%	15.2%	11.4%
Strongly oppose	15.1%	5.4%	18.5%	19.9%	13.1%	16.8%	14.7%	13.9%	10.4%	18.6%	21.8%	11.2%	12.8%	20.2%	11.2%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	15.7%	23.7%	10.5%	15.4%	23.7%	8.4%	13.7%	15.2%	11.1%	9.8%	16.8%	24.1%	13.3%	13.2%	24.1%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	20.5%	17.6%	20.2%	25.5%	13.4%	12.0%	19.3%	17.5%	15.2%	22.3%	24.1%	17.0%	18.7%	24.1%
	Increase	34.8%	44.2%	28.1%	35.6%	49.2%	21.8%	25.8%	34.4%	28.6%	25.0%	39.1%	48.2%	30.3%	31.9%	48.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	23.6%	27.0%	23.0%	22.5%	27.1%	26.7%	28.3%	24.8%	18.3%	23.7%	28.1%	26.6%	21.0%	28.1%
	Decrease	29.5%	23.2%	31.5%	33.2%	22.8%	35.5%	41.1%	26.6%	32.4%	42.1%	27.9%	15.4%	32.0%	35.1%	15.4%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	11.4%	13.7%	10.8%	8.7%	15.4%	22.5%	12.7%	9.1%	16.8%	9.6%	7.9%	13.6%	13.3%	7.9%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	11.8%	17.8%	22.4%	14.1%	20.1%	18.6%	13.9%	23.3%	25.3%	18.3%	7.4%	18.4%	21.9%	7.4%
	Can't say	10.8%	9.0%	13.5%	8.3%	5.4%	15.6%	6.5%	10.7%	14.1%	14.6%	9.2%	8.3%	11.0%	12.0%	8.3%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	51.3%	44.0%	53.7%	55.3%	50.7%	51.8%	49.8%	51.5%	47.2%	56.7%	56.0%	46.3%	49.5%	56.4%	46.3%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	29.6%	25.1%	20.6%	26.7%	23.8%	25.7%	23.6%	23.2%	17.7%	21.1%	37.1%	23.9%	19.4%	37.1%
	Increase	76.5%	73.6%	78.7%	75.9%	77.3%	75.7%	75.5%	75.1%	70.4%	74.5%	77.1%	83.4%	73.5%	75.8%	83.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	18.8%	13.5%	11.1%	15.7%	13.3%	15.7%	15.4%	20.1%	15.0%	12.9%	9.7%	17.2%	14.0%	9.7%
	Decrease	5.2%	6.3%	3.0%	7.7%	5.4%	5.0%	5.8%	5.3%	4.6%	4.6%	6.5%	4.8%	5.2%	5.5%	4.8%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	3.4%	1.6%	5.2%	2.6%	3.6%	5.8%	3.7%	3.1%	3.7%	1.9%	1.9%	4.0%	2.8%	1.9%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%	2.4%	2.9%	1.5%	0.0%	1.6%	1.5%	0.9%	4.6%	2.9%	1.2%	2.7%	2.9%
	Can't say	3.9%	1.4%	4.7%	5.4%	1.6%	6.0%	3.0%	4.3%	4.9%	6.0%	3.4%	2.0%	4.2%	4.7%	2.0%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	42.7%	35.2%	44.1%	48.5%	40.0%	45.1%	36.0%	33.2%	46.1%	46.3%	50.5%	41.5%	38.6%	48.3%	41.5%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	29.9%	29.8%	22.8%	30.6%	25.5%	27.9%	40.9%	20.3%	23.6%	20.3%	33.0%	30.4%	22.0%	33.0%
	Increase	70.7%	65.1%	74.0%	71.3%	70.6%	70.7%	63.9%	74.1%	66.4%	69.9%	70.8%	74.5%	69.0%	70.3%	74.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	20.6%	16.3%	16.2%	18.4%	16.7%	24.4%	14.8%	19.6%	18.2%	15.9%	15.8%	18.8%	17.1%	15.8%
	Decrease	7.4%	10.4%	5.7%	6.9%	9.1%	5.9%	7.0%	7.4%	6.8%	5.2%	9.9%	7.8%	7.1%	7.5%	7.8%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	5.0%	2.6%	3.2%	4.7%	2.4%	3.9%	4.3%	5.4%	1.6%	4.0%	2.5%	4.6%	2.8%	2.5%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	5.4%	3.1%	3.7%	4.4%	3.5%	3.1%	3.1%	1.4%	3.6%	6.0%	5.3%	2.5%	4.8%	5.3%
	Can't say	4.4%	3.9%	4.0%	5.6%	1.9%	6.7%	4.6%	3.6%	7.1%	6.7%	3.4%	1.9%	5.2%	5.1%	1.9%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	30.1%	30.6%	29.1%	31.1%	32.9%	27.5%	20.0%	28.7%	33.0%	33.4%	30.2%	30.7%	28.3%	31.8%	30.7%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	38.6%	35.5%	33.3%	38.8%	33.1%	36.4%	32.1%	33.5%	29.2%	34.8%	46.4%	33.6%	32.0%	46.4%
	Increase	65.9%	69.3%	64.6%	64.3%	71.7%	60.7%	56.5%	60.8%	66.5%	62.6%	65.0%	77.1%	61.9%	63.8%	77.1%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	21.2%	22.7%	22.9%	21.8%	22.7%	31.4%	24.0%	21.5%	22.6%	21.8%	17.8%	24.7%	22.2%	17.8%
	Decrease	4.8%	3.2%	5.8%	4.9%	3.2%	6.2%	8.6%	7.3%	4.8%	4.4%	4.0%	2.2%	6.6%	4.2%	2.2%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	2.5%	4.6%	3.8%	2.3%	5.1%	6.2%	6.7%	3.0%	3.5%	3.0%	1.8%	5.3%	3.2%	1.8%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%	1.7%	0.9%	1.1%	0.4%	1.4%	1.0%	0.4%
	Can't say	7.0%	6.2%	7.0%	7.9%	3.2%	10.4%	3.6%	7.9%	7.3%	10.4%	9.2%	3.0%	6.7%	9.8%	3.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	20.0%	27.9%	15.7%	18.3%	26.9%	13.7%	13.8%	15.2%	16.8%	19.7%	25.6%	24.3%	15.5%	22.6%	24.3%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	32.5%	31.3%	28.3%	35.2%	26.9%	26.7%	29.7%	35.5%	19.3%	30.4%	40.3%	31.1%	24.8%	40.3%
	Increase	50.8%	60.4%	47.0%	46.6%	62.2%	40.6%	40.5%	44.8%	52.3%	38.9%	56.1%	64.6%	46.6%	47.4%	64.6%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	23.8%	27.5%	28.5%	21.6%	31.2%	33.4%	25.9%	26.5%	31.7%	25.5%	21.2%	27.9%	28.6%	21.2%
	Decrease	10.1%	6.0%	12.3%	10.9%	10.4%	9.8%	15.6%	18.1%	6.4%	13.3%	8.0%	3.0%	13.2%	10.7%	3.0%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	4.3%	8.5%	5.9%	7.1%	6.1%	7.9%	12.1%	4.6%	9.2%	6.1%	1.2%	8.4%	7.6%	1.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	1.7%	3.8%	5.0%	3.3%	3.7%	7.7%	6.0%	1.7%	4.2%	1.9%	1.8%	4.8%	3.1%	1.8%
	Can't say	12.4%	9.8%	13.2%	14.0%	5.8%	18.4%	10.5%	11.1%	14.8%	16.0%	10.5%	11.2%	12.3%	13.3%	11.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Greatly increase	8.5%	11.3%	7.3%	7.4%	10.6%	6.6%	6.3%	12.1%	10.6%	8.2%	5.6%	7.6%	10.2%	7.0%	7.6%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	19.0%	14.1%	9.2%	14.3%	14.1%	11.1%	21.3%	11.5%	11.1%	10.2%	17.6%	15.4%	10.7%	17.6%
	Increase	22.7%	30.2%	21.4%	16.6%	24.9%	20.7%	17.5%	33.5%	22.1%	19.3%	15.8%	25.2%	25.7%	17.6%	25.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	34.6%	22.8%	22.6%	24.8%	27.5%	25.1%	24.0%	31.2%	27.8%	24.4%	24.9%	26.9%	26.1%	24.9%
	Decrease	41.6%	29.7%	45.7%	47.6%	46.6%	37.1%	48.1%	35.1%	32.6%	42.7%	50.0%	42.3%	37.1%	46.3%	42.3%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	14.7%	19.5%	23.7%	23.0%	15.9%	26.5%	16.8%	14.7%	17.8%	18.3%	23.1%	18.2%	18.1%	23.1%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	15.1%	26.2%	23.9%	23.6%	21.2%	21.5%	18.3%	18.0%	24.9%	31.7%	19.2%	18.9%	28.3%	19.2%
	Can't say	9.6%	5.4%	10.1%	13.2%	3.8%	14.8%	9.3%	7.4%	14.1%	10.1%	9.7%	7.6%	10.3%	9.9%	7.6%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes	13.2%	13.0%	14.3%	11.6%	13.4%	13.0%	22.1%	20.1%	18.6%	10.0%	8.0%	6.9%	20.0%	9.0%	6.9%
	No	86.8%	87.0%	85.7%	88.4%	86.6%	87.0%	77.9%	79.9%	81.4%	90.0%	92.0%	93.1%	80.0%	91.0%	93.1%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes, positive	12.9%	9.8%	15.8%	11.5%	16.4%	9.7%	16.4%	32.8%	15.3%	7.9%	7.5%	2.5%	22.7%	7.7%	2.5%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	20.5%	20.2%	18.7%	20.3%	19.4%	27.5%	15.9%	28.8%	22.8%	21.6%	9.3%	23.3%	22.2%	9.3%
	No, no change	67.2%	69.8%	64.0%	69.8%	63.2%	70.8%	56.0%	51.2%	55.9%	69.3%	70.8%	88.2%	54.0%	70.1%	88.2%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes	32.3%	33.2%	30.2%	34.5%	31.8%	32.7%	57.2%	41.5%	40.8%	35.6%	27.2%	9.1%	44.8%	31.5%	9.1%
	No	67.7%	66.8%	69.8%	65.5%	68.2%	67.3%	42.8%	58.5%	59.2%	64.4%	72.8%	90.9%	55.2%	68.5%	90.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Party			Gender		Age						Age			
		Rep	Dem	NPP/Oth	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	18-44	45-64	65+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Marital status	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Married	43.2%	50.6%	40.8%	39.1%	46.8%	39.9%	12.2%	43.5%	45.5%	40.9%	52.8%	49.0%	37.1%	46.8%	49.0%
	Single	32.7%	23.2%	35.4%	38.8%	36.0%	29.8%	87.8%	50.6%	39.4%	27.6%	15.5%	7.9%	54.9%	21.7%	7.9%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	14.3%	14.2%	12.5%	10.1%	17.1%	0.0%	1.1%	7.9%	19.4%	23.2%	21.7%	3.4%	21.3%	21.7%
	Widowed	6.3%	9.4%	4.7%	5.8%	3.1%	9.3%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%	4.6%	4.6%	19.7%	1.0%	4.6%	19.7%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	2.6%	5.0%	3.8%	4.0%	3.9%	0.0%	4.3%	5.2%	7.5%	3.9%	1.7%	3.7%	5.7%	1.7%
Children	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes	55.9%	63.8%	52.2%	53.4%	51.1%	60.2%	15.1%	45.3%	62.0%	59.4%	61.6%	70.4%	44.6%	60.5%	70.4%
	No	44.1%	36.2%	47.8%	46.6%	48.9%	39.8%	84.9%	54.7%	38.0%	40.6%	38.4%	29.6%	55.4%	39.5%	29.6%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Very conservative	13.0%	28.3%	6.2%	7.6%	16.9%	9.5%	6.3%	10.1%	12.2%	10.9%	13.6%	20.1%	10.0%	12.3%	20.1%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	48.0%	15.6%	18.7%	25.6%	26.0%	20.2%	19.0%	26.0%	30.3%	23.9%	31.5%	21.8%	27.2%	31.5%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	7.9%	34.8%	16.4%	19.9%	24.0%	19.4%	24.6%	23.2%	23.1%	26.5%	15.8%	22.9%	24.8%	15.8%
	Very liberal	11.5%	0.7%	20.6%	8.4%	8.2%	14.4%	23.2%	11.6%	12.0%	12.4%	9.1%	7.1%	14.4%	10.8%	7.1%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	11.1%	16.8%	38.5%	22.7%	19.5%	22.0%	26.0%	14.9%	20.6%	20.1%	22.2%	21.0%	20.4%	22.2%
	Libertarian	2.8%	3.6%	1.9%	3.4%	2.4%	3.1%	3.8%	6.5%	1.1%	0.7%	4.7%	0.9%	3.9%	2.7%	0.9%
	Other	3.8%	0.3%	4.0%	7.1%	4.3%	3.4%	5.3%	2.3%	10.5%	2.0%	2.1%	2.4%	6.0%	2.0%	2.4%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	2.2%	43.1%	8.4%	18.4%	25.0%	27.9%	26.0%	27.7%	22.1%	20.0%	13.1%	27.1%	21.0%	13.1%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	3.2%	40.4%	13.2%	21.5%	22.9%	24.5%	27.3%	23.3%	18.2%	22.2%	19.9%	25.2%	20.2%	19.9%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	1.5%	6.7%	15.4%	7.1%	7.9%	4.0%	7.3%	8.6%	7.3%	8.8%	7.7%	7.0%	8.0%	7.7%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	10.6%	5.2%	24.8%	12.4%	11.8%	22.3%	15.7%	7.2%	16.1%	9.6%	6.8%	14.1%	12.9%	6.8%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	15.8%	2.3%	10.8%	8.5%	8.6%	4.1%	6.8%	8.3%	6.4%	6.9%	15.2%	6.7%	6.7%	15.2%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	40.7%	1.3%	7.9%	16.6%	12.7%	6.6%	8.7%	14.3%	14.7%	15.9%	21.5%	10.3%	15.3%	21.5%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	24.9%	0.2%	1.9%	8.2%	7.6%	2.9%	4.1%	6.5%	10.1%	7.3%	12.5%	4.7%	8.7%	12.5%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	1.0%	0.7%	17.6%	7.4%	3.6%	7.7%	4.1%	4.0%	5.0%	9.3%	3.4%	4.9%	7.1%	3.4%
Union	Sample Size	825	240	362	223	390	434	79	141	128	150	146	180	349	296	180
	Yes	18.8%	16.1%	22.8%	15.3%	16.6%	20.8%	9.9%	21.8%	17.0%	18.4%	21.1%	20.2%	17.3%	19.7%	20.2%
	No	81.2%	83.9%	77.2%	84.7%	83.4%	79.2%	90.1%	78.2%	83.0%	81.6%	78.9%	79.8%	82.7%	80.3%	79.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Right track	41.4%	49.3%	41.0%	34.7%	38.0%	47.6%	39.5%	35.7%	56.3%	55.3%	45.1%
	Wrong track	58.6%	50.7%	59.0%	65.3%	62.0%	52.4%	60.5%	64.3%	43.7%	44.7%	54.9%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strong fav	20.4%	19.2%	27.1%	11.6%	19.6%	27.4%	18.3%	15.8%	51.3%	13.9%	16.2%
	SW favorable	33.2%	41.4%	32.1%	26.5%	30.9%	36.4%	32.3%	29.9%	35.8%	45.5%	40.4%
	Favorable	53.6%	60.6%	59.1%	38.2%	50.4%	63.7%	50.6%	45.7%	87.2%	59.4%	56.6%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	33.8%	37.3%	60.2%	48.3%	32.1%	46.3%	52.1%	11.5%	33.1%	31.4%
	SW unfav	15.4%	16.7%	16.5%	15.3%	12.1%	17.1%	14.9%	14.9%	4.3%	21.6%	18.7%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	17.2%	20.8%	44.9%	36.3%	15.0%	31.4%	37.1%	7.3%	11.5%	12.7%
	No opinion	2.5%	3.4%	3.1%	1.7%	1.2%	3.1%	2.4%	1.6%	1.3%	7.5%	7.0%
	Not heard of	0.7%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strong fav	9.1%	12.7%	7.5%	6.7%	9.5%	12.7%	8.1%	9.3%	7.2%	2.4%	0.0%
	SW favorable	34.5%	39.5%	32.3%	30.2%	35.8%	37.7%	33.5%	32.0%	29.1%	42.2%	50.2%
	Favorable	43.6%	52.1%	39.8%	36.9%	45.3%	50.4%	41.6%	41.3%	36.3%	44.6%	50.2%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	32.8%	36.6%	58.5%	50.5%	33.4%	45.4%	49.8%	29.3%	29.1%	30.2%
	SW unfav	23.3%	20.6%	21.0%	29.3%	24.9%	21.9%	23.8%	25.7%	15.4%	17.5%	17.5%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	12.1%	15.6%	29.2%	25.6%	11.5%	21.7%	24.1%	13.8%	11.6%	12.7%
	No opinion	11.6%	11.7%	19.9%	4.6%	4.2%	12.2%	11.4%	8.2%	24.7%	25.4%	14.6%
	Not heard of	2.2%	3.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	1.6%	0.8%	9.7%	0.9%	5.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strong fav	4.2%	9.4%	4.0%	0.8%	0.6%	8.3%	3.0%	2.8%	4.8%	3.8%	0.0%
	SW favorable	22.5%	29.6%	22.1%	12.9%	23.5%	31.8%	19.8%	19.8%	26.2%	18.3%	11.0%
	Favorable	26.7%	39.0%	26.2%	13.7%	24.1%	40.0%	22.8%	22.6%	31.1%	22.1%	11.0%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	48.5%	55.7%	83.1%	64.1%	43.5%	66.1%	69.7%	41.1%	53.3%	73.0%
	SW unfav	33.9%	27.5%	37.3%	36.6%	33.8%	30.0%	35.0%	35.5%	21.0%	34.9%	53.3%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	20.9%	18.3%	46.5%	30.2%	13.5%	31.0%	34.2%	20.1%	18.5%	19.7%
	No opinion	9.6%	8.7%	14.4%	2.5%	10.1%	11.1%	9.2%	6.7%	21.8%	20.5%	7.0%
	Not heard of	2.8%	3.9%	3.8%	0.7%	1.6%	5.4%	2.0%	1.0%	6.0%	4.1%	9.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strong fav	11.8%	15.7%	12.9%	5.7%	10.8%	20.1%	9.3%	8.7%	22.3%	7.7%	0.0%
	SW favorable	32.6%	37.1%	33.9%	23.8%	33.4%	33.7%	32.3%	29.2%	47.0%	45.1%	33.9%
	Favorable	44.4%	52.8%	46.8%	29.5%	44.1%	53.8%	41.6%	37.9%	69.3%	52.8%	33.9%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	36.7%	43.9%	67.2%	50.3%	36.3%	51.4%	57.4%	13.5%	34.7%	45.3%
	SW unfav	22.3%	18.7%	26.0%	23.5%	19.6%	21.6%	22.5%	22.8%	11.1%	23.3%	36.5%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	18.0%	17.9%	43.7%	30.7%	14.7%	28.9%	34.6%	2.4%	11.4%	8.8%
	No opinion	6.0%	7.6%	6.9%	3.4%	5.0%	6.5%	5.9%	4.2%	13.5%	11.5%	11.8%
	Not heard of	1.7%	2.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.6%	3.5%	1.2%	0.6%	3.7%	1.1%	9.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strong fav	6.9%	10.3%	6.4%	7.4%	2.4%	9.1%	6.3%	7.1%	4.0%	3.6%	0.0%
	SW favorable	22.9%	24.2%	19.2%	25.4%	24.7%	18.8%	24.1%	26.9%	4.4%	25.6%	0.0%
	Favorable	29.8%	34.5%	25.6%	32.9%	27.1%	27.9%	30.3%	34.0%	8.4%	29.2%	0.0%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	55.7%	63.3%	65.7%	68.4%	57.0%	64.4%	62.9%	78.5%	58.0%	84.0%
	SW unfav	26.8%	21.7%	28.0%	28.9%	29.5%	26.6%	26.8%	26.4%	15.4%	40.4%	23.9%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	34.0%	35.3%	36.8%	38.9%	30.4%	37.6%	36.5%	63.1%	17.6%	60.1%
	No opinion	5.8%	7.0%	8.2%	1.4%	4.6%	10.6%	4.4%	2.7%	9.4%	11.7%	11.0%
	Not heard of	1.7%	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.9%	0.4%	3.7%	1.1%	5.0%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	36.6%	36.5%	31.0%	41.6%	38.7%	35.7%	34.2%	43.1%	44.4%	30.6%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	8.7%	12.4%	10.6%	9.8%	14.2%	9.4%	9.7%	5.3%	5.2%	22.5%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	16.3%	15.8%	23.0%	19.6%	14.0%	19.3%	19.4%	21.3%	18.3%	16.4%
	Taxes	6.7%	7.6%	6.4%	9.3%	3.0%	3.8%	7.5%	7.8%	2.7%	8.7%	7.0%
	Immigration	7.7%	6.9%	7.4%	7.3%	10.0%	6.7%	8.0%	9.5%	6.4%	1.0%	0.0%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	4.3%	6.6%	2.5%	4.8%	4.2%	5.0%	5.4%	0.0%	5.5%	5.1%
	Health care	5.9%	7.5%	8.1%	4.1%	1.7%	7.2%	5.5%	5.0%	11.6%	7.4%	0.0%
	Gas prices	4.0%	6.3%	2.8%	2.9%	3.9%	6.2%	3.3%	2.1%	9.7%	5.2%	9.8%
	Energy costs	1.3%	2.3%	0.3%	2.4%	0.5%	1.2%	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	1.1%	1.1%	3.0%	0.8%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%	1.0%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Something else	1.8%	1.3%	1.4%	2.6%	2.3%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A lot better	3.3%	9.3%	1.5%	0.6%	0.8%	8.0%	1.9%	1.4%	4.8%	4.0%	0.0%
	A little better	27.1%	28.0%	25.1%	26.7%	29.8%	28.0%	26.9%	26.2%	35.1%	28.9%	19.6%
	Better	30.4%	37.3%	26.6%	27.3%	30.6%	35.9%	28.8%	27.6%	39.9%	32.9%	19.6%
	Staying the same	35.0%	36.4%	39.4%	30.6%	29.9%	37.8%	34.1%	33.3%	35.1%	37.5%	40.8%
	Worse	34.6%	26.3%	34.0%	42.1%	39.5%	26.3%	37.1%	39.1%	25.0%	29.6%	39.6%
	A little worse	21.8%	15.9%	20.9%	28.2%	25.0%	15.9%	23.6%	23.5%	19.5%	24.2%	32.2%
	A lot worse	12.8%	10.4%	13.1%	13.9%	14.6%	10.4%	13.5%	15.6%	5.5%	5.4%	7.5%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A lot better	3.6%	7.6%	3.1%	1.5%	1.0%	6.9%	2.6%	2.3%	7.6%	2.2%	0.0%
	A little better	18.6%	29.3%	21.2%	9.9%	8.1%	26.6%	16.2%	15.6%	21.4%	20.2%	9.7%
	Better	22.2%	36.9%	24.2%	11.3%	9.1%	33.5%	18.8%	17.8%	28.9%	22.4%	9.7%
	Staying the same	44.8%	39.8%	43.5%	49.9%	48.9%	43.5%	45.2%	45.8%	38.1%	42.8%	54.3%
	Worse	33.0%	23.2%	32.3%	38.8%	42.0%	23.0%	36.0%	36.4%	33.0%	34.8%	36.0%
	A little worse	23.8%	16.4%	23.1%	30.8%	28.2%	17.1%	25.8%	25.7%	24.7%	25.9%	31.3%
	A lot worse	9.2%	6.8%	9.1%	7.9%	13.8%	5.9%	10.1%	10.7%	8.3%	9.0%	4.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Much better	3.7%	10.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.4%	6.3%	2.9%	2.5%	4.8%	4.0%	5.1%
	SW better	19.3%	19.3%	20.2%	18.5%	18.6%	23.7%	18.0%	17.4%	28.4%	18.4%	8.3%
	Better	23.0%	30.0%	22.3%	18.5%	18.9%	30.0%	20.9%	19.9%	33.2%	22.4%	13.4%
	About the same	35.9%	37.4%	35.2%	35.6%	35.5%	36.3%	35.8%	33.0%	39.9%	46.0%	59.2%
	Worse	41.1%	32.6%	42.5%	45.9%	45.6%	33.7%	43.3%	47.1%	26.9%	31.6%	27.5%
	SW worse	28.7%	23.2%	29.8%	29.1%	33.9%	22.8%	30.4%	32.5%	21.6%	24.5%	20.0%
	Much worse	12.4%	9.4%	12.6%	16.7%	11.7%	10.8%	12.9%	14.6%	5.3%	7.2%	7.5%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	6.6%	4.4%	3.2%	1.9%	5.8%	3.8%	3.7%	6.8%	2.5%	2.8%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	23.0%	27.2%	18.3%	11.7%	21.6%	21.2%	22.4%	23.9%	10.4%	19.9%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	13.6%	9.4%	4.9%	7.6%	12.1%	8.4%	8.0%	8.4%	8.5%	16.9%
	No	61.3%	51.4%	56.0%	70.8%	74.3%	57.1%	62.5%	61.9%	59.6%	70.9%	60.4%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	5.3%	3.0%	2.8%	4.5%	3.4%	4.0%	4.0%	1.3%	7.7%	0.0%
	Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63
Way too high	38.3%	31.2%	40.2%	41.9%	41.1%	32.8%	39.9%	41.7%	47.2%	25.3%	28.3%	
SW too high	38.0%	37.6%	41.4%	34.4%	36.6%	44.1%	36.2%	35.9%	37.9%	43.2%	22.1%	
Too high	76.4%	68.8%	81.6%	76.4%	77.8%	76.9%	76.2%	77.5%	85.0%	68.5%	50.5%	
About right	19.4%	25.3%	17.7%	15.3%	18.4%	19.5%	19.4%	18.2%	11.3%	29.6%	34.5%	
Too low	4.2%	5.8%	0.7%	8.3%	3.8%	3.5%	4.4%	4.3%	3.7%	1.9%	15.1%	
SW too low	4.0%	5.0%	0.7%	8.3%	3.8%	3.1%	4.2%	4.1%	3.7%	1.9%	15.1%	
Way too low	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	4.2%	8.4%	5.1%	0.6%	0.7%	8.9%	2.9%	2.3%	8.6%	4.4%	0.0%
	B - Good	9.1%	19.3%	9.0%	0.6%	3.9%	16.5%	6.9%	6.1%	12.9%	11.1%	0.0%
	C - Average	26.5%	30.0%	30.3%	12.7%	29.4%	31.4%	25.0%	23.8%	30.6%	28.9%	29.7%
	D - Poor	31.0%	20.6%	30.7%	42.5%	33.8%	25.5%	32.6%	32.8%	23.9%	34.2%	41.1%
	F - Failing	29.2%	21.8%	24.8%	43.6%	32.2%	17.7%	32.6%	35.0%	24.0%	21.3%	29.2%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	5.1%	11.7%	5.0%	0.6%	0.7%	11.1%	3.3%	2.1%	12.5%	7.6%	0.0%
	B - Good	14.2%	23.5%	16.6%	2.8%	9.1%	21.5%	12.1%	11.1%	18.8%	15.2%	10.1%
	C - Average	37.0%	35.9%	39.1%	28.4%	43.9%	38.2%	36.6%	36.0%	36.5%	38.9%	43.8%
	D - Poor	25.9%	14.7%	24.0%	39.2%	30.9%	19.7%	27.8%	27.4%	22.2%	32.7%	33.4%
	F - Failing	17.8%	14.2%	15.3%	29.0%	15.3%	9.5%	20.3%	23.5%	9.9%	5.7%	12.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	5.1%	9.7%	6.0%	1.0%	1.2%	10.6%	3.4%	2.1%	14.3%	7.2%	0.0%
	B - Good	11.9%	22.8%	12.4%	2.0%	6.2%	20.6%	9.4%	9.1%	5.7%	14.6%	7.7%
	C - Average	29.7%	26.9%	34.0%	21.2%	35.3%	33.4%	28.6%	26.7%	33.6%	34.9%	43.2%
	D - Poor	30.7%	20.2%	27.8%	43.4%	37.2%	19.5%	34.0%	35.6%	26.6%	31.2%	23.5%
	F - Failing	22.6%	20.3%	19.8%	32.3%	20.0%	15.8%	24.5%	26.5%	19.8%	12.2%	25.6%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	5.7%	12.1%	6.1%	1.1%	0.7%	10.4%	4.3%	3.7%	10.2%	6.2%	0.0%
	B - Good	16.1%	24.6%	16.5%	6.0%	14.3%	18.5%	15.4%	14.2%	21.5%	23.3%	7.7%
	C - Average	36.1%	31.3%	37.6%	38.9%	37.2%	32.8%	37.0%	36.4%	42.9%	43.2%	22.7%
	D - Poor	26.7%	17.9%	22.3%	39.7%	33.1%	23.5%	27.7%	29.3%	9.6%	20.8%	46.5%
	F - Failing	15.4%	14.1%	17.6%	14.3%	14.6%	14.8%	15.6%	16.4%	15.7%	6.6%	23.1%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	4.0%	9.4%	3.4%	1.0%	0.7%	10.9%	2.0%	1.8%	4.7%	2.3%	0.0%
	B - Good	8.9%	15.2%	7.7%	4.6%	7.0%	8.6%	9.0%	8.9%	19.2%	5.7%	0.0%
	C - Average	28.3%	29.2%	31.9%	20.0%	29.5%	30.2%	27.7%	23.1%	35.9%	48.8%	51.8%
	D - Poor	32.4%	24.0%	31.1%	41.6%	36.6%	29.8%	33.1%	35.6%	24.0%	25.8%	20.4%
	F - Failing	26.4%	22.2%	26.0%	32.7%	26.2%	20.5%	28.1%	30.6%	16.1%	17.4%	27.9%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	A - Excellent	4.0%	9.8%	2.6%	1.1%	1.1%	9.4%	2.4%	2.3%	1.9%	4.4%	0.0%
	B - Good	6.8%	12.9%	7.8%	1.2%	2.3%	9.9%	5.9%	5.0%	7.5%	9.6%	10.1%
	C - Average	36.0%	40.3%	41.8%	22.8%	33.7%	42.4%	34.0%	30.6%	51.6%	41.5%	50.6%
	D - Poor	29.7%	19.0%	28.1%	37.6%	39.5%	23.6%	31.6%	32.0%	26.9%	32.1%	29.8%
	F - Failing	23.5%	18.1%	19.7%	37.3%	23.4%	14.7%	26.2%	30.0%	12.1%	12.4%	9.5%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very good job	3.0%	10.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
	SW good job	11.5%	18.4%	12.3%	2.7%	10.0%	17.0%	9.9%	10.0%	11.0%	9.3%	7.8%
	Good job	14.6%	28.6%	13.1%	2.7%	10.0%	25.0%	11.5%	11.5%	11.0%	13.3%	7.8%
	Can't say	26.2%	31.4%	27.3%	14.8%	28.9%	28.1%	25.6%	22.4%	38.9%	37.2%	35.2%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	40.0%	59.6%	82.5%	61.1%	46.9%	62.9%	66.1%	50.2%	49.5%	57.1%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	14.2%	27.9%	35.7%	27.9%	22.1%	26.9%	27.1%	17.4%	35.9%	17.7%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	25.9%	31.8%	46.8%	33.2%	24.9%	36.1%	39.1%	32.7%	13.6%	39.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total										
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very pos impact	12.9%	23.4%	13.7%	3.4%	6.8%	18.3%	11.3%	10.8%	11.7%	8.9%	27.3%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	35.7%	38.6%	25.9%	35.6%	38.2%	33.6%	32.9%	28.5%	42.6%	34.5%
	Positive impact	47.5%	59.1%	52.3%	29.2%	42.3%	56.5%	44.9%	43.7%	40.2%	51.5%	61.8%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	29.7%	35.1%	28.2%	41.7%	31.0%	34.2%	31.7%	53.0%	40.1%	33.5%
	Negative impact	19.0%	11.3%	12.6%	42.5%	15.9%	12.6%	20.9%	24.6%	6.8%	8.4%	4.7%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	4.0%	6.4%	26.3%	10.6%	6.2%	11.9%	14.2%	0.0%	5.5%	4.7%
Very neg impact	8.4%	7.3%	6.2%	16.2%	5.3%	6.3%	9.0%	10.4%	6.8%	2.9%	0.0%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Job creation	21.1%	37.1%	19.5%	11.1%	12.1%	26.5%	19.5%	18.8%	17.2%	24.4%	26.8%
	Can't say	52.4%	48.7%	59.5%	43.5%	54.4%	57.8%	50.8%	48.0%	58.4%	60.2%	68.5%
	Job loss	26.5%	14.2%	21.0%	45.4%	33.5%	15.7%	29.7%	33.2%	24.4%	15.4%	4.7%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Serious threat	37.8%	39.0%	38.3%	31.4%	42.3%	40.7%	37.0%	35.8%	44.7%	32.6%	57.6%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	38.0%	31.8%	28.5%	33.7%	38.6%	31.5%	32.0%	19.3%	41.3%	20.9%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	16.4%	18.1%	32.8%	16.9%	13.7%	22.4%	26.5%	7.5%	5.9%	9.5%
	Can't say	8.6%	6.7%	11.8%	7.4%	7.1%	6.9%	9.1%	5.7%	28.4%	20.1%	12.0%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very willing	7.2%	10.5%	7.0%	3.9%	6.1%	11.6%	5.9%	5.7%	1.4%	4.0%	24.3%
	SW willing	22.8%	24.6%	23.5%	18.1%	23.9%	19.2%	23.8%	24.9%	14.2%	23.6%	21.8%
	Willing	30.0%	35.2%	30.6%	22.0%	30.0%	30.7%	29.7%	30.6%	15.6%	27.6%	46.2%
	Can't say	17.1%	24.0%	17.7%	7.8%	16.1%	23.2%	15.3%	13.9%	18.7%	18.6%	27.9%
	Unwilling	53.0%	40.8%	51.7%	70.2%	53.8%	46.1%	55.0%	55.5%	65.6%	53.8%	25.9%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	13.9%	21.8%	26.9%	23.0%	19.9%	21.3%	20.9%	19.9%	29.0%	10.5%
Very unwilling	32.0%	26.9%	29.9%	43.4%	30.8%	26.2%	33.7%	34.6%	45.7%	24.8%	15.4%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very pos	6.5%	11.8%	6.6%	2.9%	2.6%	11.4%	5.0%	3.8%	7.8%	7.9%	16.6%
	SW positive	25.2%	32.4%	25.0%	16.9%	24.0%	30.4%	23.6%	23.8%	24.9%	20.6%	26.4%
	Positive	31.6%	44.2%	31.7%	19.8%	26.5%	41.9%	28.6%	27.6%	32.6%	28.4%	43.0%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	29.7%	31.2%	17.9%	34.3%	31.7%	27.8%	25.1%	33.0%	39.6%	41.8%
	Negative	29.7%	17.1%	24.2%	55.3%	29.8%	17.3%	33.3%	38.8%	15.1%	13.0%	8.5%
	SW neg	14.4%	8.1%	12.4%	24.4%	16.3%	11.2%	15.4%	17.3%	12.7%	6.2%	3.9%
	Very neg	15.3%	9.0%	11.8%	30.9%	13.6%	6.1%	18.0%	21.5%	2.4%	6.8%	4.7%
	I don't know	10.0%	9.0%	13.0%	7.0%	9.3%	9.1%	10.3%	8.5%	19.3%	18.9%	6.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy
 Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13
 Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strongly support	18.9%	26.6%	12.3%	22.3%	16.3%	16.7%	19.6%	18.2%	19.8%	16.2%	56.6%
	SW support	30.5%	35.5%	31.6%	23.1%	29.2%	33.2%	29.6%	28.8%	39.7%	36.7%	8.3%
	Support	49.4%	62.1%	43.9%	45.4%	45.4%	50.0%	49.2%	47.0%	59.5%	52.9%	64.8%
	Can't say	22.0%	20.0%	29.5%	13.7%	20.6%	22.2%	22.0%	19.8%	34.5%	27.4%	28.7%
	Oppose	28.6%	18.0%	26.6%	40.9%	33.9%	27.8%	28.8%	33.2%	6.0%	19.7%	6.5%
	SW oppose	13.6%	8.2%	13.5%	16.7%	18.1%	17.4%	12.5%	14.2%	3.8%	8.1%	6.5%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	9.8%	13.1%	24.2%	15.8%	10.4%	16.3%	19.0%	2.2%	11.6%	0.0%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	18.8%	13.1%	17.5%	18.0%	16.3%	16.5%	17.3%	16.1%	4.4%	33.4%
	SW Yes	13.1%	14.9%	13.9%	10.5%	11.8%	16.6%	12.0%	11.4%	10.9%	14.0%	22.0%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	21.4%	16.1%	15.1%	20.0%	17.3%	18.3%	18.3%	18.9%	21.0%	8.7%
	Yes	47.6%	55.1%	43.1%	43.1%	49.8%	50.2%	46.8%	47.0%	45.9%	39.3%	64.0%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	26.9%	33.2%	14.0%	24.4%	29.4%	24.9%	21.3%	35.9%	43.1%	29.5%
	No	26.5%	18.0%	23.7%	42.9%	25.8%	20.4%	28.3%	31.6%	18.2%	17.5%	6.5%
	Lean No	6.7%	4.0%	7.4%	9.3%	6.6%	6.2%	6.9%	7.8%	1.8%	5.8%	0.0%
	SW No	3.9%	2.5%	4.9%	3.9%	4.2%	4.5%	3.7%	3.9%	2.4%	3.7%	3.9%
	Strongly No	15.8%	11.5%	11.4%	29.7%	15.0%	9.8%	17.6%	19.9%	13.9%	8.0%	2.7%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very willing	23.7%	27.5%	19.6%	31.1%	17.8%	23.1%	23.9%	25.7%	18.7%	20.6%	7.3%
	SW willing	27.1%	22.8%	28.8%	29.6%	27.7%	30.9%	26.0%	27.9%	27.2%	20.6%	0.0%
	Willing	50.9%	50.3%	48.5%	60.7%	45.5%	54.0%	50.0%	53.5%	45.9%	41.2%	7.3%
	Can't say	20.8%	25.6%	25.1%	10.9%	16.8%	23.8%	19.9%	17.0%	39.7%	26.8%	20.8%
	Unwilling	28.3%	24.1%	26.4%	28.4%	37.7%	22.1%	30.2%	29.4%	14.4%	32.0%	71.8%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	9.1%	16.3%	12.0%	20.7%	14.1%	14.4%	13.6%	2.7%	24.8%	26.4%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	15.0%	10.1%	16.5%	17.0%	8.1%	15.8%	15.8%	11.7%	7.2%	45.4%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Strongly support	21.8%	20.8%	16.0%	36.2%	18.0%	19.0%	22.6%	25.0%	17.6%	13.8%	4.7%
	SW support	24.6%	26.9%	20.9%	31.4%	20.3%	24.9%	24.5%	26.8%	16.1%	21.4%	0.0%
	Support	46.3%	47.7%	36.9%	67.6%	38.2%	43.9%	47.0%	51.9%	33.7%	35.2%	4.7%
	Can't say	24.0%	22.3%	32.9%	8.9%	26.7%	28.7%	22.6%	18.9%	37.1%	37.2%	30.8%
	Oppose	29.7%	30.0%	30.2%	23.5%	35.0%	27.4%	30.4%	29.2%	29.2%	27.6%	64.5%
	SW oppose	14.6%	15.5%	15.7%	12.2%	14.1%	14.5%	14.6%	12.9%	15.2%	26.3%	19.1%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	14.5%	14.5%	11.2%	21.0%	12.9%	15.7%	16.3%	13.9%	1.3%	45.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	15.7%	17.5%	8.0%	31.9%	9.0%	16.5%	15.4%	16.8%	11.2%	6.9%	17.8%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	21.8%	12.2%	30.4%	15.7%	16.1%	20.0%	21.2%	14.4%	15.1%	21.3%
	Increase	34.8%	39.3%	20.2%	62.3%	24.7%	32.6%	35.4%	37.9%	25.6%	22.0%	39.1%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	24.0%	24.2%	20.5%	32.2%	21.7%	25.9%	23.5%	29.4%	39.2%	33.5%
	Decrease	29.5%	31.7%	37.8%	11.1%	31.6%	37.1%	27.3%	27.5%	26.6%	26.4%	27.4%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	11.5%	17.1%	5.0%	12.6%	14.8%	11.5%	11.0%	10.2%	14.7%	14.6%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	20.2%	20.7%	6.1%	19.0%	22.3%	15.8%	16.4%	16.4%	11.6%	12.8%
	Can't say	10.8%	4.9%	17.9%	6.1%	11.5%	8.5%	11.4%	11.2%	18.4%	12.4%	0.0%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	51.3%	54.4%	49.5%	45.7%	55.9%	46.7%	52.6%	54.7%	41.0%	41.1%	63.7%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	23.0%	21.3%	31.5%	28.3%	25.4%	25.1%	25.0%	25.4%	30.2%	12.2%
	Increase	76.5%	77.4%	70.8%	77.3%	84.2%	72.1%	77.7%	79.7%	66.4%	71.3%	76.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	16.9%	16.2%	14.0%	8.1%	18.7%	13.1%	11.9%	18.0%	18.9%	13.8%
	Decrease	5.2%	4.2%	5.6%	7.0%	4.0%	5.5%	5.1%	5.5%	2.7%	4.7%	3.2%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	2.6%	4.9%	2.6%	1.2%	4.1%	2.8%	2.7%	2.7%	3.4%	3.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	1.6%	0.7%	4.5%	2.8%	1.3%	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
	Can't say	3.9%	1.5%	7.4%	1.7%	3.7%	3.7%	4.0%	2.9%	12.9%	5.1%	7.0%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	42.7%	42.3%	39.8%	37.0%	54.6%	38.2%	44.0%	46.6%	28.0%	30.4%	58.6%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	29.4%	27.5%	32.2%	22.1%	28.4%	27.8%	27.5%	27.8%	34.1%	16.8%
	Increase	70.7%	71.7%	67.3%	69.2%	76.7%	66.6%	71.9%	74.2%	55.8%	64.5%	75.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	19.5%	17.9%	16.9%	14.7%	18.1%	17.3%	15.5%	26.8%	22.2%	24.5%
	Decrease	7.4%	7.0%	6.2%	11.9%	5.4%	9.8%	6.7%	7.2%	6.5%	5.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	4.8%	2.8%	4.6%	1.6%	4.6%	3.1%	2.7%	6.5%	5.5%	0.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	2.2%	3.4%	7.3%	3.8%	5.2%	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Can't say	4.4%	1.8%	8.6%	2.0%	3.3%	5.5%	4.1%	3.2%	11.0%	7.8%	0.0%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	30.1%	34.2%	26.3%	31.2%	29.7%	23.1%	32.2%	33.1%	27.0%	31.9%	24.0%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	33.6%	31.2%	45.6%	36.5%	37.2%	35.4%	37.3%	27.1%	23.9%	42.6%
	Increase	65.9%	67.9%	57.5%	76.8%	66.3%	60.2%	67.6%	70.4%	54.2%	55.8%	66.6%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	24.9%	23.4%	17.7%	21.5%	26.6%	21.0%	19.1%	28.3%	32.1%	18.9%
	Decrease	4.8%	3.9%	7.7%	2.3%	3.7%	7.2%	4.1%	4.1%	2.2%	4.3%	7.5%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	2.7%	6.4%	1.8%	2.9%	5.6%	3.2%	3.3%	2.2%	3.3%	4.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%	0.5%	0.9%	1.6%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	1.0%	3.5%
	Can't say	7.0%	3.3%	11.4%	3.1%	8.5%	6.0%	7.3%	6.5%	15.3%	7.7%	7.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other	
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	20.0%	22.5%	12.1%	32.8%	16.4%	17.9%	20.5%	22.1%	9.4%	19.9%	12.7%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	31.4%	24.5%	40.3%	31.2%	26.3%	32.2%	33.0%	33.2%	28.3%	24.2%
	Increase	50.8%	53.8%	36.6%	73.2%	47.6%	44.2%	52.7%	55.1%	42.5%	48.2%	36.9%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	24.8%	32.4%	17.5%	29.2%	30.8%	25.5%	24.3%	19.7%	35.1%	37.0%
	Decrease	10.1%	16.1%	11.0%	2.9%	7.7%	14.4%	8.8%	9.4%	6.3%	3.7%	14.0%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	11.4%	6.4%	1.4%	5.5%	7.8%	6.2%	6.1%	6.3%	3.7%	14.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	4.7%	4.6%	1.5%	2.2%	6.6%	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Can't say	12.4%	5.3%	20.0%	6.5%	15.5%	10.6%	13.0%	11.2%	31.4%	13.1%	12.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total										
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Greatly increase	8.5%	12.0%	7.8%	8.7%	4.6%	11.3%	7.6%	7.1%	12.8%	7.8%	7.3%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	12.7%	15.2%	16.5%	12.0%	17.2%	13.3%	15.1%	8.9%	6.2%	3.2%
	Increase	22.7%	24.7%	23.0%	25.2%	16.5%	28.5%	20.9%	22.2%	21.7%	14.0%	10.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	25.4%	28.6%	23.9%	25.5%	25.8%	26.3%	26.0%	23.2%	31.8%	23.6%
	Decrease	41.6%	46.8%	32.5%	46.4%	45.1%	33.7%	43.9%	45.0%	30.6%	40.1%	57.8%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	24.2%	13.2%	21.3%	20.6%	17.1%	19.9%	19.9%	19.3%	25.6%	5.1%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	22.5%	19.3%	25.0%	24.6%	16.5%	24.0%	25.1%	11.3%	14.5%	52.7%
	Can't say	9.6%	3.1%	15.9%	4.6%	12.8%	12.0%	8.9%	6.8%	24.5%	14.0%	8.0%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes	13.2%	17.3%	16.7%	8.3%	6.4%	20.7%	11.0%	10.8%	12.7%	9.4%	17.1%
	No	86.8%	82.7%	83.3%	91.7%	93.6%	79.3%	89.0%	89.2%	87.3%	90.6%	82.9%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes, positive	12.9%	26.3%	11.7%	3.3%	6.2%	22.5%	10.1%	9.4%	13.9%	15.1%	2.7%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	24.4%	22.1%	14.9%	14.7%	24.5%	18.5%	17.6%	27.0%	20.0%	15.8%
	No, no change	67.2%	49.3%	66.2%	81.7%	79.1%	53.1%	71.4%	72.9%	59.1%	64.9%	81.6%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes	32.3%	44.4%	40.1%	15.0%	19.6%	47.5%	27.8%	25.9%	31.6%	36.9%	35.5%
	No	67.7%	55.6%	59.9%	85.0%	80.4%	52.5%	72.2%	74.1%	68.4%	63.1%	64.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		18 to 54 / Gender										
		18-54		55		Hispanic/Latino		Ethnicity				
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	White	Black	Asian	Other
Marital status	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Married	43.2%	33.9%	41.7%	63.7%	36.8%	41.3%	43.7%	45.3%	32.0%	38.1%	49.2%
	Single	32.7%	55.8%	39.3%	9.8%	13.0%	45.9%	28.9%	24.8%	41.8%	48.9%	35.3%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	5.1%	10.7%	16.7%	28.4%	8.7%	15.3%	16.5%	14.2%	7.3%	12.8%
	Widowed	6.3%	0.0%	3.7%	7.2%	19.1%	0.6%	8.1%	8.5%	11.9%	4.7%	0.0%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	5.2%	4.5%	2.5%	2.8%	3.5%	4.1%	5.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.7%
Children	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes	55.9%	40.3%	56.1%	65.6%	67.3%	51.7%	57.1%	58.6%	57.0%	44.4%	60.9%
	No	44.1%	59.7%	43.9%	34.4%	32.7%	48.3%	42.9%	41.4%	43.0%	55.6%	39.1%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Very conservative	13.0%	14.4%	6.9%	20.1%	14.1%	9.1%	14.2%	15.6%	8.8%	12.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	23.4%	25.1%	28.6%	27.6%	21.6%	27.1%	28.5%	21.3%	21.4%	24.7%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	20.4%	25.0%	19.1%	22.2%	23.2%	21.7%	21.5%	18.4%	19.5%	37.4%
	Very liberal	11.5%	10.9%	16.1%	4.7%	11.5%	15.8%	10.2%	10.4%	15.5%	5.4%	8.1%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	24.2%	18.2%	20.7%	21.9%	22.4%	20.6%	18.3%	23.5%	37.6%	19.6%
	Libertarian	2.8%	1.8%	3.8%	3.3%	1.8%	2.9%	2.7%	2.9%	0.0%	2.3%	5.1%
	Other	3.8%	4.8%	4.8%	3.6%	0.8%	5.0%	3.4%	2.7%	12.4%	1.7%	5.1%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	24.4%	26.5%	10.4%	22.3%	33.3%	18.5%	15.8%	55.9%	14.9%	8.7%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	24.2%	22.2%	18.0%	24.1%	22.3%	22.2%	19.7%	19.8%	37.7%	38.2%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	5.1%	8.7%	9.7%	6.6%	4.8%	8.3%	7.7%	11.4%	8.0%	15.2%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	15.4%	14.1%	8.5%	7.5%	13.9%	11.5%	11.7%	0.0%	17.2%	16.8%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	6.2%	7.0%	11.4%	11.5%	6.3%	9.2%	10.5%	0.0%	9.6%	0.0%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	11.6%	11.7%	23.3%	14.4%	9.2%	16.1%	19.0%	8.8%	3.4%	4.7%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	6.5%	6.2%	10.3%	10.0%	4.0%	9.0%	10.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	6.6%	3.5%	8.3%	3.7%	6.3%	5.1%	4.8%	4.0%	3.9%	16.4%
Union	Sample Size	825	222	277	168	157	188	637	501	48	63	24
	Yes	18.8%	15.6%	19.3%	17.8%	23.6%	21.9%	17.9%	17.8%	27.4%	12.9%	13.9%
	No	81.2%	84.4%	80.7%	82.2%	76.4%	78.1%	82.1%	82.2%	72.6%	87.1%	86.1%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment								Education				Education	
		FT White		FT Blue		Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/		Non-Coll	Coll+
		Collar	Collar	A.A/Tech	Coll Grad							Grad			
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Right track	41.4%	44.3%	44.9%	47.7%	31.6%	49.6%	38.1%	34.3%	33.8%	38.4%	49.6%	43.5%	36.6%	46.9%
	Wrong track	58.6%	55.7%	55.1%	52.3%	68.4%	50.4%	61.9%	65.7%	66.2%	61.6%	50.4%	56.5%	63.4%	53.1%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strong fav	20.4%	22.6%	24.9%	21.7%	19.6%	20.8%	16.5%	19.6%	19.5%	22.9%	20.7%	16.8%	21.6%	18.9%
	SW favorable	33.2%	33.0%	33.1%	45.9%	27.1%	38.9%	28.9%	37.5%	28.3%	30.5%	37.9%	36.6%	29.7%	37.3%
	Favorable	53.6%	55.6%	58.0%	67.6%	46.7%	59.7%	45.4%	57.1%	47.8%	53.4%	58.6%	53.5%	51.3%	56.3%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	43.4%	37.5%	27.0%	43.5%	40.3%	53.2%	34.3%	43.5%	44.0%	39.7%	45.5%	43.8%	42.3%
	SW unfav	15.4%	20.0%	13.9%	13.1%	17.5%	17.9%	11.6%	10.3%	15.2%	16.1%	15.4%	14.7%	15.8%	15.1%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	23.4%	23.6%	13.9%	26.0%	22.4%	41.6%	24.0%	28.4%	27.8%	24.3%	30.9%	28.0%	27.2%
	No opinion	2.5%	1.0%	2.2%	5.4%	5.7%	0.0%	1.4%	8.6%	5.0%	2.6%	1.7%	1.0%	3.5%	1.4%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strong fav	9.1%	8.4%	13.0%	11.8%	8.0%	9.3%	8.1%	8.6%	7.6%	9.0%	10.6%	9.0%	8.5%	9.9%
	SW favorable	34.5%	40.9%	29.4%	31.2%	28.2%	40.2%	36.1%	17.1%	21.5%	31.8%	40.3%	44.5%	27.9%	42.2%
	Favorable	43.6%	49.3%	42.4%	43.0%	36.2%	49.5%	44.2%	25.7%	29.1%	40.8%	50.8%	53.5%	36.3%	52.0%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	41.9%	41.4%	24.0%	43.6%	38.2%	50.0%	46.5%	44.5%	43.9%	40.9%	41.1%	44.1%	41.0%
	SW unfav	23.3%	23.6%	28.5%	15.3%	17.6%	22.7%	26.1%	24.9%	24.8%	23.6%	23.3%	21.4%	24.1%	22.4%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	18.3%	12.9%	8.7%	26.0%	15.5%	23.9%	21.7%	19.6%	20.3%	17.6%	19.7%	20.0%	18.5%
	No opinion	11.6%	7.9%	12.8%	24.0%	15.0%	11.3%	5.8%	25.5%	19.7%	13.4%	7.6%	5.4%	15.8%	6.6%
	Not heard of	2.2%	0.9%	3.5%	9.0%	5.2%	0.9%	0.0%	2.3%	6.8%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.4%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strong fav	4.2%	5.7%	9.1%	7.9%	4.0%	2.1%	1.0%	3.4%	4.0%	2.9%	3.8%	6.8%	3.3%	5.1%
	SW favorable	22.5%	21.7%	22.2%	24.4%	18.0%	33.3%	23.2%	11.2%	23.9%	22.6%	25.5%	17.3%	23.1%	21.8%
	Favorable	26.7%	27.4%	31.2%	32.3%	21.9%	35.4%	24.2%	14.5%	27.9%	25.5%	29.3%	24.1%	26.4%	27.0%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	62.9%	56.0%	39.9%	60.6%	58.5%	68.2%	61.3%	53.4%	59.5%	62.6%	68.6%	57.2%	65.3%
	SW unfav	33.9%	36.3%	38.6%	23.4%	26.0%	37.1%	33.3%	40.8%	27.9%	35.8%	36.0%	34.0%	32.8%	35.1%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	26.6%	17.3%	16.5%	34.5%	21.4%	35.0%	20.5%	25.4%	23.7%	26.6%	34.5%	24.3%	30.2%
	No opinion	9.6%	7.9%	8.3%	24.7%	9.1%	6.2%	6.6%	19.5%	11.6%	11.8%	7.1%	7.3%	11.7%	7.2%
	Not heard of	2.8%	1.8%	4.5%	3.1%	8.4%	0.0%	1.0%	4.7%	7.1%	3.2%	1.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.6%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strong fav	11.8%	10.9%	16.8%	17.2%	13.0%	6.9%	9.0%	18.4%	12.0%	11.8%	13.2%	9.8%	11.9%	11.6%
	SW favorable	32.6%	41.7%	31.3%	40.1%	23.6%	40.5%	27.0%	16.9%	22.4%	32.7%	38.1%	35.8%	28.7%	37.1%
	Favorable	44.4%	52.6%	48.1%	57.3%	36.5%	47.4%	36.0%	35.3%	34.4%	44.5%	51.3%	45.6%	40.6%	48.7%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	43.2%	41.6%	31.3%	49.8%	49.1%	58.9%	45.8%	47.8%	47.4%	46.3%	50.9%	47.5%	48.4%
	SW unfav	22.3%	20.1%	27.2%	14.6%	22.1%	31.1%	20.4%	23.7%	25.3%	20.8%	18.9%	25.8%	22.5%	22.0%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	23.1%	14.4%	16.7%	27.8%	18.0%	38.5%	22.1%	22.5%	26.6%	27.4%	25.1%	25.0%	26.3%
	No opinion	6.0%	2.8%	5.8%	7.4%	11.7%	2.3%	5.1%	16.0%	11.4%	7.0%	2.5%	3.5%	8.7%	2.9%
	Not heard of	1.7%	1.5%	4.5%	4.1%	2.0%	1.2%	0.0%	2.9%	6.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Favorable/Unfavorable:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
Republican Party	Strong fav	6.9%	7.6%	13.2%	8.5%	8.7%	5.5%	3.2%	6.3%	6.8%	5.6%	8.9%	6.7%	6.1%	7.9%
	SW favorable	22.9%	22.3%	23.0%	14.2%	15.9%	25.1%	28.9%	20.1%	21.7%	23.8%	21.7%	23.9%	23.0%	22.7%
	Favorable	29.8%	30.0%	36.2%	22.7%	24.6%	30.6%	32.1%	26.4%	28.5%	29.4%	30.6%	30.6%	29.0%	30.6%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	64.3%	54.9%	63.4%	61.1%	61.5%	66.1%	59.9%	52.8%	62.9%	66.3%	67.8%	59.0%	67.0%
	SW unfav	26.8%	27.3%	16.6%	26.8%	26.0%	28.3%	28.4%	32.2%	24.3%	24.7%	30.0%	28.5%	24.6%	29.3%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	37.0%	38.3%	36.6%	35.2%	33.2%	37.7%	27.7%	28.4%	38.2%	36.3%	39.3%	34.5%	37.7%
	No opinion	5.8%	3.2%	5.6%	10.2%	11.2%	7.9%	1.8%	12.6%	12.3%	6.6%	3.0%	1.6%	8.7%	2.4%
	Not heard of	1.7%	2.6%	3.2%	3.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	6.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	35.3%	37.2%	29.9%	49.8%	42.9%	30.3%	34.0%	23.2%	42.6%	44.0%	30.2%	35.2%	37.8%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	10.5%	4.7%	21.2%	5.8%	14.8%	9.3%	12.8%	11.8%	10.2%	7.9%	13.0%	10.8%	10.2%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	18.9%	13.9%	10.7%	17.2%	13.1%	25.9%	10.7%	16.3%	15.3%	19.3%	22.9%	15.7%	20.9%
	Taxes	6.7%	8.0%	8.4%	6.3%	1.5%	5.6%	7.0%	9.6%	5.6%	5.6%	7.6%	8.3%	5.6%	7.9%
	Immigration	7.7%	6.5%	11.4%	6.3%	3.8%	6.4%	9.6%	10.9%	11.2%	7.8%	4.2%	8.4%	9.1%	6.1%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	2.9%	4.9%	5.7%	3.4%	3.5%	5.9%	11.6%	8.7%	3.6%	5.4%	2.3%	5.5%	4.0%
	Health care	5.9%	6.7%	3.6%	14.4%	7.8%	3.6%	3.9%	5.2%	12.2%	4.1%	3.4%	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
	Gas prices	4.0%	3.5%	11.4%	2.4%	3.1%	6.1%	2.2%	1.2%	7.9%	4.1%	2.0%	2.3%	5.5%	2.2%
	Energy costs	1.3%	1.5%	2.5%	2.2%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%	1.8%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.7%	1.2%	2.0%	3.0%	0.7%	2.5%	1.7%	0.0%	1.8%	0.9%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	1.8%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%
	Something else	1.8%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	3.8%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.9%	1.2%	3.3%	1.5%	2.1%
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A lot better	3.3%	4.6%	8.2%	3.7%	2.7%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	2.4%	2.0%	5.8%	3.0%	2.2%	4.6%
	A little better	27.1%	29.1%	24.8%	25.1%	20.2%	32.1%	30.3%	17.4%	20.6%	25.3%	32.9%	29.5%	23.5%	31.4%
	Better	30.4%	33.8%	33.0%	28.8%	22.9%	34.0%	32.0%	17.4%	23.0%	27.3%	38.7%	32.5%	25.6%	35.9%
	Staying the same	35.0%	35.9%	33.2%	36.9%	30.4%	36.2%	32.4%	47.5%	38.2%	36.4%	29.5%	36.1%	37.1%	32.5%
	Worse	34.6%	30.3%	33.8%	34.3%	46.7%	29.8%	35.6%	35.0%	38.7%	36.3%	31.8%	31.3%	37.3%	31.6%
	A little worse	21.8%	19.7%	26.4%	25.6%	23.2%	19.0%	21.5%	22.1%	20.7%	24.1%	19.5%	22.0%	22.8%	20.7%
	A lot worse	12.8%	10.6%	7.4%	8.7%	23.5%	10.8%	14.1%	12.9%	18.0%	12.3%	12.2%	9.3%	14.5%	10.9%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A lot better	3.6%	4.6%	7.6%	3.7%	2.2%	2.0%	2.6%	2.7%	2.4%	2.8%	5.9%	3.2%	2.6%	4.7%
	A little better	18.6%	30.1%	32.2%	18.7%	7.6%	21.8%	7.8%	11.4%	13.4%	18.4%	25.3%	15.9%	16.5%	21.1%
	Better	22.2%	34.8%	39.9%	22.5%	9.8%	23.8%	10.4%	14.1%	15.7%	21.1%	31.2%	19.1%	19.1%	25.8%
	Staying the same	44.8%	38.2%	35.1%	47.8%	34.2%	45.6%	55.3%	58.2%	45.9%	44.1%	40.7%	50.0%	44.8%	44.9%
	Worse	33.0%	27.0%	25.1%	29.7%	56.0%	30.7%	34.3%	27.7%	38.4%	34.7%	28.1%	30.9%	36.1%	29.4%
	A little worse	23.8%	19.7%	17.0%	26.3%	31.0%	25.3%	27.0%	19.1%	28.0%	23.6%	21.8%	22.6%	25.3%	22.2%
	A lot worse	9.2%	7.4%	8.0%	3.4%	25.1%	5.4%	7.3%	8.6%	10.4%	11.1%	6.3%	8.3%	10.9%	7.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography															
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Much better	3.7%	4.3%	8.3%	3.7%	3.6%	5.9%	0.9%	1.1%	2.3%	1.9%	5.5%	5.7%	2.0%	5.6%
	SW better	19.3%	22.1%	19.4%	23.2%	16.6%	17.6%	20.9%	6.6%	15.8%	18.7%	19.9%	23.1%	17.6%	21.3%
	Better	23.0%	26.4%	27.6%	27.0%	20.2%	23.5%	21.9%	7.8%	18.0%	20.6%	25.3%	28.8%	19.6%	26.9%
	About the same	35.9%	32.0%	35.8%	34.9%	32.5%	39.3%	37.5%	45.9%	40.9%	36.6%	32.9%	33.6%	38.2%	33.2%
	Worse	41.1%	41.6%	36.6%	38.1%	47.3%	37.2%	40.6%	46.3%	41.1%	42.7%	41.7%	37.6%	42.1%	39.9%
	SW worse	28.7%	29.9%	28.7%	25.7%	28.7%	27.3%	28.1%	31.7%	27.1%	30.7%	30.1%	25.2%	29.4%	27.9%
Much worse	12.4%	11.7%	7.9%	12.4%	18.5%	9.9%	12.5%	14.6%	14.0%	12.0%	11.7%	12.4%	12.8%	12.0%	
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	7.1%	5.8%	3.1%	4.1%	2.7%	1.7%	5.2%	3.9%	2.5%	6.2%	5.0%	3.1%	5.7%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	24.4%	28.4%	14.5%	28.5%	27.5%	11.1%	21.9%	19.5%	21.7%	20.2%	23.9%	20.8%	21.9%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	9.3%	12.6%	20.6%	6.4%	7.6%	5.9%	12.7%	13.3%	9.1%	6.8%	8.5%	10.7%	7.6%
	No	61.3%	56.5%	45.0%	59.7%	56.3%	56.2%	78.9%	55.6%	57.5%	63.2%	63.7%	59.0%	61.0%	61.6%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	2.6%	8.1%	2.1%	4.6%	6.0%	2.4%	4.6%	5.7%	3.5%	3.1%	3.5%	4.4%	3.3%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Way too high	38.3%	37.2%	42.5%	31.5%	45.7%	37.4%	39.2%	29.1%	44.8%	37.9%	35.6%	35.9%	40.5%	35.7%
	SW too high	38.0%	41.2%	41.9%	34.3%	33.2%	35.7%	36.2%	44.8%	33.3%	39.7%	37.6%	40.7%	37.2%	39.0%
	Too high	76.4%	78.4%	84.4%	65.8%	78.9%	73.0%	75.3%	73.8%	78.1%	77.6%	73.2%	76.5%	77.8%	74.7%
	About right	19.4%	17.0%	15.6%	29.9%	15.7%	21.5%	19.2%	26.2%	20.2%	20.0%	22.1%	14.4%	20.1%	18.6%
	Too low	4.2%	4.6%	0.0%	4.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	0.0%	1.7%	2.3%	4.7%	9.1%	2.1%	6.7%
	SW too low	4.0%	4.6%	0.0%	3.2%	4.3%	5.4%	5.4%	0.0%	1.0%	2.1%	4.7%	9.1%	1.7%	6.7%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	4.2%	3.5%	11.4%	8.9%	3.1%	6.6%	0.5%	3.3%	4.9%	4.0%	3.8%	4.5%	4.3%	4.1%
	B - Good	9.1%	11.9%	13.1%	17.8%	7.1%	10.0%	3.0%	8.3%	11.6%	6.0%	12.9%	7.0%	8.1%	10.2%
	C - Average	26.5%	24.5%	19.4%	40.6%	29.3%	29.7%	21.6%	35.8%	34.1%	28.6%	22.8%	19.8%	30.7%	21.5%
	D - Poor	31.0%	30.4%	29.3%	24.3%	26.2%	30.5%	38.5%	24.3%	21.5%	34.9%	31.5%	33.3%	29.8%	32.4%
	F - Failing	29.2%	29.6%	26.8%	8.4%	34.4%	23.2%	36.4%	28.3%	27.9%	26.5%	29.0%	35.3%	27.0%	31.8%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	5.1%	4.0%	14.8%	12.5%	5.6%	6.1%	0.0%	3.3%	6.0%	4.9%	4.6%	5.2%	5.3%	4.8%
	B - Good	14.2%	20.6%	12.5%	17.2%	11.6%	23.9%	7.1%	5.1%	18.7%	10.2%	18.1%	11.3%	13.5%	15.1%
	C - Average	37.0%	35.1%	25.2%	39.3%	46.1%	35.1%	40.2%	32.8%	36.0%	39.8%	34.3%	36.7%	38.3%	35.4%
	D - Poor	25.9%	24.3%	31.6%	18.5%	17.0%	20.0%	30.4%	41.3%	18.7%	29.7%	28.1%	24.3%	25.5%	26.4%
	F - Failing	17.8%	16.0%	15.8%	12.5%	19.7%	14.9%	22.4%	17.7%	20.6%	15.4%	15.0%	22.4%	17.4%	18.3%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography															
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	5.1%	5.4%	9.4%	9.2%	7.3%	6.5%	0.7%	3.3%	7.8%	4.1%	3.8%	5.5%	5.5%	4.5%
	B - Good	11.9%	18.2%	16.3%	19.4%	9.2%	9.8%	6.3%	4.3%	10.9%	8.6%	16.2%	13.0%	9.5%	14.7%
	C - Average	29.7%	27.1%	18.7%	31.5%	31.1%	35.5%	30.0%	39.8%	33.3%	30.2%	30.7%	24.2%	31.4%	27.8%
	D - Poor	30.7%	27.0%	34.2%	25.6%	28.7%	25.4%	37.7%	31.5%	24.3%	36.9%	27.5%	31.1%	32.1%	29.1%
	F - Failing	22.6%	22.3%	21.5%	14.2%	23.8%	22.9%	25.3%	21.1%	23.6%	20.1%	21.8%	26.3%	21.5%	23.8%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	5.7%	5.2%	13.0%	10.0%	3.2%	11.4%	0.9%	5.0%	8.2%	3.7%	4.8%	7.6%	5.4%	6.0%
	B - Good	16.1%	17.1%	17.2%	22.8%	19.9%	16.4%	11.8%	12.4%	19.2%	15.6%	18.4%	11.1%	17.0%	15.1%
	C - Average	36.1%	30.6%	39.9%	33.3%	31.2%	35.1%	40.3%	48.2%	30.5%	36.7%	42.1%	33.3%	34.3%	38.1%
	D - Poor	26.7%	27.7%	19.1%	21.5%	28.0%	23.3%	33.9%	16.1%	24.8%	30.0%	22.8%	27.9%	28.1%	25.1%
	F - Failing	15.4%	19.4%	10.8%	12.5%	17.7%	13.8%	13.1%	18.3%	17.3%	14.0%	11.8%	20.2%	15.3%	15.6%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	4.0%	3.0%	10.2%	4.6%	4.2%	5.9%	1.3%	5.0%	5.8%	3.7%	3.9%	3.0%	4.5%	3.5%
	B - Good	8.9%	10.7%	12.5%	13.5%	7.1%	8.0%	7.7%	2.0%	9.6%	6.9%	8.7%	11.8%	7.9%	10.1%
	C - Average	28.3%	29.6%	24.2%	29.3%	34.7%	31.8%	24.0%	26.2%	28.4%	25.8%	31.4%	28.3%	26.8%	30.0%
	D - Poor	32.4%	30.5%	31.0%	35.8%	25.3%	31.4%	36.6%	36.3%	26.9%	39.3%	30.9%	28.4%	34.6%	29.8%
	F - Failing	26.4%	26.2%	22.1%	16.8%	28.7%	22.9%	30.4%	30.5%	29.4%	24.3%	25.1%	28.4%	26.2%	26.6%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	A - Excellent	4.0%	5.2%	7.8%	4.7%	2.4%	3.9%	1.7%	4.8%	2.8%	3.5%	3.8%	6.0%	3.3%	4.8%
	B - Good	6.8%	9.3%	11.7%	10.6%	11.1%	6.4%	0.8%	1.7%	6.9%	5.6%	10.7%	3.7%	6.1%	7.6%
	C - Average	36.0%	30.7%	26.7%	62.6%	30.2%	46.0%	32.4%	45.4%	46.2%	35.5%	32.0%	31.4%	39.6%	31.7%
	D - Poor	29.7%	30.7%	27.4%	12.8%	28.6%	26.0%	37.3%	28.1%	24.4%	33.1%	28.7%	31.0%	29.8%	29.7%
	F - Failing	23.5%	24.0%	26.4%	9.2%	27.6%	17.8%	27.8%	20.0%	19.7%	22.3%	24.8%	27.9%	21.3%	26.2%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very good job	3.0%	4.1%	6.5%	4.4%	3.6%	2.8%	0.5%	1.2%	1.6%	2.4%	4.4%	3.7%	2.1%	4.1%
	SW good job	11.5%	16.6%	11.3%	17.0%	6.6%	15.0%	6.3%	9.8%	12.3%	8.7%	14.5%	11.6%	10.1%	13.2%
	Good job	14.6%	20.7%	17.8%	21.4%	10.3%	17.8%	6.8%	11.0%	13.9%	11.2%	18.9%	15.3%	12.2%	17.3%
	Can't say	26.2%	22.3%	27.9%	34.2%	32.7%	27.4%	22.6%	28.5%	33.1%	27.8%	22.2%	21.6%	29.8%	21.9%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	57.0%	54.3%	44.4%	57.0%	54.7%	70.6%	60.6%	53.0%	61.0%	58.9%	63.1%	58.0%	60.8%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	25.6%	19.3%	27.0%	18.7%	22.2%	32.6%	27.6%	17.6%	24.3%	29.5%	31.6%	21.8%	30.4%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	31.4%	35.0%	17.4%	38.4%	32.5%	38.0%	33.0%	35.4%	36.7%	29.4%	31.6%	36.2%	30.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very pos impact	12.9%	16.6%	9.9%	18.8%	13.1%	19.1%	6.6%	9.8%	11.4%	10.5%	17.2%	13.0%	10.8%	15.3%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	34.8%	33.8%	43.5%	24.8%	43.1%	32.5%	36.8%	33.3%	34.7%	38.9%	30.6%	34.2%	35.2%
	Positive impact	47.5%	51.4%	43.8%	62.3%	37.9%	62.2%	39.0%	46.6%	44.7%	45.2%	56.1%	43.6%	45.0%	50.5%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	29.1%	39.1%	27.5%	46.7%	23.6%	34.3%	38.3%	41.3%	36.5%	23.7%	32.8%	38.4%	27.8%
	Negative impact	19.0%	19.5%	17.1%	10.1%	15.4%	14.3%	26.7%	15.2%	13.9%	18.3%	20.2%	23.6%	16.6%	21.7%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	10.5%	12.2%	3.0%	6.6%	8.4%	16.6%	6.1%	6.3%	11.1%	11.5%	13.1%	9.2%	12.2%
	Very neg impact	8.4%	9.0%	4.9%	7.2%	8.7%	5.9%	10.2%	9.1%	7.7%	7.2%	8.7%	10.5%	7.4%	9.5%
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Job creation	21.1%	25.8%	24.0%	36.6%	14.2%	29.1%	12.6%	13.7%	15.6%	18.9%	26.9%	23.1%	17.6%	25.2%
	Can't say	52.4%	51.1%	55.1%	49.1%	57.5%	45.3%	51.7%	62.6%	61.4%	57.2%	44.2%	45.7%	58.8%	44.9%
	Job loss	26.5%	23.1%	20.9%	14.4%	28.4%	25.6%	35.8%	23.7%	23.0%	24.0%	28.8%	31.2%	23.6%	29.9%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Serious threat	37.8%	33.8%	42.2%	36.9%	38.0%	49.3%	38.7%	24.0%	37.5%	36.1%	36.6%	42.4%	36.7%	39.2%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	37.6%	27.1%	39.5%	34.3%	25.0%	30.2%	41.9%	27.7%	33.2%	39.7%	30.6%	31.1%	35.6%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	22.9%	17.7%	17.0%	15.4%	15.2%	24.4%	21.6%	17.9%	23.1%	18.9%	20.4%	21.1%	19.5%
	Can't say	8.6%	5.7%	13.0%	6.5%	12.3%	10.5%	6.7%	12.6%	16.9%	7.6%	4.9%	6.6%	11.1%	5.7%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very willing	7.2%	7.9%	12.4%	8.7%	5.4%	9.1%	4.9%	4.0%	3.9%	5.0%	8.3%	12.6%	4.6%	10.2%
	SW willing	22.8%	30.6%	12.8%	25.2%	14.5%	24.9%	22.5%	18.6%	18.2%	21.0%	25.1%	27.3%	19.9%	26.1%
	Willing	30.0%	38.5%	25.2%	33.9%	19.9%	34.0%	27.4%	22.5%	22.1%	26.0%	33.4%	39.9%	24.5%	36.3%
	Can't say	17.1%	17.7%	20.6%	14.3%	20.9%	19.3%	11.9%	21.7%	15.8%	19.0%	17.2%	15.1%	17.8%	16.3%
	Unwilling	53.0%	43.8%	54.2%	51.9%	59.2%	46.8%	60.8%	55.8%	62.2%	55.0%	49.4%	44.9%	57.7%	47.4%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	17.0%	14.2%	24.7%	22.6%	20.3%	27.6%	14.2%	17.4%	22.0%	21.7%	21.8%	20.2%	21.8%
	Very unwilling	32.0%	26.8%	40.0%	27.1%	36.6%	26.4%	33.2%	41.6%	44.8%	33.0%	27.7%	23.1%	37.5%	25.6%
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very pos	6.5%	8.8%	6.2%	8.5%	3.4%	9.6%	2.9%	9.6%	5.2%	5.0%	7.0%	9.4%	5.1%	8.1%
	SW positive	25.2%	29.0%	31.6%	29.9%	20.7%	29.2%	21.3%	12.4%	19.5%	22.1%	29.9%	30.0%	21.1%	29.9%
	Positive	31.6%	37.9%	37.8%	38.4%	24.1%	38.8%	24.2%	22.0%	24.7%	27.1%	36.9%	39.4%	26.2%	38.0%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	26.5%	30.0%	33.5%	26.1%	35.4%	25.1%	35.8%	32.8%	31.0%	25.0%	25.5%	31.7%	25.2%
	Negative	29.7%	28.1%	20.7%	17.0%	31.3%	22.0%	41.1%	29.4%	26.8%	30.5%	30.9%	29.7%	29.1%	30.4%
	SW neg	14.4%	12.1%	8.8%	9.4%	17.5%	12.0%	20.7%	11.2%	16.2%	15.7%	15.5%	9.1%	15.9%	12.6%
	Very neg	15.3%	16.0%	11.9%	7.6%	13.9%	10.0%	20.4%	18.2%	10.6%	14.7%	15.4%	20.6%	13.1%	17.7%
	I don't know	10.0%	7.5%	11.6%	11.1%	18.4%	3.8%	9.6%	12.8%	15.7%	11.5%	7.2%	5.4%	13.1%	6.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strongly support	18.9%	14.3%	19.7%	24.9%	9.5%	28.8%	22.9%	13.0%	14.9%	14.6%	20.5%	28.1%	14.7%	23.9%
	SW support	30.5%	37.1%	39.2%	38.9%	31.8%	26.9%	22.1%	19.7%	31.0%	28.8%	33.6%	28.7%	29.6%	31.4%
	Support	49.4%	51.4%	58.9%	63.8%	41.3%	55.7%	45.0%	32.6%	45.8%	43.3%	54.1%	56.8%	44.3%	55.3%
	Can't say	22.0%	21.3%	20.4%	21.5%	28.8%	17.5%	17.9%	38.9%	28.1%	27.3%	16.0%	14.9%	27.6%	15.5%
	Oppose	28.6%	27.3%	20.7%	14.8%	29.9%	26.8%	37.1%	28.4%	26.0%	29.4%	29.9%	28.2%	28.1%	29.2%
	SW oppose	13.6%	10.9%	9.9%	9.5%	12.4%	13.2%	20.2%	11.4%	12.0%	13.5%	15.4%	13.3%	12.9%	14.4%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	13.8%	17.9%	21.0%	12.3%	21.3%	17.3%	15.3%	14.7%	10.6%	17.9%	25.8%	12.2%	21.5%
	SW Yes	13.1%	16.0%	13.9%	21.2%	7.4%	12.4%	12.3%	6.6%	12.6%	12.9%	13.9%	12.9%	12.8%	13.4%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	21.4%	17.3%	17.7%	20.3%	15.5%	16.0%	15.7%	19.8%	19.3%	17.6%	14.9%	19.5%	16.4%
	Yes	47.6%	51.2%	49.0%	59.8%	40.0%	49.1%	45.6%	37.6%	47.2%	42.7%	49.4%	53.6%	44.4%	51.3%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	25.9%	26.6%	28.8%	28.0%	24.8%	21.8%	35.5%	30.6%	32.0%	20.5%	18.3%	31.4%	19.5%
	No	26.5%	22.9%	24.4%	11.4%	32.0%	26.1%	32.6%	26.9%	22.2%	25.3%	30.1%	28.1%	24.1%	29.2%
	Lean No	6.7%	5.1%	9.0%	5.3%	6.7%	9.3%	8.0%	1.9%	4.3%	6.6%	7.7%	8.1%	5.7%	7.9%
	SW No	3.9%	2.8%	3.7%	1.8%	4.1%	5.8%	3.7%	7.7%	3.8%	4.4%	4.6%	2.3%	4.2%	3.6%
	Strongly No	15.8%	15.0%	11.7%	4.2%	21.2%	10.9%	20.9%	17.3%	14.2%	14.2%	17.8%	17.7%	14.2%	17.7%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very willing	23.7%	25.3%	29.7%	22.8%	17.4%	18.2%	24.9%	27.1%	23.1%	22.1%	23.4%	27.3%	22.5%	25.2%
	SW willing	27.1%	26.2%	26.8%	27.3%	28.8%	24.6%	29.3%	24.1%	32.2%	25.6%	30.3%	20.7%	28.1%	26.0%
	Willing	50.9%	51.5%	56.5%	50.2%	46.2%	42.7%	54.2%	51.3%	55.4%	47.8%	53.7%	48.1%	50.7%	51.2%
	Can't say	20.8%	21.6%	17.0%	27.1%	27.6%	18.9%	14.8%	29.0%	30.5%	23.1%	15.2%	14.2%	25.9%	14.7%
	Unwilling	28.3%	26.8%	26.4%	22.7%	26.2%	38.3%	31.0%	19.7%	14.1%	29.1%	31.1%	37.7%	23.4%	34.1%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	12.9%	9.5%	14.0%	11.7%	22.1%	15.8%	12.0%	8.2%	17.2%	12.9%	17.3%	13.8%	14.9%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Strongly support	21.8%	24.0%	24.1%	14.7%	13.9%	14.9%	26.9%	24.7%	17.0%	19.0%	26.0%	25.8%	18.2%	25.9%
	SW support	24.6%	26.9%	22.6%	23.8%	24.0%	26.1%	26.5%	10.7%	20.5%	26.4%	28.4%	20.9%	24.2%	25.0%
	Support	46.3%	50.9%	46.7%	38.5%	37.9%	41.0%	53.4%	35.4%	37.6%	45.4%	54.4%	46.7%	42.4%	50.9%
	Can't say	24.0%	21.8%	25.3%	33.8%	29.9%	24.2%	17.5%	32.3%	36.5%	26.3%	13.8%	20.4%	30.2%	16.8%
	Oppose	29.7%	27.3%	28.0%	27.7%	32.2%	34.8%	29.1%	32.4%	26.0%	28.4%	31.8%	32.9%	27.5%	32.3%
	SW oppose	14.6%	15.2%	11.7%	8.6%	14.0%	20.6%	14.2%	15.2%	16.9%	13.0%	16.7%	12.4%	14.5%	14.8%
Strongly oppose	15.1%	12.0%	16.3%	19.1%	18.2%	14.2%	14.8%	17.2%	9.1%	15.4%	15.1%	20.5%	13.0%	17.5%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education				
		FT White		FT Blue		Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech		Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+
		Collar	Collar														
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381		
	Greatly increase	15.7%	15.1%	19.4%	11.3%	5.2%	10.7%	23.5%	15.1%	13.0%	11.8%	16.5%	23.5%	12.3%	19.6%		
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	21.5%	19.0%	14.7%	21.7%	12.9%	21.6%	12.9%	14.9%	16.4%	25.5%	20.0%	15.8%	23.0%		
	Increase	34.8%	36.6%	38.4%	26.0%	26.9%	23.6%	45.1%	28.0%	27.9%	28.2%	41.9%	43.4%	28.1%	42.6%		
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	26.5%	23.4%	22.4%	21.0%	23.6%	25.6%	30.5%	24.4%	28.4%	20.2%	25.5%	26.9%	22.6%		
	Decrease	29.5%	28.8%	26.9%	44.7%	38.0%	40.9%	18.9%	24.4%	31.2%	32.1%	29.3%	23.9%	31.8%	26.9%		
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	13.6%	7.4%	27.1%	14.8%	15.0%	7.2%	7.9%	14.1%	12.5%	12.1%	10.1%	13.1%	11.2%		
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	17.3%	15.2%	19.5%	17.6%	23.2%	26.0%	11.7%	16.5%	17.1%	19.6%	17.2%	13.8%	18.7%	15.7%		
	Can't say	10.8%	8.1%	11.3%	6.9%	14.1%	11.9%	10.4%	17.2%	16.4%	11.3%	8.5%	7.2%	13.2%	7.9%		
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381		
	Greatly increase	51.3%	45.8%	59.9%	48.9%	50.0%	55.2%	54.1%	46.7%	44.2%	51.4%	56.2%	52.2%	48.6%	54.4%		
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	24.6%	14.8%	22.1%	23.1%	29.3%	31.1%	19.9%	25.2%	26.3%	23.1%	25.8%	25.9%	24.3%		
	Increase	76.5%	70.4%	74.7%	71.1%	73.0%	84.6%	85.1%	66.6%	69.4%	77.7%	79.2%	78.0%	74.5%	78.7%		
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	20.8%	13.9%	14.6%	17.7%	9.6%	7.5%	20.0%	19.4%	14.3%	11.8%	12.7%	16.3%	12.2%		
	Decrease	5.2%	7.5%	3.8%	11.6%	2.0%	2.5%	4.4%	5.3%	3.6%	4.2%	5.3%	8.2%	4.0%	6.6%		
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	4.0%	2.3%	8.5%	2.0%	0.6%	2.3%	4.1%	2.8%	2.6%	3.0%	4.2%	2.7%	3.6%		
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	2.1%	3.5%	1.5%	3.1%	0.0%	1.9%	2.1%	1.2%	0.7%	1.6%	2.3%	4.0%	1.3%	3.1%		
	Can't say	3.9%	1.3%	7.6%	2.8%	7.3%	3.4%	2.9%	8.1%	7.6%	3.7%	3.6%	1.0%	5.2%	2.5%		
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381		
	Greatly increase	42.7%	36.3%	44.1%	30.6%	42.8%	50.7%	48.2%	43.0%	38.8%	42.5%	43.2%	46.5%	41.1%	44.6%		
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	34.3%	28.1%	31.4%	18.5%	24.6%	29.0%	19.5%	26.5%	28.6%	31.7%	23.7%	27.8%	28.1%		
	Increase	70.7%	70.6%	72.2%	62.0%	61.3%	75.2%	77.2%	62.5%	65.3%	71.1%	74.8%	70.2%	68.9%	72.7%		
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	16.7%	15.1%	24.1%	23.1%	13.1%	14.2%	26.8%	23.6%	17.1%	14.9%	15.4%	19.6%	15.1%		
	Decrease	7.4%	10.1%	6.5%	9.0%	6.7%	6.0%	6.5%	4.5%	5.0%	6.0%	7.5%	11.9%	5.6%	9.5%		
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	3.7%	5.5%	4.1%	5.7%	3.9%	1.6%	1.5%	3.3%	2.5%	2.7%	6.2%	2.8%	4.3%		
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	3.9%	6.4%	1.0%	4.8%	0.9%	2.1%	5.0%	3.0%	1.7%	3.5%	4.8%	5.7%	2.8%	5.2%		
	Can't say	4.4%	2.7%	6.2%	4.9%	9.0%	5.6%	2.1%	6.2%	6.1%	5.8%	2.8%	2.5%	5.9%	2.7%		
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381		
	Greatly increase	30.1%	30.4%	36.7%	16.4%	22.8%	36.0%	33.2%	25.7%	23.2%	25.6%	38.8%	33.5%	24.7%	36.4%		
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	32.9%	33.3%	39.9%	32.5%	35.8%	40.1%	35.4%	38.9%	34.1%	33.8%	37.8%	35.9%	35.6%		
	Increase	65.9%	63.2%	70.0%	56.3%	55.3%	71.8%	73.3%	61.1%	62.1%	59.7%	72.7%	71.3%	60.6%	72.1%		
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	24.4%	15.7%	29.7%	27.4%	15.9%	20.2%	26.0%	22.5%	26.1%	19.2%	19.9%	24.7%	19.5%		
	Decrease	4.8%	5.9%	5.3%	10.8%	5.2%	2.7%	2.9%	3.9%	6.0%	4.6%	4.8%	4.0%	5.1%	4.4%		
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	4.8%	4.3%	5.6%	5.2%	2.7%	1.8%	3.9%	5.3%	2.9%	4.1%	3.3%	3.8%	3.8%		
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.7%	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%	0.7%		
	Can't say	7.0%	6.5%	9.0%	3.2%	12.1%	9.6%	3.6%	9.0%	9.5%	9.6%	3.4%	4.8%	9.6%	4.0%		

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Greatly increase	20.0%	19.1%	19.6%	14.2%	17.8%	17.5%	23.9%	23.0%	17.2%	16.2%	26.0%	21.4%	16.6%	23.9%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	28.8%	41.3%	27.2%	24.8%	29.5%	35.5%	23.1%	32.6%	28.2%	27.7%	37.1%	29.9%	31.9%
	Increase	50.8%	47.9%	60.8%	41.5%	42.6%	46.9%	59.4%	46.1%	49.9%	44.4%	53.7%	58.5%	46.5%	55.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	25.0%	22.3%	33.6%	35.6%	28.6%	23.5%	24.5%	24.6%	31.8%	25.2%	22.4%	29.1%	23.9%
	Decrease	10.1%	12.6%	7.5%	16.3%	7.4%	10.3%	7.0%	13.8%	8.1%	9.6%	12.6%	9.8%	9.0%	11.3%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	10.3%	4.8%	5.9%	4.0%	8.3%	4.0%	7.2%	5.4%	5.9%	9.9%	4.6%	5.7%	7.5%
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	3.5%	2.3%	2.8%	10.4%	3.4%	2.0%	3.0%	6.6%	2.7%	3.7%	2.6%	5.2%	3.3%	3.8%
	Can't say	12.4%	14.5%	9.3%	8.7%	14.4%	14.2%	10.1%	15.6%	17.4%	14.2%	8.6%	9.3%	15.4%	8.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Greatly increase	8.5%	9.5%	15.2%	5.6%	6.7%	7.5%	7.9%	5.3%	9.1%	6.1%	11.0%	8.5%	7.3%	9.9%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	17.4%	14.3%	9.3%	9.0%	12.6%	14.6%	18.3%	16.6%	12.6%	15.3%	13.0%	14.1%	14.3%
	Increase	22.7%	27.0%	29.5%	14.9%	15.6%	20.0%	22.5%	23.6%	25.7%	18.7%	26.4%	21.5%	21.4%	24.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	23.0%	23.4%	24.9%	34.9%	22.6%	25.4%	36.6%	29.4%	29.4%	24.2%	20.1%	29.4%	22.4%
	Decrease	41.6%	42.5%	35.3%	50.9%	37.2%	45.4%	44.9%	26.0%	29.0%	39.8%	44.9%	52.8%	35.7%	48.4%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	18.8%	13.5%	24.5%	18.4%	19.3%	23.4%	8.9%	15.6%	17.4%	21.6%	22.9%	16.7%	22.2%
	Greatly decrease/eliminate	22.3%	23.7%	21.8%	26.3%	18.7%	26.1%	21.4%	17.1%	13.4%	22.4%	23.3%	29.8%	19.0%	26.2%
	Can't say	9.6%	7.5%	11.8%	9.4%	12.3%	11.9%	7.3%	13.7%	15.8%	12.1%	4.5%	5.6%	13.5%	5.0%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes	13.2%	15.6%	20.3%	20.8%	11.3%	10.9%	6.4%	18.8%	14.3%	9.4%	16.4%	14.3%	11.3%	15.5%
	No	86.8%	84.4%	79.7%	79.2%	88.7%	89.1%	93.6%	81.2%	85.7%	90.6%	83.6%	85.7%	88.7%	84.5%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes, positive	12.9%	23.6%	23.9%	12.8%	3.7%	14.2%	4.2%	5.3%	9.4%	10.1%	16.5%	16.3%	9.9%	16.4%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	14.7%	21.8%	27.4%	46.2%	31.3%	7.0%	9.0%	22.5%	23.5%	15.7%	16.6%	23.1%	16.1%
	No, no change	67.2%	61.7%	54.3%	59.8%	50.2%	54.5%	88.8%	85.7%	68.1%	66.4%	67.8%	67.0%	67.0%	67.5%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes	32.3%	27.2%	33.9%	62.8%	74.6%	45.5%	5.5%	16.2%	33.3%	35.0%	32.5%	26.7%	34.3%	29.8%
	No	67.7%	72.8%	66.1%	37.2%	25.4%	54.5%	94.5%	83.8%	66.7%	65.0%	67.5%	73.3%	65.7%	70.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Employment							Education				Education		
		FT White Collar	FT Blue Collar	Student	Unemp	Part time	Retired	Homemaker	HS Grad or less	Some Col/ A.A/Tech	Coll Grad	Grad	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Marital status	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Married	43.2%	46.0%	46.6%	7.5%	29.8%	36.3%	48.5%	82.5%	34.3%	41.1%	46.9%	50.6%	38.5%	48.6%
	Single	32.7%	32.7%	31.3%	90.1%	43.1%	46.1%	13.6%	2.5%	39.6%	32.9%	34.3%	23.7%	35.5%	29.6%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	13.4%	13.8%	2.5%	17.9%	9.2%	19.7%	6.1%	12.4%	12.8%	13.5%	17.1%	12.6%	15.1%
	Widowed	6.3%	3.9%	4.9%	0.0%	5.9%	2.2%	14.4%	2.0%	10.5%	7.0%	3.8%	4.3%	8.3%	4.0%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	4.1%	3.4%	0.0%	3.3%	6.3%	3.7%	6.8%	3.1%	6.2%	1.5%	4.2%	5.0%	2.8%
Children	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes	55.9%	56.7%	67.0%	7.1%	50.8%	46.8%	63.3%	87.5%	57.5%	55.9%	52.2%	58.9%	56.5%	55.2%
	No	44.1%	43.3%	33.0%	92.9%	49.2%	53.2%	36.7%	12.5%	42.5%	44.1%	47.8%	41.1%	43.5%	44.8%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Very conservative	13.0%	11.9%	18.5%	8.0%	10.7%	5.8%	19.0%	8.7%	12.4%	10.0%	14.9%	16.2%	10.9%	15.5%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	25.0%	18.4%	22.0%	27.8%	24.5%	28.4%	33.0%	28.5%	29.6%	21.5%	22.6%	29.1%	22.0%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	24.8%	27.4%	20.7%	21.3%	26.2%	18.0%	14.9%	21.0%	19.8%	24.3%	23.8%	20.3%	24.1%
	Very liberal	11.5%	14.9%	3.7%	25.3%	12.8%	14.3%	6.4%	7.1%	8.0%	11.6%	11.3%	15.1%	10.2%	13.0%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	16.0%	23.5%	16.0%	19.5%	22.2%	24.6%	28.7%	21.3%	22.9%	20.6%	18.4%	22.3%	19.6%
	Libertarian	2.8%	4.9%	1.9%	2.7%	1.8%	3.8%	1.1%	2.9%	1.6%	1.1%	5.0%	3.9%	1.3%	4.5%
	Other	3.8%	2.4%	6.5%	5.3%	6.1%	3.2%	2.5%	4.7%	7.3%	5.0%	2.4%	0.0%	5.9%	1.3%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	21.2%	23.0%	31.3%	28.2%	18.4%	16.8%	25.8%	22.1%	24.4%	21.5%	18.1%	23.5%	19.9%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	24.8%	27.5%	25.3%	14.3%	28.3%	18.2%	21.0%	16.6%	19.5%	24.0%	30.2%	18.4%	26.8%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	8.6%	3.4%	4.7%	6.9%	10.3%	9.1%	3.3%	8.3%	7.1%	9.2%	5.4%	7.6%	7.5%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	14.1%	15.4%	14.7%	12.6%	7.9%	8.1%	18.0%	11.1%	13.2%	12.2%	11.0%	12.4%	11.7%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	6.3%	5.9%	5.2%	7.1%	8.7%	13.9%	6.7%	10.1%	9.1%	6.8%	8.3%	9.5%	7.5%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	14.6%	16.5%	6.8%	10.5%	14.1%	17.7%	16.1%	16.3%	13.6%	13.3%	15.9%	14.6%	14.4%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	5.9%	3.3%	4.2%	13.9%	4.8%	11.7%	6.0%	8.7%	8.2%	8.5%	5.6%	8.4%	7.2%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	4.6%	5.0%	7.9%	6.5%	7.4%	4.6%	3.2%	6.7%	4.9%	4.7%	5.5%	5.6%	5.1%
Union	Sample Size	825	208	82	62	103	99	214	57	169	275	209	171	444	381
	Yes	18.8%	21.0%	25.4%	9.3%	7.1%	19.4%	22.3%	19.1%	15.8%	16.1%	20.2%	24.4%	16.0%	22.1%
	No	81.2%	79.0%	74.6%	90.7%	92.9%	80.6%	77.7%	80.9%	84.2%	83.9%	79.8%	75.6%	84.0%	77.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total								
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Right track	41.4%	39.2%	34.9%	46.4%	47.4%	38.4%	53.0%	33.6%	38.9%
	Wrong track	58.6%	60.8%	65.1%	53.6%	52.6%	61.6%	47.0%	66.4%	61.1%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strong fav	20.4%	14.0%	27.0%	17.6%	20.5%	23.9%	23.1%	17.6%	13.4%
	SW favorable	33.2%	34.7%	26.1%	35.2%	39.9%	30.8%	43.3%	27.7%	29.5%
	Favorable	53.6%	48.8%	53.1%	52.8%	60.4%	54.7%	66.4%	45.3%	42.9%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	44.3%	43.5%	46.0%	38.0%	39.0%	31.4%	52.2%	56.6%
	SW unfav	15.4%	15.5%	15.9%	16.6%	13.3%	16.0%	17.2%	15.3%	12.2%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	28.8%	27.5%	29.4%	24.8%	23.0%	14.2%	36.9%	44.4%
	No opinion	2.5%	4.2%	3.0%	1.2%	1.6%	4.1%	2.1%	2.5%	0.4%
	Not heard of	0.7%	2.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strong fav	9.1%	8.7%	8.3%	11.3%	8.1%	9.1%	10.8%	7.5%	8.6%
	SW favorable	34.5%	29.6%	26.7%	40.8%	43.8%	27.7%	45.7%	28.2%	37.5%
	Favorable	43.6%	38.2%	35.0%	52.1%	51.9%	36.8%	56.5%	35.6%	46.2%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	44.8%	43.7%	42.9%	38.7%	36.1%	33.4%	58.4%	50.9%
	SW unfav	23.3%	25.7%	23.0%	23.2%	21.6%	19.8%	22.2%	31.7%	22.8%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	19.2%	20.7%	19.7%	17.1%	16.2%	11.2%	26.7%	28.1%
	No opinion	11.6%	13.2%	17.7%	4.6%	9.0%	21.4%	9.5%	6.0%	2.9%
	Not heard of	2.2%	3.8%	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	5.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strong fav	4.2%	3.4%	3.3%	7.8%	2.0%	4.9%	8.4%	0.6%	0.8%
	SW favorable	22.5%	25.2%	21.6%	19.9%	24.1%	25.7%	25.1%	18.5%	17.6%
	Favorable	26.7%	28.6%	24.9%	27.7%	26.2%	30.6%	33.6%	19.1%	18.3%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	59.1%	55.8%	67.2%	63.1%	49.9%	55.8%	69.9%	77.8%
	SW unfav	33.9%	32.1%	33.4%	30.9%	40.1%	29.3%	37.7%	39.0%	31.7%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	27.0%	22.4%	36.3%	22.9%	20.6%	18.0%	30.9%	46.1%
	No opinion	9.6%	7.6%	14.6%	4.6%	10.2%	13.1%	10.2%	9.3%	3.2%
	Not heard of	2.8%	4.7%	4.6%	0.6%	0.5%	6.4%	0.4%	1.6%	0.7%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strong fav	11.8%	10.0%	13.2%	12.6%	10.6%	13.2%	15.4%	9.5%	6.8%
	SW favorable	32.6%	29.0%	28.6%	33.5%	41.3%	30.4%	41.8%	25.9%	30.9%
	Favorable	44.4%	39.0%	41.8%	46.1%	51.8%	43.6%	57.2%	35.4%	37.7%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	48.4%	46.9%	51.1%	45.2%	41.9%	39.1%	57.4%	60.6%
	SW unfav	22.3%	23.6%	21.8%	18.3%	26.4%	21.7%	24.1%	23.9%	19.3%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	24.8%	25.1%	32.8%	18.7%	20.2%	15.0%	33.5%	41.2%
	No opinion	6.0%	9.1%	8.4%	2.8%	3.0%	9.9%	3.8%	6.6%	1.8%
	Not heard of	1.7%	3.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total								
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strong fav	6.9%	7.7%	4.9%	10.3%	5.0%	5.8%	11.3%	6.6%	3.3%
	SW favorable	22.9%	23.5%	22.6%	25.8%	19.1%	22.6%	19.9%	23.7%	26.5%
	Favorable	29.8%	31.3%	27.5%	36.0%	24.2%	28.3%	31.2%	30.3%	29.8%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	58.0%	59.8%	61.8%	73.1%	55.9%	65.2%	64.6%	69.4%
	SW unfav	26.8%	21.2%	27.0%	28.0%	31.0%	22.1%	29.3%	29.0%	29.4%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	36.7%	32.8%	33.8%	42.2%	33.8%	35.9%	35.6%	40.0%
	No opinion	5.8%	7.4%	9.7%	2.1%	2.7%	10.8%	3.6%	5.1%	0.8%
	Not heard of	1.7%	3.4%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	34.1%	36.0%	34.3%	41.9%	35.6%	37.8%	34.4%	37.8%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	9.5%	11.7%	9.5%	11.0%	11.0%	10.3%	10.4%	10.0%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	16.3%	15.2%	21.7%	20.0%	13.9%	18.7%	18.8%	23.8%
	Taxes	6.7%	5.5%	5.6%	10.8%	4.5%	5.4%	8.9%	5.9%	6.7%
	Immigration	7.7%	9.1%	9.1%	5.3%	7.1%	8.5%	5.4%	10.2%	7.1%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	3.8%	6.7%	3.4%	4.7%	5.8%	5.3%	5.1%	2.3%
	Health care	5.9%	7.6%	6.9%	4.6%	4.2%	8.9%	6.5%	4.2%	1.7%
	Gas prices	4.0%	7.2%	4.3%	2.7%	1.5%	5.7%	2.6%	5.2%	1.6%
	Energy costs	1.3%	2.2%	0.0%	2.5%	1.0%	0.9%	1.6%	0.9%	2.1%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	2.8%	1.1%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	2.9%	1.0%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	0.7%	1.5%	1.5%	1.7%	1.1%	1.4%	1.2%	1.9%
	Something else	1.8%	1.1%	1.8%	2.5%	1.7%	1.9%	0.6%	0.9%	4.1%
	California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161
A lot better		3.3%	3.4%	1.3%	7.4%	1.2%	3.0%	7.6%	0.8%	0.6%
A little better		27.1%	23.7%	23.4%	30.9%	32.0%	22.6%	31.5%	25.1%	31.3%
Better		30.4%	27.1%	24.6%	38.3%	33.2%	25.5%	39.0%	25.8%	31.9%
Staying the same		35.0%	38.1%	36.4%	30.1%	35.3%	40.0%	35.5%	32.1%	28.5%
Worse		34.6%	34.8%	39.0%	31.6%	31.6%	34.5%	25.4%	42.1%	39.6%
A little worse		21.8%	21.7%	23.6%	20.7%	20.6%	20.6%	16.1%	26.6%	26.7%
A lot worse		12.8%	13.1%	15.4%	10.9%	10.9%	13.9%	9.3%	15.5%	13.0%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A lot better	3.6%	2.8%	2.5%	6.9%	2.1%	3.3%	7.4%	1.4%	1.0%
	A little better	18.6%	19.1%	14.6%	22.7%	19.2%	21.7%	28.9%	7.3%	10.7%
	Better	22.2%	21.9%	17.1%	29.5%	21.3%	25.0%	36.4%	8.7%	11.8%
	Staying the same	44.8%	45.3%	44.5%	43.2%	46.9%	43.5%	39.7%	47.0%	51.7%
	Worse	33.0%	32.8%	38.4%	27.3%	31.8%	31.5%	24.0%	44.2%	36.5%
	A little worse	23.8%	24.4%	25.8%	21.0%	23.7%	22.3%	17.3%	30.5%	28.7%
	A lot worse	9.2%	8.4%	12.6%	6.3%	8.2%	9.2%	6.7%	13.8%	7.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography										
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Much better	3.7%	4.3%	0.5%	7.8%	3.0%	3.0%	9.8%	0.3%	0.0%
	SW better	19.3%	15.5%	19.1%	22.1%	20.4%	19.3%	20.4%	14.6%	22.4%
	Better	23.0%	19.7%	19.5%	29.9%	23.4%	22.3%	30.3%	14.9%	22.4%
	About the same	35.9%	40.5%	36.7%	33.2%	33.3%	37.4%	34.6%	39.7%	31.4%
	Worse	41.1%	39.8%	43.8%	37.0%	43.3%	40.3%	35.1%	45.3%	46.2%
	SW worse	28.7%	27.9%	30.4%	23.8%	32.7%	28.0%	25.3%	31.7%	31.2%
	Much worse	12.4%	11.9%	13.4%	13.2%	10.6%	12.3%	9.7%	13.6%	15.0%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	3.3%	2.9%	6.8%	4.3%	3.4%	7.9%	2.4%	2.7%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	20.6%	21.0%	21.4%	22.4%	24.3%	26.7%	14.8%	15.5%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	12.0%	9.9%	8.0%	7.1%	12.2%	10.1%	8.1%	4.3%
	No	61.3%	58.4%	62.9%	61.0%	62.3%	56.0%	51.3%	69.8%	75.2%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	5.8%	3.3%	2.8%	3.9%	4.0%	4.1%	4.9%	2.3%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Way too high	38.3%	38.7%	41.8%	33.2%	38.7%	40.6%	30.5%	40.5%	42.6%
	SW too high	38.0%	34.1%	39.5%	38.2%	39.9%	35.5%	45.2%	40.4%	30.7%
	Too high	76.4%	72.8%	81.3%	71.4%	78.6%	76.0%	75.8%	80.9%	73.3%
	About right	19.4%	23.0%	18.1%	19.3%	17.8%	22.5%	19.3%	15.9%	17.7%
	Too low	4.2%	4.2%	0.6%	9.3%	3.6%	1.5%	4.9%	3.2%	9.0%
	SW too low	4.0%	3.2%	0.6%	9.3%	3.6%	0.8%	4.9%	3.2%	9.0%
	Way too low	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	4.2%	4.4%	4.3%	5.6%	2.4%	6.0%	7.2%	1.4%	0.0%
	B - Good	9.1%	9.5%	7.1%	12.8%	7.2%	11.1%	16.9%	2.9%	1.5%
	C - Average	26.5%	30.7%	30.8%	15.3%	28.8%	32.9%	26.6%	26.9%	14.8%
	D - Poor	31.0%	28.4%	30.8%	31.5%	33.4%	25.0%	27.8%	38.2%	38.4%
	F - Failing	29.2%	27.1%	27.0%	34.8%	28.3%	24.9%	21.6%	30.7%	45.3%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	5.1%	7.3%	3.9%	6.5%	2.9%	7.6%	8.5%	1.4%	0.0%
	B - Good	14.2%	13.0%	13.8%	15.9%	14.0%	16.9%	23.3%	7.5%	4.2%
	C - Average	37.0%	37.2%	39.1%	28.6%	43.4%	37.0%	38.5%	40.6%	31.3%
	D - Poor	25.9%	23.8%	26.7%	26.6%	26.2%	22.4%	16.6%	31.0%	39.3%
	F - Failing	17.8%	18.6%	16.5%	22.4%	13.5%	16.2%	13.1%	19.5%	25.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography										
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	5.1%	4.8%	6.1%	7.1%	1.5%	7.4%	8.0%	2.2%	0.0%
	B - Good	11.9%	11.7%	7.9%	15.8%	13.5%	11.9%	23.8%	5.3%	2.8%
	C - Average	29.7%	27.7%	34.0%	21.6%	35.2%	34.0%	26.6%	26.7%	29.3%
	D - Poor	30.7%	33.8%	30.9%	27.0%	31.7%	26.0%	22.3%	42.8%	38.1%
	F - Failing	22.6%	22.1%	21.0%	28.6%	18.2%	20.6%	19.3%	23.0%	29.7%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	5.7%	6.5%	4.6%	8.2%	3.5%	7.4%	10.6%	1.9%	0.0%
	B - Good	16.1%	18.7%	15.8%	14.8%	15.6%	20.4%	19.7%	10.9%	9.2%
	C - Average	36.1%	33.4%	35.0%	35.7%	41.0%	33.1%	36.9%	36.4%	39.7%
	D - Poor	26.7%	28.6%	27.7%	26.0%	24.0%	21.7%	18.5%	39.3%	33.8%
	F - Failing	15.4%	12.9%	17.0%	15.4%	15.8%	17.4%	14.2%	11.5%	17.4%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	4.0%	5.9%	3.5%	5.8%	0.9%	6.0%	6.2%	1.8%	0.0%
	B - Good	8.9%	9.9%	6.5%	11.3%	8.7%	9.3%	13.3%	5.5%	5.9%
	C - Average	28.3%	25.2%	27.9%	25.3%	35.6%	29.1%	32.6%	22.6%	26.5%
	D - Poor	32.4%	32.8%	35.9%	30.5%	28.9%	29.2%	26.3%	44.1%	34.4%
	F - Failing	26.4%	26.3%	26.2%	27.1%	25.9%	26.4%	21.6%	25.9%	33.2%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	A - Excellent	4.0%	4.9%	2.1%	7.1%	2.0%	3.8%	8.4%	2.3%	0.0%
	B - Good	6.8%	7.6%	5.1%	8.1%	7.0%	7.8%	13.0%	3.1%	0.4%
	C - Average	36.0%	39.1%	39.9%	27.1%	37.2%	45.2%	35.7%	29.6%	26.5%
	D - Poor	29.7%	26.0%	32.4%	27.9%	31.9%	23.6%	24.5%	40.6%	36.5%
	F - Failing	23.5%	22.5%	20.4%	29.8%	21.9%	19.5%	18.3%	24.5%	36.5%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Very good job	3.0%	3.9%	0.8%	7.5%	0.0%	3.3%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	SW good job	11.5%	10.0%	10.2%	13.1%	13.4%	12.6%	18.1%	5.7%	6.7%
	Good job	14.6%	13.9%	11.0%	20.6%	13.4%	15.9%	25.3%	5.7%	6.7%
	Can't say	26.2%	30.4%	29.4%	18.8%	25.6%	29.9%	28.1%	29.5%	13.8%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	55.7%	59.6%	60.6%	61.0%	54.2%	46.7%	64.7%	79.4%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	17.9%	24.5%	28.3%	32.9%	18.5%	26.1%	27.6%	36.1%
Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	37.8%	35.1%	32.3%	28.1%	35.7%	20.6%	37.1%	43.3%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
			Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography											
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164	
	Very pos impact	12.9%	13.3%	9.0%	16.1%	14.4%	14.2%	23.0%	4.9%	5.1%	
	SW pos impact	34.6%	33.3%	34.8%	29.8%	41.6%	36.3%	38.5%	30.4%	30.7%	
	Positive impact	47.5%	46.7%	43.8%	45.8%	56.0%	50.5%	61.6%	35.3%	35.9%	
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	30.9%	43.7%	27.4%	28.2%	38.0%	25.6%	39.0%	30.6%	
	Negative impact	19.0%	22.4%	12.5%	26.8%	15.8%	11.4%	12.8%	25.8%	33.5%	
	Very neg impact	8.4%	9.1%	6.2%	13.0%	5.4%	7.9%	5.1%	6.5%	15.3%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164	
	Job creation	21.1%	20.1%	15.9%	31.0%	18.3%	21.8%	34.6%	10.3%	12.8%	
	Can't say	52.4%	57.1%	60.0%	37.0%	54.2%	60.7%	46.8%	55.3%	42.4%	
	Job loss	26.5%	22.8%	24.2%	31.9%	27.5%	17.5%	18.6%	34.4%	44.8%	
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164	
	Serious threat	37.8%	37.1%	36.3%	34.4%	44.9%	37.5%	40.1%	35.2%	38.0%	
	Moderate threat	33.2%	32.7%	29.9%	34.9%	36.4%	29.8%	40.8%	33.4%	28.7%	
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	22.2%	20.4%	24.6%	13.6%	20.4%	13.3%	22.5%	27.7%	
	Can't say	8.6%	8.0%	13.4%	6.1%	5.2%	12.4%	5.8%	9.0%	5.5%	
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164	
	Very willing	7.2%	4.3%	4.8%	10.7%	9.7%	5.7%	12.4%	2.6%	7.4%	
	SW willing	22.8%	20.2%	19.7%	23.3%	29.5%	20.0%	29.3%	19.8%	22.0%	
	Willing	30.0%	24.5%	24.5%	33.9%	39.2%	25.7%	41.6%	22.3%	29.3%	
	Can't say	17.1%	16.8%	18.5%	17.2%	15.2%	18.7%	22.9%	16.2%	7.5%	
	Unwilling	53.0%	58.6%	57.0%	48.9%	45.7%	55.6%	35.4%	61.4%	63.2%	
	SW unwilling	20.9%	17.8%	21.9%	21.0%	22.7%	17.9%	18.8%	24.3%	25.7%	
	Very unwilling	32.0%	40.8%	35.1%	27.9%	23.0%	37.7%	16.6%	37.1%	37.5%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164	
	Very pos	6.5%	6.0%	4.4%	9.7%	6.2%	7.3%	11.1%	1.3%	4.2%	
	SW positive	25.2%	21.0%	21.2%	30.0%	29.9%	22.1%	36.4%	19.2%	21.4%	
	Positive	31.6%	27.0%	25.6%	39.7%	36.1%	29.4%	47.5%	20.5%	25.6%	
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	30.1%	32.8%	19.8%	31.7%	33.1%	27.1%	29.1%	22.7%	
	Negative	29.7%	32.0%	27.0%	34.9%	25.0%	22.2%	19.4%	41.1%	44.8%	
	SW neg	14.4%	17.6%	14.7%	12.9%	12.4%	12.1%	8.4%	22.7%	18.2%	
	Very neg	15.3%	14.4%	12.3%	22.0%	12.7%	10.2%	11.0%	18.4%	26.6%	
I don't know	10.0%	10.9%	14.7%	5.7%	7.2%	15.3%	6.0%	9.3%	6.9%		

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strongly support	18.9%	20.4%	10.6%	28.6%	18.4%	14.8%	23.7%	14.5%	24.2%
	SW support	30.5%	30.5%	29.0%	29.8%	33.3%	31.3%	36.0%	26.7%	25.3%
	Support	49.4%	50.9%	39.6%	58.4%	51.7%	46.0%	59.8%	41.2%	49.5%
	Can't say	22.0%	21.5%	31.9%	13.5%	17.9%	30.3%	18.7%	23.0%	11.3%
	Oppose	28.6%	27.5%	28.5%	28.1%	30.4%	23.7%	21.5%	35.8%	39.2%
	SW oppose	13.6%	10.1%	14.9%	13.4%	15.6%	10.6%	11.9%	17.1%	17.7%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	17.4%	13.6%	14.7%	14.8%	13.1%	9.6%	18.7%	21.5%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	12.8%	11.7%	23.0%	19.6%	11.9%	20.5%	12.6%	22.7%
	SW Yes	13.1%	12.2%	13.2%	13.8%	13.0%	12.3%	17.0%	13.5%	8.8%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	21.5%	18.1%	16.2%	16.6%	19.2%	17.4%	20.0%	15.0%
	Yes	47.6%	46.5%	42.9%	53.0%	49.3%	43.4%	54.9%	46.2%	46.5%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	26.1%	35.2%	17.1%	22.3%	35.8%	23.4%	23.7%	14.4%
	No	26.5%	27.4%	21.8%	29.9%	28.4%	20.7%	21.7%	30.1%	39.0%
	Lean No	6.7%	6.5%	5.2%	6.2%	9.9%	5.1%	6.9%	6.8%	9.2%
	SW No	3.9%	2.5%	5.4%	3.6%	3.6%	4.5%	2.9%	3.6%	4.4%
	Strongly No	15.8%	18.4%	11.3%	20.2%	14.9%	11.1%	11.9%	19.7%	25.4%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Very willing	23.7%	25.8%	20.2%	32.0%	17.2%	23.3%	22.9%	21.1%	28.1%
	SW willing	27.1%	27.0%	28.9%	24.6%	27.7%	27.6%	24.3%	29.2%	28.2%
	Willing	50.9%	52.8%	49.1%	56.5%	44.8%	50.9%	47.2%	50.3%	56.3%
	Can't say	20.8%	22.3%	28.5%	16.6%	12.6%	29.3%	20.2%	20.0%	7.6%
	Unwilling	28.3%	24.9%	22.4%	26.9%	42.6%	19.8%	32.6%	29.7%	36.0%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	10.0%	16.4%	10.5%	20.0%	11.3%	15.4%	18.2%	14.2%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	14.8%	5.9%	16.4%	22.6%	8.5%	17.2%	11.5%	21.9%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Strongly support	21.8%	19.7%	17.2%	34.3%	16.0%	16.6%	20.1%	21.1%	33.6%
	SW support	24.6%	30.2%	19.9%	27.7%	21.9%	21.2%	26.8%	29.5%	22.7%
	Support	46.3%	49.8%	37.1%	62.0%	37.8%	37.8%	46.9%	50.5%	56.3%
	Can't say	24.0%	22.5%	35.6%	11.2%	23.4%	34.7%	19.7%	22.2%	12.9%
	Oppose	29.7%	27.6%	27.3%	26.8%	38.8%	27.6%	33.4%	27.2%	30.9%
	SW oppose	14.6%	13.8%	15.0%	14.4%	15.3%	14.9%	16.5%	13.8%	12.5%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	13.9%	12.4%	12.5%	23.5%	12.7%	16.9%	13.4%	18.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total								
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	15.7%	17.5%	8.5%	29.3%	8.2%	10.8%	14.2%	14.8%	26.8%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	23.3%	10.6%	27.5%	17.7%	11.6%	22.8%	23.3%	23.2%
	Increase	34.8%	40.8%	19.1%	56.7%	25.9%	22.4%	37.0%	38.1%	50.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	23.3%	29.5%	21.9%	23.5%	25.7%	22.0%	29.0%	23.4%
	Decrease	29.5%	29.8%	33.2%	16.6%	39.0%	37.9%	31.3%	21.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	10.3%	15.1%	7.2%	15.9%	15.3%	13.6%	9.3%	8.1%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	19.5%	18.1%	9.3%	23.2%	22.6%	17.8%	11.7%	12.9%
	Can't say	10.8%	6.1%	18.2%	4.8%	11.6%	13.9%	9.7%	11.9%	5.6%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	51.3%	50.6%	47.2%	50.7%	58.7%	48.7%	55.7%	48.6%	52.7%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	27.2%	25.0%	26.1%	22.2%	21.6%	22.6%	33.5%	26.5%
	Increase	76.5%	77.9%	72.2%	76.9%	80.9%	70.3%	78.3%	82.0%	79.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	17.5%	15.4%	14.0%	10.0%	18.8%	13.6%	11.9%	10.4%
	Decrease	5.2%	3.9%	4.1%	6.8%	6.5%	4.7%	5.4%	2.8%	8.3%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	2.7%	2.7%	2.5%	4.9%	3.5%	4.4%	1.2%	2.5%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	1.2%	1.4%	4.3%	1.6%	1.2%	1.0%	1.5%	5.8%
	Can't say	3.9%	0.8%	8.3%	2.3%	2.7%	6.3%	2.8%	3.3%	2.1%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	42.7%	41.5%	40.8%	38.8%	51.6%	38.5%	44.1%	45.6%	45.4%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	29.8%	26.4%	31.3%	24.3%	26.7%	30.5%	29.7%	24.9%
	Increase	70.7%	71.3%	67.2%	70.1%	75.9%	65.2%	74.6%	75.3%	70.3%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	20.3%	19.0%	16.6%	13.3%	21.0%	15.5%	17.1%	14.6%
	Decrease	7.4%	6.7%	4.9%	11.2%	7.4%	7.1%	5.7%	3.0%	14.4%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	4.6%	1.5%	4.8%	3.6%	3.7%	3.7%	1.2%	5.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	2.1%	3.3%	6.4%	3.8%	3.4%	2.0%	1.8%	9.4%
	Can't say	4.4%	1.7%	8.9%	2.1%	3.4%	6.7%	4.2%	4.6%	0.6%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	30.1%	28.9%	21.7%	36.6%	36.3%	24.3%	37.1%	25.3%	35.6%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	40.1%	33.0%	37.6%	33.3%	32.0%	32.6%	42.8%	39.6%
	Increase	65.9%	69.0%	54.7%	74.2%	69.6%	56.3%	69.7%	68.1%	75.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	24.2%	25.1%	19.8%	19.1%	27.1%	20.1%	20.5%	18.6%
	Decrease	4.8%	3.5%	6.3%	3.0%	6.2%	6.5%	5.3%	2.7%	3.3%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	1.6%	5.3%	3.0%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	2.2%	2.5%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.8%
	Can't say	7.0%	3.4%	13.9%	3.1%	5.1%	10.1%	4.9%	8.6%	2.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total								
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	20.0%	21.3%	13.2%	31.9%	14.4%	15.5%	18.4%	18.5%	31.1%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	37.9%	24.2%	32.8%	30.9%	27.1%	28.2%	34.9%	36.9%
	Increase	50.8%	59.3%	37.4%	64.8%	45.3%	42.5%	46.6%	53.5%	68.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	25.2%	31.8%	18.5%	30.3%	29.8%	27.9%	27.8%	18.6%
	Decrease	10.1%	9.2%	8.9%	11.5%	11.1%	10.8%	16.5%	5.9%	4.5%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	5.7%	5.7%	8.3%	6.7%	6.4%	11.6%	4.5%	2.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	3.5%	3.2%	3.2%	4.5%	4.4%	4.9%	1.3%	2.3%
	Can't say	12.4%	6.3%	21.8%	5.3%	13.3%	16.8%	9.0%	12.9%	8.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Greatly increase	8.5%	8.9%	6.1%	12.0%	7.3%	7.8%	12.1%	6.4%	7.0%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	12.0%	15.6%	16.4%	11.8%	14.7%	13.3%	13.0%	15.6%
	Increase	22.7%	20.9%	21.7%	28.5%	19.1%	22.5%	25.4%	19.4%	22.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	27.9%	30.5%	22.0%	22.9%	33.5%	18.9%	22.4%	26.9%
	Decrease	41.6%	46.3%	28.1%	46.8%	50.3%	30.8%	49.3%	44.2%	47.3%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	22.7%	12.5%	23.3%	20.9%	15.1%	22.0%	19.5%	22.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	23.7%	15.6%	23.5%	29.4%	15.7%	27.2%	24.7%	24.9%
	Can't say	9.6%	4.9%	19.6%	2.8%	7.7%	13.2%	6.3%	14.0%	3.2%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes	13.2%	9.5%	12.5%	17.0%	13.7%	15.0%	19.6%	4.7%	10.0%
	No	86.8%	90.5%	87.5%	83.0%	86.3%	85.0%	80.4%	95.3%	90.0%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes, positive	12.9%	10.7%	9.3%	21.5%	10.4%	12.2%	26.1%	5.8%	3.7%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	28.6%	19.2%	13.0%	19.8%	26.3%	19.1%	17.5%	12.2%
	No, no change	67.2%	60.7%	71.6%	65.5%	69.8%	61.5%	54.8%	76.7%	84.1%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes	32.3%	35.2%	33.7%	28.7%	31.3%	41.3%	43.0%	22.0%	12.5%
	No	67.7%	64.8%	66.3%	71.3%	68.7%	58.7%	57.0%	78.0%	87.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Education / Gender				18 to 54 / Education				
		Non-Coll		Coll+		18-54		55+		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Non-Coll	Coll+	Non-Coll	Coll+	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total								
Marital status	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Married	43.2%	36.7%	39.8%	55.7%	40.1%	35.5%	41.8%	43.8%	57.4%
	Single	32.7%	44.0%	29.4%	28.9%	30.3%	47.9%	45.1%	13.6%	9.1%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	7.1%	16.5%	12.8%	17.9%	8.1%	8.4%	20.7%	24.0%
	Widowed	6.3%	4.9%	10.8%	1.4%	7.1%	2.8%	1.1%	18.1%	7.9%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	7.2%	3.4%	1.2%	4.6%	5.7%	3.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Children	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes	55.9%	45.2%	64.5%	56.5%	53.7%	51.4%	46.0%	65.5%	67.3%
	No	44.1%	54.8%	35.5%	43.5%	46.3%	48.6%	54.0%	34.5%	32.7%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Very conservative	13.0%	12.8%	9.6%	20.5%	9.5%	8.7%	12.3%	14.8%	19.6%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	31.6%	27.4%	20.3%	24.0%	27.3%	20.6%	32.4%	23.9%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	16.6%	22.9%	22.8%	25.7%	21.8%	24.4%	17.5%	23.7%
	Very liberal	11.5%	7.9%	11.9%	8.5%	18.3%	12.2%	15.9%	6.7%	9.2%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	23.4%	21.5%	22.1%	16.6%	20.9%	20.9%	24.7%	17.9%
	Libertarian	2.8%	0.3%	2.0%	4.3%	4.8%	1.7%	4.5%	0.5%	4.6%
	Other	3.8%	7.4%	4.8%	1.4%	1.2%	7.3%	1.5%	3.5%	1.0%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	18.6%	27.0%	18.2%	22.0%	26.9%	23.8%	17.5%	14.8%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	21.0%	16.5%	22.0%	32.4%	18.6%	28.9%	17.8%	23.9%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	6.4%	8.4%	7.7%	7.2%	6.7%	7.6%	9.1%	7.3%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	13.0%	12.1%	12.0%	11.3%	14.0%	15.6%	9.7%	6.4%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	6.6%	11.5%	10.1%	4.4%	7.2%	5.9%	13.4%	9.5%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	19.5%	11.2%	14.1%	14.8%	13.6%	9.0%	16.4%	21.5%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	6.6%	9.7%	9.5%	4.4%	7.1%	5.4%	10.8%	9.5%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	8.3%	3.7%	6.5%	3.4%	5.8%	3.7%	5.2%	6.9%
Union	Sample Size	825	184	260	206	174	283	216	161	164
	Yes	18.8%	16.2%	15.9%	16.9%	28.2%	14.4%	21.9%	18.9%	22.2%
	No	81.2%	83.8%	84.1%	83.1%	71.8%	85.6%	78.1%	81.1%	77.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Right track	41.4%	43.8%	24.1%	34.1%	44.8%	41.9%	36.5%	49.7%	36.8%	100.0%	0.0%
	Wrong track	58.6%	56.2%	75.9%	65.9%	55.2%	58.1%	63.5%	50.3%	63.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strong fav	20.4%	25.8%	7.4%	22.1%	19.6%	22.5%	8.9%	20.7%	17.7%	34.6%	10.3%
	SW favorable	33.2%	35.2%	27.6%	27.8%	29.8%	32.6%	37.3%	37.3%	29.7%	48.2%	22.6%
	Favorable	53.6%	61.0%	35.0%	49.9%	49.4%	55.0%	46.2%	58.0%	47.4%	82.9%	32.9%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	36.3%	65.0%	44.6%	46.7%	38.7%	53.8%	39.8%	46.0%	14.0%	63.6%
	SW unfav	15.4%	16.0%	15.0%	11.9%	13.6%	11.7%	19.2%	19.0%	14.7%	8.9%	20.1%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	20.3%	50.0%	32.7%	33.1%	27.0%	34.6%	20.8%	31.3%	5.1%	43.6%
	No opinion	2.5%	2.2%	0.0%	5.5%	1.3%	6.2%	0.0%	1.1%	4.1%	2.5%	2.6%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	2.5%	0.6%	0.9%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strong fav	9.1%	12.6%	4.1%	7.3%	6.4%	10.8%	8.3%	8.0%	8.3%	17.2%	3.4%
	SW favorable	34.5%	37.0%	20.4%	22.6%	26.9%	32.1%	41.0%	46.4%	32.5%	54.5%	20.3%
	Favorable	43.6%	49.5%	24.6%	29.9%	33.3%	42.9%	49.3%	54.4%	40.8%	71.7%	23.7%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	37.3%	68.3%	49.2%	51.7%	41.5%	39.2%	34.0%	42.8%	13.6%	63.2%
	SW unfav	23.3%	21.8%	32.1%	20.2%	30.4%	24.5%	25.9%	19.5%	21.0%	10.8%	32.2%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	15.5%	36.2%	29.0%	21.3%	17.0%	13.3%	14.5%	21.8%	2.8%	31.0%
	No opinion	11.6%	11.7%	4.6%	17.7%	9.7%	10.9%	11.5%	11.6%	13.9%	12.3%	11.0%
Not heard of	2.2%	1.4%	2.5%	3.3%	5.3%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	2.3%	2.1%	
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strong fav	4.2%	8.3%	1.2%	4.1%	0.0%	2.4%	4.3%	4.1%	0.0%	8.0%	1.5%
	SW favorable	22.5%	23.5%	13.6%	20.9%	26.6%	26.1%	23.7%	21.9%	19.9%	42.3%	8.6%
	Favorable	26.7%	31.8%	14.8%	25.0%	26.6%	28.6%	28.0%	25.9%	19.9%	50.3%	10.1%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	53.0%	79.4%	62.3%	56.4%	62.4%	60.8%	64.0%	62.5%	34.0%	79.9%
	SW unfav	33.9%	32.5%	41.8%	35.7%	30.3%	32.8%	28.8%	32.6%	43.7%	27.3%	38.5%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	20.6%	37.6%	26.5%	26.0%	29.6%	32.0%	31.4%	18.8%	6.7%	41.4%
	No opinion	9.6%	12.8%	2.5%	11.8%	9.2%	6.0%	11.2%	8.7%	11.4%	12.4%	7.7%
Not heard of	2.8%	2.5%	3.3%	0.9%	7.9%	3.0%	0.0%	1.3%	6.1%	3.3%	2.4%	
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strong fav	11.8%	18.4%	3.0%	11.6%	4.7%	11.5%	15.3%	9.4%	9.8%	21.2%	5.1%
	SW favorable	32.6%	36.1%	25.8%	32.7%	34.6%	28.6%	22.3%	36.1%	29.9%	49.5%	20.7%
	Favorable	44.4%	54.5%	28.8%	44.3%	39.3%	40.0%	37.6%	45.5%	39.7%	70.7%	25.8%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	38.1%	68.4%	44.7%	54.7%	42.6%	57.0%	51.1%	51.6%	22.2%	66.0%
	SW unfav	22.3%	19.7%	23.5%	20.3%	24.1%	17.8%	20.7%	29.8%	19.2%	16.9%	26.1%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	18.4%	45.0%	24.4%	30.6%	24.8%	36.3%	21.3%	32.4%	5.3%	40.0%
	No opinion	6.0%	5.7%	1.3%	9.3%	0.9%	15.6%	5.4%	3.4%	4.0%	5.6%	6.3%
	Not heard of	1.7%	1.7%	1.4%	1.6%	5.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	1.5%	1.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strong fav	6.9%	8.5%	7.3%	5.3%	8.5%	6.2%	8.8%	4.6%	7.2%	5.5%	7.9%
	SW favorable	22.9%	22.1%	35.8%	20.4%	29.0%	20.3%	23.7%	18.3%	24.1%	16.4%	27.5%
	Favorable	29.8%	30.5%	43.1%	25.6%	37.5%	26.5%	32.6%	22.9%	31.3%	21.9%	35.3%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	61.5%	54.1%	64.6%	57.4%	59.9%	59.6%	73.5%	57.6%	69.6%	57.8%
	SW unfav	26.8%	29.9%	38.2%	26.6%	22.5%	24.7%	31.1%	23.2%	16.2%	25.6%	27.6%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	31.6%	15.9%	38.0%	34.9%	35.2%	28.5%	50.3%	41.4%	44.0%	30.2%
	No opinion	5.8%	6.3%	2.8%	8.5%	0.0%	9.8%	7.8%	3.6%	8.6%	6.9%	5.0%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.3%	5.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	1.6%	1.8%	
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	34.6%	26.0%	51.6%	33.2%	35.7%	32.2%	37.6%	38.9%	37.0%	36.0%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	9.3%	5.7%	8.8%	8.8%	8.0%	19.2%	15.2%	9.1%	14.4%	7.8%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	18.8%	28.5%	17.1%	11.9%	19.2%	21.8%	14.4%	18.5%	12.9%	21.8%
	Taxes	6.7%	7.6%	15.8%	4.4%	3.3%	2.7%	7.6%	8.2%	1.3%	5.6%	7.4%
	Immigration	7.7%	7.2%	13.8%	7.3%	11.8%	12.2%	7.7%	1.7%	7.1%	6.6%	8.5%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	3.0%	2.5%	3.5%	7.4%	7.3%	5.8%	3.6%	12.6%	5.6%	4.2%
	Health care	5.9%	7.5%	1.3%	1.6%	0.9%	7.7%	2.3%	10.9%	2.9%	7.7%	4.6%
	Gas prices	4.0%	5.4%	0.0%	4.3%	12.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.8%	5.5%	3.0%	4.7%
	Energy costs	1.3%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	3.5%	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	1.1%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%	4.6%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%	2.5%	2.3%	0.8%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	2.3%	0.7%
Something else	1.8%	2.3%	4.5%	0.0%	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.0%	2.3%	
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A lot better	3.3%	6.5%	0.0%	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%	4.0%	1.2%	4.3%	6.4%	1.0%
	A little better	27.1%	28.0%	15.4%	26.5%	19.7%	29.4%	24.1%	32.8%	30.5%	52.0%	9.6%
	Better	30.4%	34.5%	15.4%	28.7%	22.3%	31.7%	28.0%	33.9%	34.8%	58.4%	10.6%
	Staying the same	35.0%	31.6%	37.9%	33.9%	34.8%	33.3%	28.6%	41.6%	36.3%	32.6%	36.6%
	Worse	34.6%	33.9%	46.7%	37.4%	42.8%	35.0%	43.4%	24.5%	28.9%	9.0%	52.7%
	A little worse	21.8%	20.4%	30.2%	21.5%	31.9%	26.4%	28.0%	13.8%	12.9%	7.8%	31.7%
A lot worse	12.8%	13.5%	16.5%	15.9%	11.0%	8.6%	15.4%	10.7%	16.0%	1.2%	21.0%	
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A lot better	3.6%	6.1%	0.0%	3.7%	2.3%	5.1%	0.0%	2.3%	3.4%	6.1%	1.8%
	A little better	18.6%	24.9%	19.8%	8.7%	13.3%	20.2%	12.3%	20.0%	11.5%	28.1%	11.9%
	Better	22.2%	30.9%	19.8%	12.4%	15.6%	25.2%	12.3%	22.3%	14.9%	34.2%	13.6%
	Staying the same	44.8%	39.4%	42.3%	53.7%	46.3%	42.3%	53.1%	45.4%	50.9%	48.5%	42.2%
	Worse	33.0%	29.7%	37.9%	33.9%	38.1%	32.5%	34.7%	32.3%	34.2%	17.3%	44.1%
	A little worse	23.8%	18.4%	24.4%	24.7%	30.6%	25.3%	28.9%	24.7%	24.9%	14.8%	30.2%
A lot worse	9.2%	11.3%	13.4%	9.2%	7.5%	7.2%	5.7%	7.6%	9.2%	2.5%	13.9%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Geography								CA on the:		
		Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track	
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Much better	3.7%	7.8%	1.2%	3.6%	4.7%	2.3%	4.0%	0.6%	0.0%	7.7%	0.9%
	SW better	19.3%	23.4%	11.3%	7.8%	10.3%	21.7%	17.7%	25.4%	21.2%	35.4%	8.0%
	Better	23.0%	31.2%	12.5%	11.4%	15.0%	24.0%	21.6%	25.9%	21.2%	43.0%	8.8%
	About the same	35.9%	29.2%	29.4%	39.2%	37.8%	37.3%	36.4%	41.1%	46.3%	41.9%	31.8%
	Worse	41.1%	39.6%	58.1%	49.5%	47.2%	38.7%	42.0%	33.0%	32.5%	15.1%	59.4%
	SW worse	28.7%	27.0%	35.3%	37.0%	32.8%	30.3%	35.4%	20.2%	24.7%	13.6%	39.3%
Much worse	12.4%	12.6%	22.8%	12.5%	14.4%	8.4%	6.6%	12.8%	7.8%	1.5%	20.1%	
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	8.9%	3.6%	3.6%	2.6%	1.7%	2.1%	1.9%	3.7%	3.7%	4.6%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	22.0%	33.2%	23.3%	21.8%	19.8%	12.8%	17.9%	21.5%	15.1%	25.7%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	7.9%	9.0%	10.0%	8.6%	11.9%	3.0%	10.5%	12.5%	8.0%	10.2%
	No	61.3%	57.0%	49.2%	59.5%	67.0%	61.1%	77.8%	66.3%	58.4%	68.6%	56.1%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	4.3%	5.0%	3.6%	0.0%	5.5%	4.3%	3.5%	4.0%	4.6%	3.3%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Way too high	38.3%	40.4%	49.5%	30.8%	49.9%	38.6%	37.6%	31.8%	30.9%	20.7%	50.8%
	SW too high	38.0%	40.8%	41.3%	46.9%	37.1%	33.7%	33.2%	33.4%	37.3%	45.4%	32.8%
	Too high	76.4%	81.2%	90.8%	77.6%	87.0%	72.3%	70.8%	65.2%	68.2%	66.1%	83.6%
	About right	19.4%	14.6%	9.2%	18.8%	8.8%	23.4%	25.9%	28.1%	28.1%	28.8%	12.9%
	Too low	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%	3.6%	4.2%	4.3%	3.2%	6.7%	3.6%	5.2%	3.5%
	SW too low	4.0%	3.3%	0.0%	3.6%	4.2%	4.3%	3.2%	6.7%	3.6%	5.2%	3.1%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	4.2%	6.9%	2.6%	5.0%	3.4%	4.5%	3.7%	2.4%	0.0%	5.9%	3.0%
	B - Good	9.1%	8.6%	8.9%	8.2%	13.0%	8.7%	13.7%	8.9%	3.8%	17.7%	3.0%
	C - Average	26.5%	31.2%	19.3%	25.3%	19.9%	31.7%	18.7%	22.7%	36.0%	40.3%	16.7%
	D - Poor	31.0%	29.0%	30.7%	31.8%	30.5%	22.6%	32.8%	39.8%	26.2%	28.8%	32.5%
	F - Failing	29.2%	24.3%	38.5%	29.7%	33.2%	32.4%	31.0%	26.2%	34.0%	7.2%	44.8%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	5.1%	8.0%	2.7%	6.6%	5.2%	4.9%	3.7%	3.0%	1.3%	7.0%	3.7%
	B - Good	14.2%	18.0%	12.2%	8.4%	11.8%	13.8%	20.3%	14.2%	8.3%	24.6%	6.9%
	C - Average	37.0%	38.7%	25.5%	33.8%	35.2%	37.7%	31.4%	40.7%	44.1%	48.5%	28.8%
	D - Poor	25.9%	22.8%	27.2%	35.5%	22.9%	24.8%	25.1%	25.7%	30.3%	16.4%	32.7%
	F - Failing	17.8%	12.5%	32.4%	15.6%	24.9%	18.9%	19.5%	16.3%	16.1%	3.5%	27.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Geography								CA on the:		
		Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track	
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	5.1%	7.6%	0.0%	10.0%	2.4%	5.3%	3.7%	4.0%	0.0%	6.9%	3.8%
	B - Good	11.9%	14.7%	12.5%	4.6%	11.7%	13.0%	12.7%	12.4%	6.3%	23.1%	4.0%
	C - Average	29.7%	29.5%	21.4%	34.0%	26.7%	25.9%	16.6%	35.8%	40.2%	41.5%	21.4%
	D - Poor	30.7%	29.4%	34.0%	25.9%	32.4%	32.9%	33.3%	28.8%	37.3%	24.3%	35.2%
	F - Failing	22.6%	18.7%	32.1%	25.4%	26.8%	22.9%	33.7%	18.9%	16.2%	4.2%	35.5%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	5.7%	6.4%	3.8%	9.2%	5.0%	6.9%	8.0%	3.8%	1.4%	9.5%	3.0%
	B - Good	16.1%	18.3%	13.8%	10.8%	14.1%	16.0%	15.1%	17.0%	19.8%	25.6%	9.4%
	C - Average	36.1%	34.2%	41.7%	33.0%	41.3%	37.1%	34.8%	34.5%	38.6%	39.9%	33.4%
	D - Poor	26.7%	26.4%	26.0%	35.1%	24.2%	24.5%	26.3%	26.4%	24.8%	19.9%	31.5%
	F - Failing	15.4%	14.7%	14.7%	11.9%	15.4%	15.5%	15.8%	18.3%	15.3%	5.2%	22.6%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	4.0%	6.6%	0.0%	5.6%	4.0%	4.5%	4.0%	2.4%	0.0%	6.8%	2.1%
	B - Good	8.9%	9.5%	2.6%	5.8%	5.0%	14.0%	7.3%	11.9%	7.0%	17.3%	3.0%
	C - Average	28.3%	30.8%	28.7%	25.5%	30.4%	18.1%	33.0%	27.0%	40.0%	42.4%	18.3%
	D - Poor	32.4%	32.2%	23.0%	38.5%	26.9%	39.4%	33.9%	32.3%	26.8%	26.1%	36.8%
	F - Failing	26.4%	20.9%	45.8%	24.6%	33.8%	24.0%	21.8%	26.4%	26.2%	7.4%	39.7%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	A - Excellent	4.0%	8.8%	0.0%	4.3%	1.3%	6.4%	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	6.9%	1.9%
	B - Good	6.8%	9.3%	2.5%	6.0%	7.0%	3.5%	5.7%	8.2%	5.6%	12.4%	2.8%
	C - Average	36.0%	34.5%	35.1%	32.1%	35.4%	39.5%	33.0%	40.4%	31.6%	52.6%	24.2%
	D - Poor	29.7%	27.6%	32.5%	28.7%	26.3%	29.6%	34.0%	29.0%	41.4%	22.6%	34.8%
	F - Failing	23.5%	19.9%	29.9%	29.0%	30.0%	21.0%	25.5%	22.4%	20.0%	5.5%	36.3%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very good job	3.0%	6.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	3.6%	4.0%	1.8%	0.0%	6.1%	0.8%
	SW good job	11.5%	13.7%	7.0%	13.0%	16.3%	11.7%	6.5%	10.2%	7.3%	25.8%	1.4%
	Good job	14.6%	20.0%	7.0%	15.3%	16.3%	15.2%	10.4%	12.0%	7.3%	32.0%	2.3%
	Can't say	26.2%	23.5%	26.5%	21.2%	24.1%	25.8%	23.1%	30.8%	37.9%	35.2%	19.8%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	56.5%	66.5%	63.5%	59.6%	59.0%	66.5%	57.2%	54.9%	32.8%	78.0%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	28.0%	17.5%	17.9%	19.0%	29.6%	34.1%	30.0%	19.8%	25.2%	26.2%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	28.5%	48.9%	45.6%	40.7%	29.4%	32.4%	27.2%	35.1%	7.6%	51.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very pos impact	12.9%	15.0%	2.5%	10.4%	10.4%	19.3%	13.0%	13.7%	8.3%	20.7%	7.4%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	31.0%	33.4%	33.3%	39.5%	32.4%	32.2%	41.2%	32.7%	47.6%	25.5%
	Positive impact	47.5%	46.1%	35.8%	43.8%	49.9%	51.7%	45.2%	54.9%	41.0%	68.3%	32.9%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	37.7%	36.4%	34.6%	27.0%	29.6%	28.3%	30.6%	41.8%	27.0%	38.1%
	Negative impact	19.0%	16.2%	27.8%	21.6%	23.1%	18.7%	26.5%	14.5%	17.2%	4.8%	29.0%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	9.8%	13.7%	9.5%	15.7%	10.5%	11.7%	8.1%	12.0%	4.5%	14.9%
Very neg impact	8.4%	6.4%	14.1%	12.1%	7.3%	8.2%	14.8%	6.3%	5.1%	0.2%	14.1%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Job creation	21.1%	25.8%	16.8%	16.5%	13.2%	19.1%	19.5%	25.5%	17.0%	35.5%	11.0%
	Can't say	52.4%	52.1%	42.2%	56.5%	49.3%	54.6%	48.1%	53.5%	60.4%	54.9%	50.6%
	Job loss	26.5%	22.0%	41.0%	26.9%	37.6%	26.3%	32.4%	21.0%	22.6%	9.7%	38.4%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Serious threat	37.8%	42.7%	23.9%	34.8%	29.2%	35.2%	33.7%	45.2%	38.1%	49.0%	30.0%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	31.1%	39.1%	30.4%	37.7%	35.1%	30.8%	30.3%	40.2%	35.4%	31.6%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	15.9%	37.0%	20.5%	24.7%	24.0%	25.2%	16.6%	11.4%	7.8%	29.3%
	Can't say	8.6%	10.3%	0.0%	14.4%	8.4%	5.6%	10.3%	7.9%	10.2%	7.8%	9.2%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very willing	7.2%	8.8%	4.0%	6.1%	6.7%	9.1%	5.9%	7.9%	1.4%	11.8%	3.9%
	SW willing	22.8%	25.9%	14.4%	18.7%	22.1%	22.4%	18.2%	25.8%	23.2%	33.5%	15.2%
	Willing	30.0%	34.7%	18.4%	24.8%	28.8%	31.5%	24.1%	33.7%	24.6%	45.3%	19.1%
	Can't say	17.1%	15.7%	15.2%	22.7%	7.7%	18.7%	12.8%	18.3%	27.1%	20.4%	14.7%
	Unwilling	53.0%	49.6%	66.4%	52.5%	63.5%	49.8%	63.0%	48.0%	48.3%	34.2%	66.2%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	20.2%	24.3%	23.1%	15.4%	21.2%	30.5%	20.4%	16.0%	19.2%	22.2%
Very unwilling	32.0%	29.4%	42.1%	29.5%	48.1%	28.6%	32.5%	27.6%	32.3%	15.0%	44.0%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very pos	6.5%	10.7%	1.2%	5.3%	4.4%	7.8%	6.2%	4.7%	3.3%	10.3%	3.8%
	SW positive	25.2%	27.6%	25.2%	25.7%	20.9%	24.2%	21.6%	27.0%	18.7%	36.2%	17.4%
	Positive	31.6%	38.3%	26.4%	31.0%	25.3%	32.1%	27.8%	31.7%	22.0%	46.5%	21.2%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	29.9%	21.4%	28.2%	22.5%	24.5%	40.0%	28.6%	41.5%	31.2%	26.9%
	Negative	29.7%	20.3%	41.9%	33.3%	37.4%	33.4%	30.8%	28.1%	33.3%	12.2%	42.0%
	SW neg	14.4%	12.0%	16.9%	16.9%	13.9%	16.6%	11.9%	12.6%	22.4%	9.7%	17.7%
	Very neg	15.3%	8.3%	25.0%	16.5%	23.5%	16.8%	18.9%	15.5%	10.9%	2.5%	24.3%
	I don't know	10.0%	11.5%	10.2%	7.5%	14.8%	10.0%	1.5%	11.6%	3.3%	10.1%	10.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Geography								CA on the:		
		Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track	
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strongly support	18.9%	20.7%	7.5%	17.1%	12.1%	21.8%	21.1%	22.3%	19.8%	27.5%	12.9%
	SW support	30.5%	33.7%	34.8%	30.2%	29.2%	29.9%	24.8%	29.5%	22.5%	41.5%	22.7%
	Support	49.4%	54.4%	42.3%	47.3%	41.3%	51.7%	45.9%	51.8%	42.3%	69.0%	35.5%
	Can't say	22.0%	21.7%	13.5%	25.4%	27.4%	20.2%	23.8%	21.5%	25.1%	19.5%	23.8%
	Oppose	28.6%	23.9%	44.2%	27.3%	31.3%	28.1%	30.3%	26.7%	32.5%	11.5%	40.7%
	SW oppose	13.6%	12.8%	20.3%	16.4%	17.3%	12.7%	14.2%	9.3%	14.3%	8.1%	17.5%
Strongly oppose	15.0%	11.1%	23.9%	11.0%	14.0%	15.4%	16.1%	17.4%	18.2%	3.3%	23.2%	
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	19.9%	9.0%	16.9%	17.3%	13.2%	19.6%	18.8%	5.3%	21.3%	13.1%
	SW Yes	13.1%	16.8%	7.6%	8.9%	18.2%	13.9%	6.0%	9.8%	20.0%	19.0%	8.9%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	16.2%	18.4%	16.9%	13.4%	21.4%	11.4%	22.1%	20.4%	24.3%	13.6%
	Yes	47.6%	52.9%	35.0%	42.8%	48.9%	48.4%	36.9%	50.6%	45.6%	64.6%	35.6%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	26.8%	25.6%	28.4%	23.5%	28.5%	27.5%	24.9%	17.7%	26.0%	25.9%
	No	26.5%	20.3%	39.4%	28.8%	27.6%	23.1%	35.5%	24.4%	36.7%	9.4%	38.5%
	Lean No	6.7%	5.4%	4.3%	11.8%	10.8%	3.7%	7.8%	5.8%	9.6%	2.8%	9.5%
	SW No	3.9%	3.8%	6.1%	5.6%	3.5%	2.8%	6.3%	1.7%	7.0%	1.4%	5.7%
Strongly No	15.8%	11.1%	29.1%	11.4%	13.4%	16.6%	21.4%	16.9%	20.1%	5.2%	23.3%	
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very willing	23.7%	25.7%	27.9%	29.4%	32.2%	23.2%	21.8%	16.1%	15.6%	17.2%	28.4%
	SW willing	27.1%	24.8%	31.5%	31.4%	31.5%	25.2%	35.1%	24.9%	21.9%	25.4%	28.4%
	Willing	50.9%	50.5%	59.4%	60.8%	63.6%	48.3%	57.0%	41.1%	37.5%	42.6%	56.7%
	Can't say	20.8%	21.3%	17.0%	19.4%	13.1%	25.6%	21.8%	20.4%	27.1%	21.4%	20.3%
	Unwilling	28.3%	28.3%	23.6%	19.9%	23.3%	26.0%	21.2%	38.5%	35.5%	36.0%	22.9%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	15.3%	18.9%	9.5%	7.5%	9.8%	15.6%	18.3%	17.2%	17.4%	12.1%
Very unwilling	14.0%	12.9%	4.7%	10.4%	15.8%	16.2%	5.7%	20.3%	18.2%	18.6%	10.8%	
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Strongly support	21.8%	22.5%	29.2%	21.0%	26.5%	22.6%	20.5%	18.5%	12.9%	13.5%	27.6%
	SW support	24.6%	22.9%	26.5%	28.3%	25.1%	23.7%	37.4%	19.7%	27.7%	24.7%	24.4%
	Support	46.3%	45.4%	55.7%	49.3%	51.6%	46.3%	57.8%	38.1%	40.6%	38.3%	52.0%
	Can't say	24.0%	26.3%	24.3%	24.7%	20.0%	23.0%	18.1%	23.6%	27.1%	23.6%	24.2%
	Oppose	29.7%	28.3%	20.0%	26.0%	28.3%	30.7%	24.0%	38.3%	32.3%	38.1%	23.8%
	SW oppose	14.6%	13.6%	11.0%	12.7%	16.5%	14.7%	15.0%	16.1%	18.8%	18.3%	12.0%
Strongly oppose	15.1%	14.7%	9.0%	13.4%	11.9%	15.9%	9.0%	22.2%	13.5%	19.8%	11.7%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	15.7%	17.8%	15.1%	11.6%	23.0%	13.5%	18.8%	12.2%	16.0%	11.4%	18.6%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	17.8%	14.5%	23.3%	24.0%	23.8%	22.7%	14.3%	19.9%	21.1%	17.8%
	Increase	34.8%	35.5%	29.6%	34.9%	47.0%	37.3%	41.5%	26.5%	35.9%	32.5%	36.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	25.2%	28.6%	19.4%	21.0%	23.5%	25.2%	28.7%	24.0%	25.9%	24.2%
	Decrease	29.5%	25.6%	37.9%	31.6%	28.0%	23.5%	29.0%	33.5%	35.4%	30.1%	29.1%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	11.0%	19.9%	14.1%	11.4%	8.2%	12.2%	11.4%	17.3%	14.2%	10.9%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	14.6%	18.0%	17.4%	16.6%	15.3%	16.8%	22.0%	18.1%	15.9%	18.3%
	Can't say	10.8%	13.7%	3.9%	14.1%	3.9%	15.8%	4.3%	11.3%	4.7%	11.5%	10.2%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	51.3%	47.3%	52.5%	58.8%	41.1%	51.1%	44.8%	55.3%	64.0%	57.7%	46.8%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	26.3%	19.0%	19.7%	29.6%	26.2%	35.3%	23.9%	22.7%	25.2%	25.1%
	Increase	76.5%	73.6%	71.5%	78.5%	70.6%	77.3%	80.1%	79.2%	86.7%	82.9%	71.9%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	15.0%	18.7%	7.7%	20.3%	18.1%	12.3%	12.0%	10.3%	11.7%	16.3%
	Decrease	5.2%	6.1%	9.8%	6.7%	6.2%	1.9%	6.0%	4.5%	0.0%	1.9%	7.6%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	5.1%	1.6%	6.0%	4.5%	1.2%	4.3%	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	4.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	0.9%	8.2%	0.7%	1.7%	0.6%	1.7%	3.9%	0.0%	0.7%	3.2%
	Can't say	3.9%	5.3%	0.0%	7.2%	2.8%	2.8%	1.5%	4.3%	2.9%	3.5%	4.2%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	42.7%	39.3%	39.0%	48.0%	34.3%	40.5%	41.8%	48.1%	55.3%	45.1%	41.1%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	28.2%	27.4%	29.1%	29.4%	30.8%	33.3%	25.3%	20.3%	32.1%	25.0%
	Increase	70.7%	67.5%	66.3%	77.1%	63.8%	71.2%	75.0%	73.4%	75.5%	77.2%	66.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	20.0%	21.5%	14.6%	17.2%	17.8%	16.7%	15.1%	14.3%	14.7%	19.5%
	Decrease	7.4%	6.5%	9.8%	4.2%	15.3%	5.7%	8.3%	7.2%	5.4%	4.5%	9.5%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	4.0%	0.0%	4.2%	11.4%	0.0%	3.2%	2.5%	3.9%	2.4%	4.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	2.5%	9.8%	0.0%	3.9%	5.7%	5.1%	4.8%	1.5%	2.1%	5.2%
	Can't say	4.4%	6.0%	2.4%	4.1%	3.7%	5.3%	0.0%	4.3%	4.7%	3.6%	5.0%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	30.1%	31.4%	27.9%	29.8%	23.1%	28.3%	34.3%	30.7%	36.0%	29.3%	30.6%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	31.9%	37.5%	35.4%	43.6%	39.7%	35.0%	34.8%	35.4%	37.8%	34.4%
	Increase	65.9%	63.3%	65.4%	65.3%	66.7%	68.0%	69.3%	65.5%	71.4%	67.1%	65.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	25.1%	22.6%	22.4%	21.3%	17.4%	24.4%	23.6%	14.9%	22.5%	22.2%
	Decrease	4.8%	4.8%	9.3%	0.6%	4.5%	6.7%	4.7%	3.0%	8.5%	4.5%	5.0%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	3.9%	9.3%	0.6%	2.7%	5.2%	4.7%	1.5%	6.2%	4.1%	3.6%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	1.5%	2.3%	0.4%	1.5%
	Can't say	7.0%	6.8%	2.7%	11.7%	7.4%	8.0%	1.5%	7.9%	5.1%	6.0%	7.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	20.0%	20.8%	22.7%	22.2%	19.4%	16.4%	20.2%	20.6%	14.6%	17.2%	21.9%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	26.8%	31.9%	34.9%	33.0%	35.4%	44.7%	25.9%	30.1%	32.1%	29.9%
	Increase	50.8%	47.7%	54.6%	57.1%	52.3%	51.8%	64.9%	46.5%	44.6%	49.3%	51.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	27.4%	30.9%	20.7%	25.5%	22.2%	18.5%	29.5%	38.6%	27.6%	26.1%
	Decrease	10.1%	11.6%	10.9%	7.0%	10.0%	11.3%	7.8%	9.3%	9.8%	10.6%	9.7%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	7.1%	7.2%	5.2%	8.7%	5.5%	7.8%	5.2%	7.8%	6.9%	6.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	4.5%	3.7%	1.8%	1.3%	5.8%	0.0%	4.1%	2.0%	3.7%	3.4%
	Can't say	12.4%	13.3%	3.7%	15.1%	12.2%	14.8%	8.9%	14.7%	6.9%	12.4%	12.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Greatly increase	8.5%	13.0%	9.0%	9.7%	7.3%	8.0%	4.5%	5.4%	2.1%	7.0%	9.5%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	15.8%	18.2%	15.8%	15.0%	13.7%	9.8%	11.1%	13.2%	14.2%	14.2%
	Increase	22.7%	28.8%	27.3%	25.6%	22.3%	21.7%	14.3%	16.5%	15.3%	21.2%	23.7%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	23.1%	39.0%	26.1%	30.1%	26.1%	31.4%	20.9%	30.2%	23.2%	28.3%
	Decrease	41.6%	36.2%	29.6%	41.5%	36.0%	41.2%	44.0%	54.9%	44.0%	48.1%	37.0%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	19.0%	6.6%	22.1%	17.3%	18.9%	32.5%	20.3%	19.3%	22.3%	17.1%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	17.2%	23.0%	19.4%	18.6%	22.3%	11.5%	34.6%	24.7%	25.8%	19.9%
Can't say	9.6%	11.9%	4.1%	6.9%	11.6%	11.0%	10.3%	7.8%	10.5%	7.5%	11.1%	
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes	13.2%	18.2%	14.7%	11.6%	11.9%	12.7%	4.9%	10.1%	13.4%	17.9%	9.9%
	No	86.8%	81.8%	85.3%	88.4%	88.1%	87.3%	95.1%	89.9%	86.6%	82.1%	90.1%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes, positive	12.9%	23.3%	8.6%	5.5%	12.0%	9.4%	8.1%	10.9%	4.7%	21.7%	6.7%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	17.5%	26.2%	18.3%	33.3%	24.3%	9.3%	14.9%	22.3%	17.0%	21.9%
	No, no change	67.2%	59.2%	65.2%	76.3%	54.7%	66.3%	82.6%	74.2%	73.0%	61.3%	71.4%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes	32.3%	36.1%	29.2%	43.0%	42.7%	31.6%	14.1%	25.9%	26.1%	32.5%	32.1%
	No	67.7%	63.9%	70.8%	57.0%	57.3%	68.4%	85.9%	74.1%	73.9%	67.5%	67.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Geography							CA on the:		
			Los Angeles	Orange County	Inland Empire	San Diego	Central Valley	Central Coast	Bay Area	Northern/Sierra	Right track	Wrong track
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Marital status	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Married	43.2%	42.0%	54.9%	34.6%	51.0%	42.7%	41.4%	39.2%	51.3%	39.6%	45.7%
	Single	32.7%	38.6%	16.7%	33.0%	30.4%	30.2%	33.6%	36.4%	23.3%	38.4%	28.8%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	11.4%	16.7%	14.2%	12.6%	15.1%	13.7%	14.2%	17.3%	12.5%	14.7%
	Widowed	6.3%	4.2%	5.5%	14.0%	6.0%	5.7%	9.5%	6.2%	3.5%	5.5%	6.9%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	3.7%	6.2%	4.2%	0.0%	6.2%	1.7%	4.1%	4.6%	4.1%	3.9%
Children	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes	55.9%	51.6%	74.2%	53.3%	64.0%	60.7%	63.7%	43.9%	64.8%	50.4%	59.8%
	No	44.1%	48.4%	25.8%	46.7%	36.0%	39.3%	36.3%	56.1%	35.2%	49.6%	40.2%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Very conservative	13.0%	13.6%	19.5%	14.4%	13.9%	12.1%	10.7%	11.4%	7.3%	7.3%	17.0%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	24.2%	38.0%	23.9%	28.6%	24.2%	20.0%	22.7%	36.2%	17.2%	31.9%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	22.9%	14.9%	17.3%	22.8%	23.5%	18.9%	25.6%	22.5%	30.1%	16.3%
	Very liberal	11.5%	12.8%	7.1%	14.7%	10.3%	10.8%	7.5%	12.6%	9.7%	17.5%	7.3%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	19.0%	17.6%	26.0%	15.1%	23.4%	34.5%	20.3%	18.6%	21.6%	20.6%
	Libertarian	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	0.0%	2.3%	4.1%	3.2%	3.3%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
	Other	3.8%	4.6%	0.0%	3.6%	6.9%	1.9%	5.2%	4.0%	3.0%	3.4%	4.0%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	32.5%	5.3%	26.0%	7.7%	22.1%	15.5%	22.0%	15.6%	32.6%	14.3%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	21.9%	17.5%	20.5%	29.3%	17.6%	22.8%	25.6%	21.1%	33.5%	14.3%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	5.0%	4.6%	8.4%	9.4%	11.8%	6.5%	8.7%	6.1%	7.0%	7.9%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	11.8%	14.1%	12.3%	8.5%	14.5%	6.8%	11.6%	17.2%	11.1%	12.8%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	7.0%	17.7%	9.6%	6.8%	5.7%	11.7%	8.3%	7.6%	3.6%	12.0%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	11.4%	26.1%	15.4%	23.8%	14.8%	18.5%	7.3%	17.4%	5.1%	21.2%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	5.8%	12.3%	7.0%	7.8%	9.0%	11.5%	7.3%	8.4%	3.1%	11.2%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	4.7%	2.3%	0.8%	6.6%	4.4%	6.7%	9.1%	6.6%	4.1%	6.2%
Union	Sample Size	825	224	67	85	74	109	50	167	48	341	484
	Yes	18.8%	20.2%	8.4%	18.6%	21.1%	22.6%	15.5%	17.5%	23.2%	20.9%	17.3%
	No	81.2%	79.8%	91.6%	81.4%	78.9%	77.4%	84.5%	82.5%	76.8%	79.1%	82.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Marital status				Married		
			Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Right track	41.4%	37.9%	48.5%	37.5%	35.9%	42.5%	37.9%	44.0%
	Wrong track	58.6%	62.1%	51.5%	62.5%	64.1%	57.5%	62.1%	56.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strong fav	20.4%	19.1%	21.8%	21.0%	13.7%	31.6%	19.1%	21.4%
	SW favorable	33.2%	32.2%	35.2%	34.5%	29.7%	29.0%	32.2%	34.0%
	Favorable	53.6%	51.3%	57.0%	55.5%	43.5%	60.6%	51.3%	55.4%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	44.9%	39.2%	43.3%	56.5%	33.2%	44.9%	41.7%
	SW unfav	15.4%	14.7%	17.5%	14.8%	14.2%	10.6%	14.7%	16.0%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	30.2%	21.8%	28.5%	42.4%	22.6%	30.2%	25.8%
	No opinion	2.5%	2.6%	3.1%	1.3%	0.0%	6.2%	2.6%	2.5%
	Not heard of	0.7%	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.4%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strong fav	9.1%	8.9%	10.5%	9.3%	1.3%	12.3%	8.9%	9.3%
	SW favorable	34.5%	33.1%	31.8%	40.0%	36.2%	49.5%	33.1%	35.5%
	Favorable	43.6%	41.9%	42.3%	49.3%	37.6%	61.8%	41.9%	44.8%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	48.0%	35.3%	41.8%	56.3%	26.5%	48.0%	38.6%
	SW unfav	23.3%	23.3%	22.6%	20.1%	40.5%	13.6%	23.3%	23.4%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	24.8%	12.7%	21.6%	15.8%	12.9%	24.8%	15.2%
	No opinion	11.6%	8.6%	18.5%	8.3%	2.7%	11.7%	8.6%	13.8%
	Not heard of	2.2%	1.4%	3.8%	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.4%	2.7%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strong fav	4.2%	5.1%	4.8%	2.2%	0.0%	2.5%	5.1%	3.5%
	SW favorable	22.5%	18.2%	27.2%	24.3%	21.5%	27.1%	18.2%	25.8%
	Favorable	26.7%	23.2%	32.0%	26.4%	21.5%	29.6%	23.2%	29.3%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	67.5%	49.4%	61.9%	73.8%	60.6%	67.5%	55.9%
	SW unfav	33.9%	36.4%	29.3%	30.8%	42.9%	41.5%	36.4%	32.0%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	31.2%	20.1%	31.1%	30.9%	19.1%	31.2%	23.9%
	No opinion	9.6%	5.7%	15.9%	11.0%	1.3%	9.8%	5.7%	12.6%
	Not heard of	2.8%	3.6%	2.8%	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%	3.6%	2.2%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strong fav	11.8%	11.7%	13.6%	11.6%	5.3%	8.2%	11.7%	11.8%
	SW favorable	32.6%	29.8%	34.4%	37.4%	22.8%	47.4%	29.8%	34.7%
	Favorable	44.4%	41.5%	48.0%	49.0%	28.0%	55.6%	41.5%	46.5%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	50.9%	41.7%	48.0%	65.6%	38.3%	50.9%	45.6%
	SW unfav	22.3%	19.6%	24.8%	22.5%	27.8%	21.3%	19.6%	24.3%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	31.3%	16.9%	25.5%	37.8%	17.0%	31.3%	21.3%
	No opinion	6.0%	6.0%	8.1%	2.4%	3.0%	6.2%	6.0%	6.0%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.6%	2.2%	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.6%	1.8%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married	
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
Favorable/Unfavorable:								
Republican Party								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Strong fav	6.9%	7.3%	6.6%	8.1%	5.5%	2.5%	7.3%	6.6%
SW favorable	22.9%	28.0%	17.2%	17.0%	31.9%	18.8%	28.0%	18.9%
Favorable	29.8%	35.4%	23.9%	25.1%	37.4%	21.3%	35.4%	25.5%
Unfavorable	62.7%	58.7%	65.0%	72.0%	54.1%	68.8%	58.7%	65.8%
SW unfav	26.8%	28.4%	25.3%	29.0%	25.0%	17.1%	28.4%	25.6%
Strong unfav	35.9%	30.3%	39.8%	43.0%	29.1%	51.7%	30.3%	40.2%
No opinion	5.8%	4.0%	9.8%	2.2%	5.1%	6.2%	4.0%	7.2%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.9%	1.3%	0.6%	3.4%	3.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Most important issue facing CA today?								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Jobs/Econ	36.4%	32.9%	39.0%	42.9%	31.2%	38.6%	32.9%	39.1%
Edu/Schools	10.5%	11.0%	10.5%	8.3%	18.2%	0.0%	11.0%	10.1%
State budg/Deficit	18.1%	20.4%	16.4%	16.2%	19.0%	13.1%	20.4%	16.4%
Taxes	6.7%	8.3%	6.0%	5.9%	2.0%	4.5%	8.3%	5.4%
Immigration	7.7%	8.6%	5.8%	6.2%	13.4%	10.2%	8.6%	7.1%
Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	5.1%	2.7%	7.4%	4.3%	11.4%	5.1%	4.6%
Health care	5.9%	3.9%	10.7%	4.2%	2.6%	0.0%	3.9%	7.5%
Gas prices	4.0%	3.9%	4.3%	2.2%	6.8%	3.6%	3.9%	4.0%
Energy costs	1.3%	2.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.6%
Water/Drought	1.4%	1.3%	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%	6.8%	1.3%	1.5%
Trans/Infra	1.4%	0.8%	1.0%	2.9%	1.0%	5.3%	0.8%	1.8%
Something else	1.8%	1.5%	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%	6.5%	1.5%	2.0%
California's economy getting:								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
A lot better	3.3%	3.4%	3.7%	3.1%	0.0%	4.5%	3.4%	3.2%
A little better	27.1%	26.1%	28.2%	32.2%	25.7%	14.2%	26.1%	27.9%
Better	30.4%	29.5%	31.9%	35.3%	25.7%	18.7%	29.5%	31.1%
Staying the same	35.0%	34.3%	35.7%	28.1%	41.4%	49.9%	34.3%	35.5%
Worse	34.6%	36.3%	32.4%	36.6%	32.9%	31.4%	36.3%	33.4%
A little worse	21.8%	22.0%	20.5%	23.6%	23.9%	20.9%	22.0%	21.6%
A lot worse	12.8%	14.3%	11.9%	12.9%	9.0%	10.5%	14.3%	11.7%
Your household economic situation getting:								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
A lot better	3.6%	4.1%	3.6%	2.7%	0.0%	6.3%	4.1%	3.2%
A little better	18.6%	19.5%	21.8%	11.6%	7.1%	24.3%	19.5%	17.9%
Better	22.2%	23.6%	25.4%	14.3%	7.1%	30.6%	23.6%	21.0%
Staying the same	44.8%	45.8%	43.2%	48.5%	45.7%	33.5%	45.8%	44.1%
Worse	33.0%	30.6%	31.4%	37.2%	47.2%	35.9%	30.6%	34.8%
A little worse	23.8%	22.7%	25.2%	19.3%	34.1%	24.0%	22.7%	24.7%
A lot worse	9.2%	7.9%	6.2%	17.8%	13.1%	11.9%	7.9%	10.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married		
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married	
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Much better	3.7%	4.6%	4.5%	0.9%	0.0%	2.5%	4.6%	3.0%
	SW better	19.3%	16.0%	22.0%	18.3%	22.9%	30.4%	16.0%	21.8%
	Better	23.0%	20.6%	26.5%	19.3%	22.9%	32.9%	20.6%	24.8%
	About the same	35.9%	36.0%	35.9%	35.5%	38.0%	33.4%	36.0%	35.9%
	Worse	41.1%	43.3%	37.6%	45.2%	39.1%	33.7%	43.3%	39.4%
	SW worse	28.7%	29.2%	27.4%	32.4%	27.8%	21.8%	29.2%	28.3%
	Much worse	12.4%	14.2%	10.2%	12.8%	11.3%	11.9%	14.2%	11.1%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	6.6%	2.8%	1.7%	2.3%	2.5%	6.6%	2.5%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	23.9%	21.3%	18.8%	8.8%	21.4%	23.9%	19.3%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	9.2%	10.4%	7.1%	7.0%	12.6%	9.2%	9.4%
	No	61.3%	57.3%	60.0%	69.3%	80.5%	56.6%	57.3%	64.3%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	3.0%	5.4%	3.0%	1.3%	6.8%	3.0%	4.5%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Way too high	38.3%	38.6%	33.4%	43.5%	43.9%	48.3%	38.6%	38.1%
	SW too high	38.0%	40.7%	38.7%	29.7%	37.1%	33.6%	40.7%	36.0%
	Too high	76.4%	79.4%	72.1%	73.2%	81.0%	81.9%	79.4%	74.1%
	About right	19.4%	16.3%	23.5%	23.1%	13.8%	16.1%	16.3%	21.8%
	Too low	4.2%	4.4%	4.3%	3.6%	5.2%	2.0%	4.4%	4.1%
	SW too low	4.0%	4.0%	4.1%	3.6%	5.2%	2.0%	4.0%	4.0%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	4.2%	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%	3.4%	2.5%	4.1%	4.3%
	B - Good	9.1%	8.2%	13.5%	3.7%	3.9%	9.2%	8.2%	9.8%
	C - Average	26.5%	22.8%	36.4%	23.3%	11.2%	20.2%	22.8%	29.2%
	D - Poor	31.0%	29.0%	25.1%	38.8%	56.8%	32.9%	29.0%	32.5%
	F - Failing	29.2%	35.9%	19.7%	31.3%	24.6%	35.2%	35.9%	24.1%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	5.1%	5.8%	6.4%	2.9%	0.0%	2.5%	5.8%	4.6%
	B - Good	14.2%	12.0%	18.9%	5.4%	17.7%	24.1%	12.0%	15.9%
	C - Average	37.0%	32.4%	43.6%	37.9%	35.1%	32.6%	32.4%	40.5%
	D - Poor	25.9%	29.2%	19.0%	28.7%	37.6%	19.3%	29.2%	23.4%
	F - Failing	17.8%	20.7%	12.2%	25.1%	9.6%	21.4%	20.7%	15.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married		
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married	
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	5.1%	5.3%	6.5%	2.0%	4.7%	2.5%	5.3%	4.9%
	B - Good	11.9%	12.7%	14.2%	6.2%	6.6%	13.2%	12.7%	11.3%
	C - Average	29.7%	24.2%	35.4%	32.1%	29.1%	36.1%	24.2%	33.9%
	D - Poor	30.7%	32.1%	25.3%	33.8%	45.6%	26.8%	32.1%	29.7%
	F - Failing	22.6%	25.8%	18.6%	26.0%	14.0%	21.4%	25.8%	20.1%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	5.7%	5.7%	6.5%	1.8%	3.4%	16.3%	5.7%	5.7%
	B - Good	16.1%	15.6%	19.8%	15.7%	8.6%	4.6%	15.6%	16.5%
	C - Average	36.1%	34.9%	38.2%	34.5%	33.1%	42.1%	34.9%	37.0%
	D - Poor	26.7%	27.3%	21.1%	30.6%	43.3%	27.0%	27.3%	26.3%
	F - Failing	15.4%	16.6%	14.4%	17.4%	11.6%	10.0%	16.6%	14.5%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	4.0%	4.8%	4.5%	3.0%	0.0%	2.5%	4.8%	3.5%
	B - Good	8.9%	7.7%	12.0%	9.2%	2.5%	5.9%	7.7%	9.8%
	C - Average	28.3%	26.9%	32.6%	26.1%	22.3%	24.4%	26.9%	29.3%
	D - Poor	32.4%	32.6%	27.6%	34.0%	53.2%	30.7%	32.6%	32.2%
	F - Failing	26.4%	28.0%	23.3%	27.7%	22.1%	36.5%	28.0%	25.1%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	A - Excellent	4.0%	4.6%	4.4%	2.2%	0.0%	6.3%	4.6%	3.5%
	B - Good	6.8%	8.4%	7.6%	3.6%	2.5%	0.0%	8.4%	5.5%
	C - Average	36.0%	32.2%	46.4%	30.6%	20.5%	33.9%	32.2%	38.8%
	D - Poor	29.7%	28.1%	25.9%	32.4%	54.6%	30.6%	28.1%	31.0%
	F - Failing	23.5%	26.7%	15.7%	31.3%	22.5%	29.2%	26.7%	21.2%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Very good job	3.0%	3.8%	3.1%	1.9%	0.0%	2.5%	3.8%	2.4%
	SW good job	11.5%	12.6%	14.0%	4.3%	8.3%	9.7%	12.6%	10.7%
	Good job	14.6%	16.4%	17.1%	6.1%	8.3%	12.2%	16.4%	13.1%
	Can't say	26.2%	20.9%	33.7%	22.0%	21.5%	42.6%	20.9%	30.2%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	62.7%	49.1%	71.8%	70.2%	45.2%	62.7%	56.7%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	24.4%	22.0%	35.6%	35.4%	22.5%	24.4%	26.8%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	38.3%	27.1%	36.2%	34.7%	22.6%	38.3%	29.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Marital status					Married	
			Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Very pos impact	12.9%	12.0%	15.8%	8.2%	7.5%	23.6%	12.0%	13.5%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	32.7%	36.7%	29.8%	46.3%	37.1%	32.7%	36.1%
	Positive impact	47.5%	44.7%	52.4%	37.9%	53.7%	60.6%	44.7%	49.6%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	30.1%	35.9%	44.4%	26.9%	23.5%	30.1%	36.1%
	Negative impact	19.0%	25.1%	11.7%	17.7%	19.4%	15.9%	25.1%	14.3%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	14.1%	6.2%	8.9%	14.0%	9.9%	14.1%	8.0%
Very neg impact	8.4%	11.0%	5.5%	8.8%	5.4%	6.0%	11.0%	6.3%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Job creation	21.1%	17.3%	28.7%	18.4%	13.8%	21.5%	17.3%	24.0%
	Can't say	52.4%	51.1%	53.2%	53.5%	57.8%	46.2%	51.1%	53.3%
	Job loss	26.5%	31.6%	18.1%	28.1%	28.4%	32.3%	31.6%	22.7%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Serious threat	37.8%	32.6%	39.3%	45.7%	32.7%	63.7%	32.6%	41.8%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	33.0%	36.6%	25.8%	43.0%	16.5%	33.0%	33.3%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	27.3%	11.8%	21.2%	19.1%	15.6%	27.3%	15.2%
	Can't say	8.6%	7.2%	12.3%	7.2%	5.2%	4.1%	7.2%	9.7%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Very willing	7.2%	8.8%	6.6%	5.7%	1.9%	7.3%	8.8%	5.9%
	SW willing	22.8%	20.2%	24.9%	20.7%	27.3%	33.0%	20.2%	24.7%
	Willing	30.0%	29.1%	31.6%	26.4%	29.2%	40.3%	29.1%	30.7%
	Can't say	17.1%	12.7%	21.4%	17.9%	13.9%	31.4%	12.7%	20.4%
	Unwilling	53.0%	58.2%	47.1%	55.7%	56.9%	28.3%	58.2%	48.9%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	21.7%	22.3%	19.0%	19.9%	10.3%	21.7%	20.4%
Very unwilling	32.0%	36.5%	24.8%	36.7%	37.0%	18.0%	36.5%	28.6%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Very pos	6.5%	7.5%	6.3%	3.1%	3.0%	14.4%	7.5%	5.7%
	SW positive	25.2%	22.4%	28.1%	24.9%	26.2%	30.6%	22.4%	27.3%
	Positive	31.6%	29.9%	34.4%	28.0%	29.2%	45.0%	29.9%	33.0%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	25.3%	31.4%	35.3%	21.6%	30.9%	25.3%	31.2%
	Negative	29.7%	37.4%	20.5%	28.8%	31.9%	20.1%	37.4%	23.8%
	SW neg	14.4%	17.2%	12.3%	10.9%	18.1%	8.0%	17.2%	12.3%
	Very neg	15.3%	20.2%	8.3%	17.9%	13.8%	12.0%	20.2%	11.5%
I don't know	10.0%	7.4%	13.6%	7.9%	17.3%	4.0%	7.4%	12.0%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Marital status				Married		
			Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strongly support	18.9%	18.0%	19.3%	21.3%	20.1%	16.2%	18.0%	19.6%
	SW support	30.5%	28.2%	34.4%	27.8%	30.9%	31.4%	28.2%	32.2%
	Support	49.4%	46.2%	53.6%	49.1%	51.0%	47.6%	46.2%	51.8%
	Can't say	22.0%	20.6%	26.5%	19.0%	14.6%	22.7%	20.6%	23.1%
	Oppose	28.6%	33.2%	19.8%	31.9%	34.3%	29.7%	33.2%	25.1%
	SW oppose	13.6%	16.1%	9.9%	12.0%	21.6%	9.8%	16.1%	11.7%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	17.1%	9.9%	19.9%	12.8%	19.9%	17.1%	13.4%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	17.2%	13.2%	22.8%	16.2%	13.8%	17.2%	15.9%
	SW Yes	13.1%	14.6%	13.1%	5.1%	24.7%	5.3%	14.6%	11.9%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	16.8%	19.1%	19.4%	19.2%	16.3%	16.8%	19.0%
	Yes	47.6%	48.6%	45.4%	47.3%	60.0%	35.4%	48.6%	46.8%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	22.2%	35.0%	23.0%	13.0%	22.6%	22.2%	28.8%
	No	26.5%	29.2%	19.6%	29.7%	26.9%	42.0%	29.2%	24.4%
	Lean No	6.7%	6.5%	6.0%	5.7%	13.5%	8.2%	6.5%	6.9%
	SW No	3.9%	4.9%	3.6%	1.7%	4.7%	1.9%	4.9%	3.2%
	Strongly No	15.8%	17.8%	10.0%	22.3%	8.7%	31.9%	17.8%	14.4%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Very willing	23.7%	28.6%	16.6%	26.7%	20.1%	25.5%	28.6%	20.1%
	SW willing	27.1%	29.9%	25.0%	23.4%	35.0%	15.9%	29.9%	25.1%
	Willing	50.9%	58.5%	41.6%	50.1%	55.0%	41.3%	58.5%	45.1%
	Can't say	20.8%	17.5%	29.0%	17.0%	11.5%	17.0%	17.5%	23.3%
	Unwilling	28.3%	24.1%	29.4%	32.9%	33.5%	41.6%	24.1%	31.6%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	11.5%	15.4%	14.5%	26.3%	15.8%	11.5%	16.4%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	12.6%	14.0%	18.5%	7.2%	25.9%	12.6%	15.2%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Strongly support	21.8%	29.4%	12.9%	23.5%	15.7%	16.0%	29.4%	16.0%
	SW support	24.6%	24.5%	22.8%	22.4%	38.1%	25.2%	24.5%	24.6%
	Support	46.3%	53.8%	35.7%	45.9%	53.8%	41.3%	53.8%	40.6%
	Can't say	24.0%	20.3%	30.9%	22.8%	15.0%	25.1%	20.3%	26.8%
	Oppose	29.7%	25.9%	33.3%	31.3%	31.1%	33.6%	25.9%	32.6%
	SW oppose	14.6%	12.7%	17.4%	12.2%	23.0%	7.6%	12.7%	16.1%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	13.2%	15.9%	19.1%	8.1%	26.0%	13.2%	16.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married		
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married	
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Greatly increase	15.7%	21.6%	7.9%	15.8%	18.6%	9.7%	21.6%	11.1%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	21.5%	17.7%	14.1%	22.3%	18.1%	21.5%	17.3%
	Increase	34.8%	43.1%	25.6%	29.8%	40.9%	27.8%	43.1%	28.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	25.9%	25.1%	25.2%	19.0%	21.6%	25.9%	24.2%
	Decrease	29.5%	24.0%	34.2%	31.9%	29.8%	42.7%	24.0%	33.8%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	9.4%	15.3%	13.2%	16.6%	7.8%	9.4%	14.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	14.6%	18.9%	18.7%	13.2%	34.9%	14.6%	19.3%
	Can't say	10.8%	7.0%	15.2%	13.1%	10.3%	7.9%	7.0%	13.6%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Greatly increase	51.3%	50.7%	47.8%	58.0%	52.0%	62.0%	50.7%	51.8%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	25.5%	26.3%	20.4%	35.0%	12.7%	25.5%	24.9%
	Increase	76.5%	76.2%	74.2%	78.4%	87.0%	74.7%	76.2%	76.7%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	15.7%	14.4%	11.2%	11.7%	15.7%	15.7%	13.4%
	Decrease	5.2%	5.1%	5.9%	5.1%	0.0%	9.5%	5.1%	5.3%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	3.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	3.0%	3.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	2.1%	1.2%	5.1%	0.0%	3.3%	2.1%	2.1%
	Can't say	3.9%	3.0%	5.5%	5.3%	1.3%	0.0%	3.0%	4.6%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Greatly increase	42.7%	41.9%	38.8%	44.4%	58.1%	53.4%	41.9%	43.3%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	29.0%	28.5%	25.2%	25.6%	25.7%	29.0%	27.2%
	Increase	70.7%	70.9%	67.3%	69.6%	83.6%	79.1%	70.9%	70.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	16.6%	19.4%	18.7%	13.6%	13.8%	16.6%	18.2%
	Decrease	7.4%	8.8%	7.1%	6.2%	2.7%	7.1%	8.8%	6.4%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	4.1%	4.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	3.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	4.7%	2.6%	4.5%	2.7%	7.1%	4.7%	3.4%
	Can't say	4.4%	3.7%	6.3%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	4.9%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Greatly increase	30.1%	31.6%	25.3%	35.7%	33.1%	29.9%	31.6%	29.0%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	39.1%	36.0%	29.5%	33.5%	24.1%	39.1%	33.3%
	Increase	65.9%	70.6%	61.2%	65.2%	66.7%	54.0%	70.6%	62.3%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	20.4%	21.5%	24.6%	25.5%	36.7%	20.4%	23.7%
	Decrease	4.8%	4.9%	6.6%	1.8%	2.7%	3.3%	4.9%	4.7%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	3.9%	5.4%	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	3.9%	3.7%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%	3.3%	1.0%	1.1%
	Can't say	7.0%	4.0%	10.8%	8.5%	5.2%	5.9%	4.0%	9.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married	
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
Liquid Natural Gas usage								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Greatly increase	20.0%	22.8%	15.0%	24.1%	15.9%	21.9%	22.8%	17.8%
Somewhat increase	30.9%	37.1%	24.0%	23.8%	43.1%	24.6%	37.1%	26.1%
Increase	50.8%	59.9%	38.9%	47.8%	59.0%	46.5%	59.9%	43.9%
Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	24.7%	31.3%	26.1%	17.0%	28.1%	24.7%	28.2%
Decrease	10.1%	7.4%	14.5%	9.1%	4.4%	15.6%	7.4%	12.1%
Somewhat decrease	6.6%	5.0%	10.2%	3.2%	3.1%	10.4%	5.0%	7.7%
Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	2.4%	4.3%	5.9%	1.3%	5.1%	2.4%	4.4%
Can't say	12.4%	8.0%	15.3%	16.9%	19.6%	9.8%	8.0%	15.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married		
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married	
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Greatly increase	8.5%	11.1%	6.8%	4.7%	7.3%	8.8%	11.1%	6.5%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	20.4%	10.5%	7.5%	5.9%	13.3%	20.4%	9.5%
	Increase	22.7%	31.5%	17.3%	12.3%	13.2%	22.2%	31.5%	16.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	26.5%	26.0%	31.1%	21.9%	14.5%	26.5%	25.9%
	Decrease	41.6%	36.3%	41.9%	46.0%	55.6%	58.6%	36.3%	45.6%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	17.8%	20.0%	20.4%	25.8%	14.2%	17.8%	20.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	18.5%	21.9%	25.6%	29.8%	44.4%	18.5%	25.2%
	Can't say	9.6%	5.7%	14.9%	10.7%	9.2%	4.8%	5.7%	12.5%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Yes	13.2%	18.4%	10.2%	2.8%	9.2%	24.1%	18.4%	9.3%
	No	86.8%	81.6%	89.8%	97.2%	90.8%	75.9%	81.6%	90.7%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Yes, positive	12.9%	15.0%	14.1%	6.5%	4.1%	16.8%	15.0%	11.3%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	15.8%	26.9%	17.6%	13.0%	25.8%	15.8%	23.0%
	No, no change	67.2%	69.3%	59.1%	75.9%	82.9%	57.4%	69.3%	65.7%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
	Yes	32.3%	25.9%	45.1%	25.8%	21.6%	35.1%	25.9%	37.1%
	No	67.7%	74.1%	54.9%	74.2%	78.4%	64.9%	74.1%	62.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Marital status					Married	
		Married	Single	Divorced/ Separated	Widowed	Domestic Partner	Married	Not married
Marital status								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Married	43.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Single	32.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.6%
Divorced/Separated	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.2%
Widowed	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%
Domestic Partner	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Children								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Yes	55.9%	81.7%	15.3%	69.8%	65.9%	46.3%	81.7%	36.3%
No	44.1%	18.3%	84.7%	30.2%	34.1%	53.7%	18.3%	63.7%
Political ideology								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Very conservative	13.0%	15.5%	8.1%	16.2%	19.4%	5.2%	15.5%	11.1%
Somewhat conservative	25.8%	30.1%	19.9%	25.7%	34.4%	15.5%	30.1%	22.6%
Somewhat liberal	22.0%	21.3%	24.9%	20.8%	13.7%	24.5%	21.3%	22.6%
Very liberal	11.5%	6.2%	14.2%	15.2%	12.8%	32.8%	6.2%	15.6%
Moderate/Independent	21.0%	20.4%	24.8%	19.1%	16.3%	12.0%	20.4%	21.5%
Libertarian	2.8%	3.9%	3.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	1.9%
Other	3.8%	2.6%	5.2%	2.5%	3.4%	10.0%	2.6%	4.7%
Vote history								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Vote straight Dem	21.8%	18.7%	24.6%	27.8%	10.9%	30.3%	18.7%	24.2%
Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	21.9%	24.0%	19.3%	19.8%	25.7%	21.9%	22.5%
Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	6.5%	8.0%	9.8%	6.8%	8.1%	6.5%	8.3%
Vote evenly	12.1%	12.1%	14.2%	11.1%	8.2%	4.1%	12.1%	12.1%
Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	9.9%	5.9%	6.8%	17.0%	8.7%	9.9%	7.5%
Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	18.3%	9.4%	8.4%	29.9%	12.7%	18.3%	11.7%
Vote straight Rep	7.9%	9.0%	5.8%	10.5%	7.4%	4.1%	9.0%	7.0%
Vote Third Party	5.4%	3.6%	8.2%	6.4%	0.0%	6.1%	3.6%	6.7%
Union								
Sample Size	825	356	270	114	52	33	356	469
Yes	18.8%	27.8%	10.6%	12.2%	10.8%	24.5%	27.8%	12.0%
No	81.2%	72.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.2%	75.5%	72.2%	88.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children	
			Married		Not married		Married		Not married			
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Right track	41.4%	43.6%	31.9%	42.4%	45.2%	36.6%	43.7%	38.5%	47.1%	37.3%	46.5%
	Wrong track	58.6%	56.4%	68.1%	57.6%	54.8%	63.4%	56.3%	61.5%	52.9%	62.7%	53.5%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strong fav	20.4%	16.3%	21.9%	15.6%	26.0%	20.8%	11.5%	17.4%	23.7%	19.5%	21.5%
	SW favorable	33.2%	34.0%	30.3%	35.8%	32.5%	31.4%	35.8%	30.4%	36.1%	31.0%	36.0%
	Favorable	53.6%	50.3%	52.3%	51.4%	58.5%	52.1%	47.4%	47.7%	59.7%	50.5%	57.5%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	46.4%	43.4%	44.1%	39.9%	44.1%	48.6%	51.0%	36.4%	46.7%	38.6%
	SW unfav	15.4%	14.6%	14.9%	17.4%	14.9%	14.6%	15.2%	17.4%	15.2%	15.6%	15.2%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	31.8%	28.5%	26.7%	25.0%	29.5%	33.3%	33.6%	21.2%	31.0%	23.4%
	No opinion	2.5%	1.6%	3.6%	3.6%	1.7%	2.3%	4.0%	1.3%	3.2%	1.9%	3.4%
Not heard of	0.7%	1.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%	
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strong fav	9.1%	9.0%	8.7%	11.1%	7.9%	9.1%	7.8%	9.0%	9.5%	9.0%	9.2%
	SW favorable	34.5%	35.3%	30.7%	35.7%	35.4%	32.6%	35.3%	37.3%	34.5%	34.3%	34.6%
	Favorable	43.6%	44.3%	39.5%	46.7%	43.3%	41.7%	43.0%	46.3%	44.0%	43.4%	43.8%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	47.9%	48.2%	40.2%	37.3%	48.1%	47.8%	41.6%	36.9%	45.7%	38.8%
	SW unfav	23.3%	24.1%	22.3%	24.5%	22.5%	25.0%	15.3%	21.9%	24.3%	23.9%	22.7%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	23.8%	25.8%	15.7%	14.8%	23.0%	32.5%	19.8%	12.6%	21.8%	16.2%
	No opinion	11.6%	6.0%	11.3%	10.9%	16.1%	8.5%	9.2%	8.7%	16.7%	8.6%	15.4%
Not heard of	2.2%	1.8%	1.0%	2.1%	3.3%	1.7%	0.0%	3.3%	2.4%	2.3%	2.0%	
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strong fav	4.2%	6.2%	3.9%	5.2%	2.1%	5.0%	5.7%	3.2%	3.6%	4.3%	4.0%
	SW favorable	22.5%	17.9%	18.4%	26.4%	25.4%	18.6%	16.0%	23.2%	27.3%	20.3%	25.3%
	Favorable	26.7%	24.1%	22.3%	31.6%	27.5%	23.6%	21.7%	26.4%	31.0%	24.6%	29.3%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	70.3%	64.6%	57.3%	54.8%	67.4%	68.1%	61.5%	52.7%	65.2%	55.5%
	SW unfav	33.9%	35.1%	37.6%	28.2%	35.0%	35.8%	38.7%	32.1%	31.9%	34.5%	33.1%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	35.1%	27.0%	29.1%	19.8%	31.5%	29.5%	29.4%	20.8%	30.7%	22.3%
	No opinion	9.6%	2.6%	9.0%	9.1%	15.5%	4.9%	9.2%	9.6%	14.4%	6.6%	13.4%
Not heard of	2.8%	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%	2.2%	4.2%	1.0%	2.5%	1.9%	3.6%	1.8%	
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strong fav	11.8%	10.9%	12.5%	11.7%	11.9%	11.1%	14.5%	7.8%	14.1%	9.9%	14.2%
	SW favorable	32.6%	33.2%	26.2%	29.8%	38.6%	30.6%	26.0%	30.6%	37.1%	30.6%	35.1%
	Favorable	44.4%	44.1%	38.8%	41.6%	50.5%	41.7%	40.4%	38.4%	51.2%	40.5%	49.3%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	50.9%	51.0%	48.9%	43.1%	50.0%	55.2%	52.3%	41.8%	50.8%	44.2%
	SW unfav	22.3%	16.3%	23.0%	24.7%	24.1%	19.4%	20.4%	23.3%	24.9%	20.9%	24.1%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	34.5%	27.9%	24.2%	19.0%	30.5%	34.8%	29.0%	16.9%	30.0%	20.1%
	No opinion	6.0%	3.5%	8.6%	7.8%	4.6%	6.4%	4.4%	7.6%	5.1%	6.8%	5.0%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%	1.7%	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children					
			Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Children	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strong fav	6.9%	9.5%	5.0%	8.7%	4.9%	7.5%	6.5%	10.6%	4.3%	8.7%	4.7%
	SW favorable	22.9%	30.3%	25.7%	19.9%	18.2%	29.6%	21.0%	21.0%	17.8%	26.4%	18.3%
	Favorable	29.8%	39.8%	30.7%	28.6%	23.1%	37.1%	27.5%	31.6%	22.0%	35.1%	23.0%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	57.5%	59.9%	62.2%	68.7%	56.2%	69.5%	60.7%	68.7%	57.9%	68.8%
	SW unfav	26.8%	29.1%	27.6%	21.0%	29.2%	27.9%	30.4%	27.9%	24.2%	27.9%	25.3%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	28.4%	32.3%	41.2%	39.4%	28.3%	39.2%	32.8%	44.4%	30.0%	43.5%
	No opinion	5.8%	0.0%	8.3%	8.7%	6.0%	4.3%	3.0%	6.7%	7.5%	5.2%	6.7%
	Not heard of	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%	0.6%	2.3%	2.4%	0.0%	1.0%	1.8%	1.9%	1.5%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	30.4%	35.6%	37.6%	40.2%	32.1%	36.6%	38.0%	39.7%	34.3%	39.1%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	10.8%	11.3%	8.3%	11.6%	12.0%	6.7%	9.8%	10.3%	11.2%	9.7%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	21.4%	19.2%	17.2%	15.8%	21.7%	14.3%	14.4%	17.5%	19.0%	17.0%
	Taxes	6.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.4%	3.1%	7.8%	10.7%	6.2%	5.0%	7.2%	6.0%
	Immigration	7.7%	8.7%	8.5%	5.7%	8.2%	8.7%	8.3%	9.3%	5.8%	8.9%	6.2%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	4.1%	6.1%	3.1%	5.8%	5.7%	2.3%	5.9%	3.9%	5.8%	3.6%
	Health care	5.9%	3.4%	4.4%	8.4%	6.7%	3.4%	6.0%	5.0%	8.9%	4.0%	8.3%
	Gas prices	4.0%	4.7%	3.1%	5.0%	3.2%	4.2%	2.7%	4.9%	3.5%	4.4%	3.4%
	Energy costs	1.3%	3.6%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%	4.0%	0.8%	0.5%	1.5%	1.1%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.1%	1.0%	1.4%	1.0%	2.1%	1.1%	1.6%	1.1%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	0.6%	1.1%	1.7%	1.8%	0.4%	2.9%	1.8%	1.8%	0.9%	2.0%
	Something else	1.8%	2.5%	0.4%	1.3%	2.6%	0.8%	4.6%	1.9%	2.1%	1.2%	2.6%
	California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461
A lot better		3.3%	5.7%	0.9%	5.4%	1.4%	2.9%	5.5%	1.6%	4.1%	2.4%	4.4%
A little better		27.1%	26.9%	25.2%	27.9%	27.9%	27.1%	21.6%	27.5%	28.2%	27.2%	27.0%
Better		30.4%	32.6%	26.1%	33.4%	29.3%	30.0%	27.1%	29.1%	32.3%	29.7%	31.3%
Staying the same		35.0%	32.9%	35.7%	34.8%	36.1%	33.6%	37.3%	28.8%	39.3%	31.8%	39.0%
Worse		34.6%	34.5%	38.1%	31.8%	34.6%	36.4%	35.7%	42.1%	28.4%	38.5%	29.7%
A little worse		21.8%	24.2%	19.7%	18.5%	24.2%	22.0%	22.3%	27.8%	18.2%	24.1%	18.9%
A lot worse		12.8%	10.3%	18.4%	13.3%	10.4%	14.5%	13.3%	14.4%	10.2%	14.4%	10.8%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A lot better	3.6%	4.8%	3.4%	5.1%	1.6%	3.7%	6.1%	2.2%	3.7%	3.1%	4.1%
	A little better	18.6%	22.1%	16.8%	20.0%	16.2%	20.9%	13.6%	14.2%	20.0%	18.4%	18.8%
	Better	22.2%	26.9%	20.2%	25.0%	17.8%	24.5%	19.7%	16.4%	23.7%	21.5%	23.0%
	Staying the same	44.8%	41.0%	50.8%	46.9%	41.9%	44.9%	49.8%	42.0%	45.3%	43.8%	46.1%
	Worse	33.0%	32.1%	29.0%	28.0%	40.3%	30.6%	30.5%	41.6%	31.0%	34.7%	30.9%
	A little worse	23.8%	25.8%	19.5%	19.8%	28.6%	22.1%	25.3%	24.7%	24.7%	23.1%	24.8%
	A lot worse	9.2%	6.3%	9.6%	8.2%	11.7%	8.5%	5.2%	16.8%	6.3%	11.6%	6.1%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children		
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Much better	3.7%	6.3%	2.8%	5.9%	0.6%	5.1%	2.4%	1.6%	3.8%	3.8%	3.5%
	SW better	19.3%	17.2%	14.7%	20.5%	22.8%	16.6%	13.4%	19.1%	23.4%	17.5%	21.6%
	Better	23.0%	23.5%	17.5%	26.4%	23.5%	21.7%	15.8%	20.7%	27.1%	21.3%	25.1%
	About the same	35.9%	37.4%	34.6%	35.9%	35.8%	35.1%	40.3%	31.1%	38.6%	33.6%	38.9%
	Worse	41.1%	39.0%	47.9%	37.6%	40.7%	43.2%	43.9%	48.2%	34.3%	45.1%	36.0%
	SW worse	28.7%	24.6%	34.0%	26.7%	29.5%	29.2%	29.2%	33.7%	25.2%	30.9%	25.9%
	Much worse	12.4%	14.5%	13.9%	10.9%	11.2%	14.1%	14.6%	14.5%	9.1%	14.2%	10.1%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	9.1%	4.0%	1.7%	3.1%	6.3%	8.1%	4.5%	1.3%	5.6%	2.5%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	19.7%	28.4%	22.1%	17.1%	24.6%	21.1%	19.9%	19.0%	22.8%	19.4%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	8.5%	9.8%	11.0%	8.1%	9.7%	6.6%	6.6%	11.0%	8.6%	10.2%
	No	61.3%	61.1%	53.3%	58.6%	68.9%	56.5%	60.7%	64.9%	64.0%	59.6%	63.4%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	1.6%	4.6%	6.5%	2.9%	2.9%	3.5%	4.1%	4.7%	3.4%	4.5%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Way too high	38.3%	37.9%	39.4%	34.0%	41.3%	36.7%	47.2%	40.5%	36.7%	38.1%	38.6%
	SW too high	38.0%	41.5%	39.9%	31.6%	39.5%	43.3%	29.3%	35.2%	36.4%	40.3%	35.2%
	Too high	76.4%	79.4%	79.3%	65.6%	80.8%	80.0%	76.5%	75.8%	73.1%	78.4%	73.7%
	About right	19.4%	13.6%	19.1%	27.6%	17.2%	15.9%	18.0%	21.8%	21.8%	18.1%	21.2%
	Too low	4.2%	7.0%	1.6%	6.8%	2.0%	4.1%	5.5%	2.4%	5.0%	3.5%	5.1%
	SW too low	4.0%	6.4%	1.6%	6.5%	2.0%	3.7%	5.5%	2.4%	4.8%	3.2%	4.9%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	4.2%	5.2%	2.9%	4.9%	3.9%	4.1%	3.8%	5.1%	3.9%	4.5%	3.9%
	B - Good	9.1%	10.2%	6.1%	12.1%	7.9%	8.2%	8.0%	7.0%	11.4%	7.8%	10.8%
	C - Average	26.5%	16.7%	29.3%	27.7%	30.5%	24.0%	17.3%	22.1%	33.3%	23.3%	30.4%
	D - Poor	31.0%	29.2%	28.8%	30.7%	33.9%	28.9%	29.4%	37.7%	29.5%	32.1%	29.5%
	F - Failing	29.2%	38.8%	32.9%	24.5%	23.9%	34.7%	41.4%	28.1%	21.9%	32.3%	25.4%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	5.1%	8.0%	3.4%	5.9%	3.5%	6.2%	3.9%	3.4%	5.2%	5.2%	5.0%
	B - Good	14.2%	11.7%	12.3%	17.0%	14.9%	12.4%	10.3%	14.1%	16.9%	13.0%	15.7%
	C - Average	37.0%	30.7%	34.2%	34.5%	45.2%	33.1%	29.2%	33.6%	44.4%	33.3%	41.6%
	D - Poor	25.9%	27.2%	31.2%	23.6%	23.3%	28.1%	33.8%	30.9%	19.2%	29.2%	21.8%
	F - Failing	17.8%	22.4%	18.9%	19.0%	13.0%	20.2%	22.8%	18.0%	14.4%	19.4%	15.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children		
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	5.1%	7.6%	2.8%	4.6%	5.2%	5.7%	3.3%	6.4%	4.1%	6.0%	4.0%
	B - Good	11.9%	13.3%	12.1%	14.4%	8.8%	13.7%	8.2%	8.5%	12.9%	11.8%	12.1%
	C - Average	29.7%	17.9%	30.7%	30.1%	37.0%	23.8%	25.8%	29.2%	36.7%	25.8%	34.7%
	D - Poor	30.7%	32.9%	31.2%	27.8%	31.2%	31.6%	34.0%	33.2%	27.7%	32.2%	28.9%
	F - Failing	22.6%	28.2%	23.2%	23.1%	17.7%	25.1%	28.8%	22.8%	18.6%	24.3%	20.4%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	5.7%	8.4%	2.9%	6.5%	5.0%	6.7%	1.2%	6.1%	5.4%	6.5%	4.7%
	B - Good	16.1%	14.1%	17.1%	18.8%	14.7%	15.3%	16.8%	13.5%	18.2%	14.6%	18.0%
	C - Average	36.1%	33.4%	36.4%	35.7%	38.1%	35.7%	30.9%	32.1%	39.8%	34.4%	38.2%
	D - Poor	26.7%	31.5%	22.8%	23.5%	28.5%	25.4%	35.6%	31.3%	23.4%	27.6%	25.6%
	F - Failing	15.4%	12.6%	20.7%	15.5%	13.7%	16.8%	15.5%	17.0%	13.1%	16.9%	13.6%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	4.0%	7.0%	2.4%	4.8%	2.5%	5.3%	2.4%	3.5%	3.5%	4.6%	3.3%
	B - Good	8.9%	9.2%	6.1%	11.8%	8.3%	7.7%	7.9%	7.4%	11.2%	7.6%	10.6%
	C - Average	28.3%	22.6%	31.5%	27.6%	30.7%	28.1%	21.6%	25.5%	31.4%	27.2%	29.7%
	D - Poor	32.4%	33.4%	31.7%	30.0%	34.0%	31.9%	35.8%	35.3%	30.5%	33.1%	31.4%
	F - Failing	26.4%	27.8%	28.2%	25.8%	24.6%	27.1%	32.2%	28.2%	23.4%	27.5%	25.0%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	A - Excellent	4.0%	7.8%	1.2%	4.5%	2.7%	4.6%	4.6%	3.2%	3.7%	4.1%	3.9%
	B - Good	6.8%	8.2%	8.6%	7.5%	4.0%	9.0%	6.0%	3.0%	7.0%	6.8%	6.8%
	C - Average	36.0%	30.4%	34.1%	34.8%	42.0%	32.7%	29.8%	31.3%	43.1%	32.2%	40.7%
	D - Poor	29.7%	24.7%	31.6%	29.0%	32.6%	28.1%	27.9%	37.0%	27.5%	31.4%	27.6%
	F - Failing	23.5%	28.8%	24.5%	24.2%	18.8%	25.6%	31.8%	25.6%	18.6%	25.6%	21.0%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very good job	3.0%	6.9%	0.5%	4.9%	0.5%	3.8%	3.9%	1.5%	3.0%	2.9%	3.1%
	SW good job	11.5%	11.9%	13.4%	11.4%	10.2%	11.8%	16.3%	7.5%	12.5%	10.2%	13.2%
	Good job	14.6%	18.8%	13.9%	16.3%	10.6%	15.6%	20.2%	9.0%	15.5%	13.2%	16.3%
	Can't say	26.2%	15.3%	26.8%	32.2%	28.6%	21.4%	18.8%	26.9%	32.0%	23.4%	29.7%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	65.9%	59.2%	51.6%	60.8%	63.1%	61.0%	64.1%	52.5%	63.4%	54.0%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	27.0%	21.6%	20.3%	32.1%	24.5%	23.7%	25.5%	27.6%	24.9%	26.9%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	38.9%	37.7%	31.3%	28.7%	38.6%	37.3%	38.5%	24.9%	38.6%	27.1%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children		
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very pos impact	12.9%	12.3%	11.7%	16.9%	10.9%	12.1%	11.6%	10.8%	15.1%	11.6%	14.5%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	29.1%	36.6%	33.6%	38.1%	32.6%	33.2%	36.5%	35.9%	34.1%	35.4%
	Positive impact	47.5%	41.4%	48.3%	50.5%	49.0%	44.7%	44.8%	47.3%	51.0%	45.7%	49.9%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	25.9%	34.5%	31.8%	39.4%	30.3%	29.4%	33.5%	37.5%	31.5%	36.0%
	Negative impact	19.0%	32.7%	17.2%	17.7%	11.6%	25.0%	25.8%	19.2%	11.5%	22.9%	14.1%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	19.9%	8.0%	8.1%	7.9%	14.3%	13.1%	11.2%	6.1%	13.2%	7.4%
	Very neg impact	8.4%	12.8%	9.2%	9.6%	3.7%	10.7%	12.7%	8.0%	5.4%	9.7%	6.7%
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Job creation	21.1%	19.2%	15.3%	31.7%	17.9%	18.5%	11.9%	19.9%	26.4%	19.0%	23.8%
	Can't say	52.4%	47.0%	55.5%	46.1%	59.1%	50.2%	55.3%	50.5%	54.9%	50.3%	55.0%
	Job loss	26.5%	33.8%	29.2%	22.2%	23.0%	31.3%	32.8%	29.6%	18.7%	30.7%	21.2%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Serious threat	37.8%	31.9%	33.2%	39.0%	44.1%	34.0%	26.0%	46.6%	39.1%	38.7%	36.8%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	33.2%	32.8%	34.4%	32.4%	32.4%	35.8%	29.3%	35.6%	31.2%	35.6%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	29.6%	24.8%	18.0%	12.9%	25.4%	35.7%	17.7%	13.8%	22.5%	17.7%
	Can't say	8.6%	5.2%	9.2%	8.5%	10.6%	8.2%	2.5%	6.5%	11.5%	7.6%	9.9%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very willing	7.2%	10.1%	7.5%	5.6%	6.2%	8.5%	10.4%	5.8%	6.0%	7.5%	6.8%
	SW willing	22.8%	20.2%	20.2%	23.2%	25.9%	20.8%	17.7%	21.5%	26.5%	21.1%	25.0%
	Willing	30.0%	30.3%	27.7%	28.8%	32.1%	29.3%	28.1%	27.4%	32.5%	28.6%	31.7%
	Can't say	17.1%	10.6%	15.0%	22.7%	18.6%	13.6%	8.6%	18.9%	21.3%	15.6%	19.0%
	Unwilling	53.0%	59.1%	57.3%	48.5%	49.3%	57.1%	63.3%	53.8%	46.2%	55.9%	49.3%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	22.6%	20.8%	16.8%	23.2%	21.1%	24.3%	21.8%	19.5%	21.4%	20.4%
	Very unwilling	32.0%	36.5%	36.5%	31.7%	26.1%	36.0%	39.0%	31.9%	26.7%	34.5%	28.9%
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very pos	6.5%	9.5%	5.4%	6.7%	5.0%	8.1%	4.8%	5.3%	6.0%	7.0%	5.8%
	SW positive	25.2%	19.6%	25.2%	31.1%	24.3%	23.8%	16.1%	25.7%	28.2%	24.5%	26.0%
	Positive	31.6%	29.1%	30.7%	37.7%	29.2%	31.9%	21.0%	31.0%	34.2%	31.5%	31.8%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	20.8%	30.0%	28.0%	33.8%	25.9%	22.6%	27.5%	33.4%	26.5%	31.5%
	Negative	29.7%	42.2%	32.4%	25.9%	22.1%	34.9%	48.8%	28.7%	21.0%	32.6%	26.0%
	SW neg	14.4%	19.1%	15.2%	11.6%	12.8%	15.5%	24.9%	14.4%	11.1%	15.1%	13.5%
	Very neg	15.3%	23.1%	17.1%	14.3%	9.3%	19.4%	23.8%	14.2%	9.9%	17.5%	12.4%
	I don't know	10.0%	7.8%	7.0%	8.4%	14.8%	7.3%	7.6%	12.9%	11.4%	9.4%	10.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children		
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strongly support	18.9%	24.9%	10.7%	24.5%	15.7%	18.9%	13.9%	16.0%	21.7%	17.8%	20.3%
	SW support	30.5%	30.7%	25.5%	29.7%	34.2%	27.2%	32.5%	34.0%	31.1%	29.7%	31.4%
	Support	49.4%	55.7%	36.2%	54.2%	49.9%	46.1%	46.4%	50.0%	52.9%	47.6%	51.7%
	Can't say	22.0%	15.2%	26.3%	19.1%	26.3%	21.7%	15.8%	20.0%	24.9%	21.1%	23.3%
	Oppose	28.6%	29.1%	37.5%	26.7%	23.8%	32.2%	37.8%	30.0%	22.2%	31.4%	25.0%
	SW oppose	13.6%	16.0%	16.3%	8.2%	14.5%	16.2%	15.8%	14.5%	10.1%	15.6%	11.1%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	13.1%	21.3%	18.5%	9.3%	16.0%	22.0%	15.5%	12.1%	15.8%	13.9%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state oarks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	18.1%	16.2%	18.3%	14.0%	15.8%	23.7%	16.9%	15.3%	16.2%	16.8%
	SW Yes	13.1%	18.2%	10.8%	8.5%	14.7%	16.3%	6.9%	11.6%	12.1%	14.6%	11.2%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	17.0%	16.7%	20.1%	18.0%	15.4%	23.1%	20.0%	18.4%	17.1%	19.2%
	Yes	47.6%	53.3%	43.7%	47.0%	46.7%	47.5%	53.7%	48.5%	45.8%	47.9%	47.2%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	18.0%	26.6%	24.2%	32.4%	24.9%	10.1%	22.7%	32.3%	24.1%	28.3%
	No	26.5%	28.7%	29.7%	28.8%	21.0%	27.6%	36.2%	28.8%	21.9%	28.0%	24.5%
	Lean No	6.7%	5.7%	7.3%	6.9%	7.0%	6.0%	8.4%	7.6%	6.5%	6.6%	6.9%
	SW No	3.9%	3.6%	6.3%	2.6%	3.6%	4.7%	5.8%	2.9%	3.3%	4.0%	3.7%
	Strongly No	15.8%	19.4%	16.1%	19.3%	10.4%	16.8%	21.9%	18.3%	12.1%	17.4%	13.9%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very willing	23.7%	33.4%	23.5%	25.3%	15.9%	29.5%	24.4%	21.8%	19.1%	26.7%	20.0%
	SW willing	27.1%	29.2%	30.6%	22.7%	27.0%	28.9%	34.1%	28.3%	23.2%	28.7%	25.2%
	Willing	50.9%	62.6%	54.1%	48.0%	42.9%	58.5%	58.5%	50.1%	42.3%	55.4%	45.2%
	Can't say	20.8%	15.7%	19.3%	22.4%	24.0%	17.8%	15.8%	17.5%	26.6%	17.7%	24.6%
	Unwilling	28.3%	21.8%	26.5%	29.6%	33.1%	23.7%	25.8%	32.4%	31.1%	26.9%	30.1%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	9.5%	13.6%	11.0%	20.7%	11.7%	10.7%	16.1%	16.6%	13.3%	15.5%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	12.3%	12.9%	18.6%	12.4%	12.0%	15.1%	16.3%	14.5%	13.6%	14.6%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Strongly support	21.8%	36.7%	21.6%	19.2%	13.5%	30.1%	26.2%	17.9%	14.9%	25.6%	16.9%
	SW support	24.6%	30.3%	18.3%	27.6%	22.2%	24.6%	23.9%	26.8%	23.4%	25.4%	23.5%
	Support	46.3%	67.1%	39.9%	46.8%	35.7%	54.7%	50.1%	44.7%	38.3%	51.0%	40.4%
	Can't say	24.0%	10.4%	30.7%	22.0%	30.6%	21.7%	14.2%	23.4%	28.7%	22.3%	26.1%
	Oppose	29.7%	22.6%	29.3%	31.3%	33.7%	23.7%	35.7%	31.9%	33.0%	26.7%	33.5%
	SW oppose	14.6%	13.9%	11.4%	14.3%	17.5%	12.2%	14.8%	14.4%	17.0%	13.0%	16.6%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	8.7%	17.9%	17.0%	16.1%	11.4%	20.9%	17.5%	16.0%	13.7%	16.9%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Married / Gender				Married / Children						
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Children		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total										
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	15.7%	32.2%	10.5%	16.3%	7.0%	20.7%	25.7%	14.1%	9.4%	18.3%	12.3%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	26.1%	16.7%	25.0%	11.3%	21.1%	23.7%	16.4%	17.9%	19.3%	18.9%
	Increase	34.8%	58.3%	27.2%	41.2%	18.3%	41.8%	49.3%	30.5%	27.3%	37.6%	31.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	22.1%	29.8%	22.9%	25.2%	27.7%	17.5%	19.9%	26.6%	24.8%	25.0%
	Decrease	29.5%	15.9%	32.4%	28.9%	37.6%	22.8%	29.2%	33.6%	33.8%	26.8%	33.0%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	19.4%	8.8%	12.1%	15.4%	13.8%	11.2%	13.5%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	6.6%	22.9%	20.7%	18.2%	14.0%	17.1%	18.2%	20.0%	15.5%	19.5%
	Can't say	10.8%	3.7%	10.6%	6.9%	18.9%	7.7%	3.9%	16.0%	12.2%	10.8%	10.8%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	51.3%	48.1%	53.4%	53.0%	50.8%	51.0%	49.5%	52.5%	51.3%	51.5%	51.0%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	30.0%	20.8%	23.7%	25.9%	24.3%	31.1%	28.6%	22.8%	25.9%	24.3%
	Increase	76.5%	78.1%	74.2%	76.7%	76.6%	75.2%	80.5%	81.1%	74.1%	77.4%	75.3%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	16.3%	15.1%	15.1%	12.0%	16.6%	11.8%	10.2%	15.2%	14.2%	14.6%
	Decrease	5.2%	4.6%	5.6%	6.1%	4.6%	4.8%	6.5%	4.5%	5.8%	4.7%	5.9%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	1.4%	4.6%	3.6%	2.9%	3.1%	2.7%	1.2%	4.3%	2.4%	4.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	3.1%	1.0%	2.6%	1.8%	1.7%	3.8%	3.3%	1.5%	2.3%	1.9%
	Can't say	3.9%	1.1%	5.1%	2.0%	6.7%	3.4%	1.2%	4.2%	4.9%	3.7%	4.2%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	42.7%	37.3%	46.8%	42.5%	44.0%	41.5%	43.5%	46.3%	41.7%	43.3%	42.0%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	33.1%	24.7%	28.4%	26.1%	28.2%	32.2%	30.1%	25.5%	28.9%	26.7%
	Increase	70.7%	70.3%	71.4%	70.9%	70.2%	69.8%	75.7%	76.4%	67.1%	72.2%	68.7%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	17.9%	15.4%	18.8%	17.7%	17.7%	12.0%	14.2%	20.5%	16.4%	19.0%
	Decrease	7.4%	10.9%	6.5%	7.5%	5.5%	8.3%	11.1%	5.2%	7.0%	7.1%	7.8%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	5.9%	2.3%	3.7%	2.4%	3.9%	5.0%	1.2%	4.0%	2.9%	4.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	5.0%	4.3%	3.8%	3.0%	4.3%	6.1%	4.0%	3.0%	4.2%	3.6%
	Can't say	4.4%	0.9%	6.7%	2.8%	6.7%	4.3%	1.2%	4.2%	5.4%	4.3%	4.6%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	30.1%	35.0%	28.0%	31.2%	27.3%	30.9%	34.6%	32.3%	27.1%	31.4%	28.4%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	44.6%	33.2%	33.6%	33.0%	38.6%	41.5%	32.0%	34.1%	36.1%	35.4%
	Increase	65.9%	79.6%	61.2%	64.8%	60.3%	69.4%	76.1%	64.3%	61.1%	67.5%	63.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	17.8%	23.2%	25.4%	22.4%	21.4%	16.4%	22.0%	24.7%	21.6%	23.2%
	Decrease	4.8%	2.6%	7.3%	3.7%	5.5%	4.8%	5.3%	5.3%	4.4%	5.0%	4.6%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	2.1%	5.8%	2.5%	4.6%	4.1%	3.1%	4.6%	3.1%	4.3%	3.1%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	0.5%	1.5%	1.2%	0.9%	0.7%	2.2%	0.6%	1.3%	0.7%	1.5%
	Can't say	7.0%	0.0%	8.3%	6.1%	11.8%	4.4%	2.2%	8.5%	9.7%	5.9%	8.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children	
			Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	20.0%	30.3%	14.9%	23.9%	12.9%	21.5%	28.8%	19.3%	16.9%	20.7%	19.0%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	42.3%	31.6%	29.0%	23.8%	39.2%	28.0%	29.3%	24.2%	35.5%	24.9%
	Increase	50.8%	72.6%	46.5%	53.0%	36.6%	60.6%	56.8%	48.7%	41.1%	56.2%	44.0%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	19.5%	30.2%	23.6%	31.9%	24.6%	25.2%	25.1%	30.0%	24.8%	29.2%
	Decrease	10.1%	4.3%	10.7%	15.8%	9.2%	6.1%	13.4%	8.3%	14.3%	6.9%	14.1%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	3.6%	6.5%	10.1%	5.8%	4.6%	7.0%	3.9%	9.8%	4.4%	9.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	0.6%	4.2%	5.7%	3.4%	1.5%	6.4%	4.4%	4.5%	2.5%	4.8%
	Can't say	12.4%	3.6%	12.6%	7.7%	22.3%	8.7%	4.6%	17.9%	14.6%	12.1%	12.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children		
		Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No			
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Greatly increase	8.5%	13.3%	8.8%	8.2%	5.1%	12.1%	6.8%	8.4%	5.4%	10.7%	5.7%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	21.6%	19.2%	8.0%	10.6%	19.7%	23.7%	8.0%	10.3%	15.4%	12.7%
	Increase	22.7%	34.8%	28.0%	16.2%	15.8%	31.7%	30.5%	16.4%	15.7%	26.1%	18.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	25.4%	27.7%	24.3%	27.3%	27.9%	20.1%	27.5%	25.1%	27.8%	24.2%
	Decrease	41.6%	38.7%	33.7%	53.5%	39.3%	33.9%	46.9%	44.4%	46.3%	37.8%	46.4%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	20.9%	14.5%	24.8%	16.8%	16.9%	21.4%	18.7%	21.3%	17.6%	21.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	17.9%	19.2%	28.7%	22.5%	16.9%	25.5%	25.8%	24.9%	20.2%	25.0%
	Can't say	9.6%	1.1%	10.5%	6.1%	17.7%	6.4%	2.5%	11.7%	13.0%	8.4%	11.1%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes	13.2%	18.5%	18.3%	9.0%	9.5%	20.9%	7.2%	9.9%	8.9%	16.8%	8.6%
	No	86.8%	81.5%	81.7%	91.0%	90.5%	79.1%	92.8%	90.1%	91.1%	83.2%	91.4%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes, positive	12.9%	20.0%	9.7%	13.3%	9.7%	15.3%	13.7%	9.6%	12.3%	13.2%	12.6%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	18.1%	13.3%	22.4%	23.5%	14.8%	19.9%	21.9%	23.6%	17.4%	23.0%
	No, no change	67.2%	62.0%	76.9%	64.3%	66.8%	69.9%	66.5%	68.5%	64.1%	69.4%	64.5%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes	32.3%	26.7%	25.0%	36.2%	37.8%	26.3%	24.1%	29.6%	41.4%	27.5%	38.3%
	No	67.7%	73.3%	75.0%	63.8%	62.2%	73.7%	75.9%	70.4%	58.6%	72.5%	61.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Married / Gender				Married / Children				Children	
			Married		Not married		Married		Not married		Yes	No
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Marital status	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Married	43.2%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	63.1%	17.9%
	Single	32.7%	0.0%	0.0%	67.7%	49.6%	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%	76.6%	9.0%	62.9%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	19.0%	28.4%	0.0%	0.0%	46.5%	11.5%	17.2%	9.5%
	Widowed	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	20.3%	6.0%	7.5%	4.9%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%	5.9%	3.3%	4.8%
Children	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes	55.9%	79.5%	84.0%	26.2%	44.4%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	No	44.1%	20.5%	16.0%	73.8%	55.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Very conservative	13.0%	20.5%	10.2%	13.6%	9.1%	14.8%	18.7%	13.9%	9.5%	14.4%	11.2%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	29.8%	30.5%	21.9%	23.1%	32.0%	22.1%	25.4%	21.0%	29.6%	21.2%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	21.2%	21.4%	18.7%	25.7%	21.8%	19.0%	18.4%	25.0%	20.5%	23.9%
	Very liberal	11.5%	2.6%	9.9%	13.2%	17.4%	5.8%	7.7%	12.4%	17.4%	8.3%	15.6%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	18.1%	22.8%	26.7%	17.4%	19.4%	24.5%	23.3%	20.5%	20.9%	21.3%
	Libertarian	2.8%	4.4%	3.3%	0.6%	3.0%	3.8%	4.2%	0.4%	2.8%	2.6%	3.0%
	Other	3.8%	3.3%	1.9%	5.1%	4.3%	2.4%	3.8%	6.1%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	18.2%	19.2%	18.5%	28.8%	19.1%	17.1%	22.0%	25.5%	20.2%	24.0%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	18.8%	25.2%	24.0%	21.4%	20.3%	29.0%	16.9%	25.7%	19.0%	26.3%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	8.0%	5.0%	6.3%	9.9%	6.2%	8.2%	9.6%	7.5%	7.4%	7.7%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	9.7%	14.6%	14.8%	9.9%	12.6%	9.7%	11.7%	12.3%	12.3%	11.8%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	11.2%	8.5%	6.0%	8.7%	9.4%	12.1%	10.7%	5.7%	9.8%	6.9%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	21.7%	14.8%	12.2%	11.2%	20.6%	8.1%	14.6%	10.0%	18.4%	9.7%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	8.2%	9.9%	8.1%	6.1%	8.5%	11.5%	8.1%	6.3%	8.3%	7.3%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	4.3%	2.8%	10.0%	4.1%	3.4%	4.3%	6.5%	6.9%	4.6%	6.4%
Union	Sample Size	825	183	173	208	261	291	65	170	298	461	364
	Yes	18.8%	24.8%	31.0%	9.3%	14.1%	26.0%	35.7%	12.4%	11.7%	21.0%	16.0%
	No	81.2%	75.2%	69.0%	90.7%	85.9%	74.0%	64.3%	87.6%	88.3%	79.0%	84.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Political ideology							Union	
			Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Right track	41.4%	23.2%	27.5%	56.6%	63.0%	42.6%	41.0%	37.5%	46.0%	40.3%
	Wrong track	58.6%	76.8%	72.5%	43.4%	37.0%	57.4%	59.0%	62.5%	54.0%	59.7%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strong fav	20.4%	18.4%	7.8%	31.3%	39.1%	15.7%	23.8%	16.3%	32.6%	17.5%
	SW favorable	33.2%	9.2%	25.1%	43.8%	47.8%	43.5%	8.9%	25.9%	35.3%	32.7%
	Favorable	53.6%	27.6%	32.9%	75.1%	86.8%	59.2%	32.7%	42.3%	67.9%	50.3%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	72.4%	63.9%	21.8%	12.6%	37.0%	64.2%	35.9%	30.7%	46.0%
	SW unfav	15.4%	10.2%	19.8%	15.3%	4.9%	17.8%	30.8%	12.4%	9.7%	16.8%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	62.2%	44.1%	6.5%	7.7%	19.2%	33.4%	23.5%	21.0%	29.2%
	No opinion	2.5%	0.0%	1.7%	2.1%	0.5%	3.8%	3.1%	18.2%	1.4%	2.8%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.9%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strong fav	9.1%	15.8%	4.6%	10.5%	16.4%	6.2%	7.2%	4.1%	17.7%	7.1%
	SW favorable	34.5%	12.7%	25.3%	47.9%	53.1%	37.9%	36.2%	16.1%	34.2%	34.5%
	Favorable	43.6%	28.5%	30.0%	58.4%	69.5%	44.2%	43.4%	20.3%	51.9%	41.7%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	65.9%	60.9%	27.9%	22.4%	34.1%	43.4%	33.0%	39.6%	43.4%
	SW unfav	23.3%	20.7%	36.5%	18.4%	14.9%	19.3%	19.7%	22.1%	22.1%	23.6%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	45.2%	24.4%	9.5%	7.5%	14.9%	23.7%	10.9%	17.5%	19.8%
	No opinion	11.6%	4.3%	7.9%	13.0%	6.7%	18.9%	13.2%	25.8%	7.0%	12.6%
	Not heard of	2.2%	1.4%	1.2%	0.6%	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%	20.9%	1.4%	2.3%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strong fav	4.2%	11.8%	2.2%	2.0%	6.8%	2.4%	7.2%	4.1%	8.4%	3.2%
	SW favorable	22.5%	12.0%	18.0%	27.3%	34.9%	24.3%	15.0%	20.1%	24.6%	22.0%
	Favorable	26.7%	23.8%	20.1%	29.2%	41.8%	26.6%	22.3%	24.2%	33.0%	25.2%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	68.1%	72.9%	57.3%	46.0%	55.9%	66.0%	44.9%	59.7%	61.2%
	SW unfav	33.9%	16.5%	43.7%	37.8%	31.7%	34.3%	25.8%	14.4%	39.2%	32.7%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	51.6%	29.3%	19.5%	14.3%	21.5%	40.3%	30.6%	20.5%	28.5%
	No opinion	9.6%	5.5%	4.5%	12.0%	12.2%	13.4%	11.7%	14.8%	5.6%	10.6%
	Not heard of	2.8%	2.7%	2.4%	1.5%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	16.1%	1.7%	3.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strong fav	11.8%	15.1%	5.9%	12.1%	26.6%	8.9%	19.4%	4.1%	17.2%	10.5%
	SW favorable	32.6%	13.1%	17.4%	52.8%	49.2%	36.2%	8.9%	32.5%	33.1%	32.5%
	Favorable	44.4%	28.2%	23.3%	64.8%	75.8%	45.1%	28.3%	36.6%	50.3%	43.0%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	67.6%	72.5%	30.0%	20.7%	39.0%	68.6%	34.1%	46.6%	48.2%
	SW unfav	22.3%	8.1%	32.6%	23.7%	12.4%	23.5%	21.9%	16.0%	23.6%	22.0%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	59.5%	39.9%	6.3%	8.2%	15.4%	46.8%	18.2%	22.9%	26.3%
	No opinion	6.0%	2.5%	2.6%	4.8%	2.1%	12.9%	3.1%	23.5%	3.1%	6.7%
	Not heard of	1.7%	1.7%	1.5%	0.4%	1.4%	3.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	2.1%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Political ideology							Union		
		Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No	
Favorable/Unfavorable:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
Republican Party	Strong fav	6.9%	25.7%	7.1%	1.7%	2.6%	3.7%	6.6%	2.1%	9.4%	6.3%
	SW favorable	22.9%	40.9%	41.2%	11.5%	4.1%	14.1%	9.5%	17.8%	22.2%	23.0%
	Favorable	29.8%	66.6%	48.3%	13.1%	6.7%	17.9%	16.1%	19.9%	31.6%	29.3%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	31.8%	47.9%	80.4%	88.1%	68.7%	77.5%	45.4%	62.9%	62.7%
	SW unfav	26.8%	18.5%	30.9%	23.6%	13.5%	36.7%	52.0%	12.1%	20.9%	28.1%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	13.3%	17.0%	56.8%	74.7%	32.0%	25.5%	33.3%	41.9%	34.5%
	No opinion	5.8%	0.9%	2.4%	4.8%	3.9%	11.6%	6.3%	25.3%	3.4%	6.4%
	Not heard of	1.7%	0.7%	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	0.0%	9.3%	2.2%	1.6%
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	31.2%	38.8%	38.0%	33.0%	39.2%	21.0%	34.7%	37.1%	36.2%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	3.0%	5.9%	15.7%	19.4%	8.3%	29.8%	9.0%	11.6%	10.3%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	18.1%	23.5%	8.8%	10.8%	26.2%	15.0%	15.7%	13.2%	19.3%
	Taxes	6.7%	12.8%	5.8%	6.4%	2.8%	6.3%	12.1%	3.4%	5.0%	7.1%
	Immigration	7.7%	15.0%	10.7%	5.3%	6.1%	4.9%	3.5%	0.0%	6.1%	8.1%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	5.7%	3.6%	8.2%	4.3%	2.6%	2.6%	6.3%	6.9%	4.3%
	Health care	5.9%	3.3%	5.3%	6.7%	9.4%	5.7%	3.1%	6.9%	9.4%	5.1%
	Gas prices	4.0%	4.9%	4.1%	2.9%	5.4%	3.8%	0.0%	5.7%	3.6%	4.1%
	Energy costs	1.3%	0.0%	1.0%	1.5%	2.4%	1.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.0%	1.2%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%	9.7%	1.3%	1.4%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	0.5%	0.5%	2.1%	3.0%	0.8%	4.3%	1.9%	2.0%	1.2%
	Something else	1.8%	5.5%	0.0%	2.4%	1.3%	0.0%	4.6%	6.8%	1.9%	1.8%
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A lot better	3.3%	9.8%	2.6%	1.9%	4.9%	1.2%	3.3%	0.0%	5.6%	2.7%
	A little better	27.1%	15.2%	19.9%	32.9%	45.3%	31.7%	17.6%	10.2%	27.7%	27.0%
	Better	30.4%	25.0%	22.5%	34.8%	50.2%	32.9%	20.8%	10.2%	33.3%	29.7%
	Staying the same	35.0%	25.4%	33.4%	45.6%	24.6%	36.4%	34.2%	40.6%	38.8%	34.1%
	Worse	34.6%	49.6%	44.2%	19.5%	25.2%	30.7%	44.9%	49.2%	27.9%	36.2%
	A little worse	21.8%	16.9%	30.4%	14.9%	17.0%	22.5%	31.1%	24.4%	18.2%	22.7%
	A lot worse	12.8%	32.7%	13.8%	4.6%	8.2%	8.2%	13.8%	24.8%	9.7%	13.5%
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A lot better	3.6%	9.2%	2.3%	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%	7.0%	2.8%
	A little better	18.6%	14.6%	16.5%	20.7%	27.8%	17.7%	24.5%	6.6%	21.9%	17.8%
	Better	22.2%	23.8%	18.8%	23.9%	32.0%	20.1%	24.5%	8.7%	28.9%	20.6%
	Staying the same	44.8%	34.2%	45.6%	51.6%	42.4%	47.5%	34.7%	36.5%	46.8%	44.4%
	Worse	33.0%	42.0%	35.6%	24.5%	25.5%	32.4%	40.8%	54.8%	24.3%	35.0%
	A little worse	23.8%	23.0%	25.5%	19.3%	18.9%	26.6%	36.5%	31.7%	17.3%	25.4%
	A lot worse	9.2%	19.0%	10.1%	5.1%	6.6%	5.8%	4.3%	23.1%	7.0%	9.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Political ideology							Union		
		Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No	
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Much better	3.7%	11.8%	2.4%	1.3%	6.4%	0.4%	9.4%	3.9%	7.0%	2.9%
	SW better	19.3%	6.1%	15.4%	24.5%	36.0%	20.5%	11.8%	8.8%	21.0%	18.9%
	Better	23.0%	18.0%	17.8%	25.8%	42.4%	21.0%	21.3%	12.8%	28.0%	21.8%
	About the same	35.9%	21.5%	32.3%	46.4%	29.7%	41.6%	33.8%	39.1%	35.5%	36.0%
	Worse	41.1%	60.6%	49.9%	27.8%	28.0%	37.5%	44.9%	48.2%	36.5%	42.1%
	SW worse	28.7%	32.0%	33.8%	23.6%	19.8%	30.7%	31.3%	25.0%	24.1%	29.7%
	Much worse	12.4%	28.6%	16.1%	4.2%	8.2%	6.7%	13.6%	23.1%	12.4%	12.4%
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	14.0%	4.0%	1.4%	2.3%	2.5%	10.6%	0.0%	7.7%	3.5%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	21.7%	21.5%	19.1%	17.7%	22.6%	50.3%	13.7%	21.3%	21.3%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	7.1%	14.3%	8.2%	9.5%	6.5%	5.1%	6.7%	11.6%	8.7%
	No	61.3%	49.6%	59.1%	67.7%	68.1%	63.9%	31.4%	66.5%	53.2%	63.2%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	7.6%	1.1%	3.6%	2.4%	4.5%	2.6%	13.1%	6.2%	3.3%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Way too high	38.3%	68.5%	44.8%	28.9%	21.5%	31.1%	48.7%	28.6%	38.5%	38.3%
	SW too high	38.0%	23.5%	44.3%	40.8%	32.7%	42.1%	31.6%	27.9%	38.0%	38.0%
	Too high	76.4%	92.0%	89.0%	69.7%	54.2%	73.2%	80.3%	56.5%	76.5%	76.3%
	About right	19.4%	6.8%	10.1%	25.8%	29.7%	25.2%	9.6%	33.7%	18.9%	19.6%
	Too low	4.2%	1.3%	0.8%	4.5%	16.1%	1.6%	10.0%	9.8%	4.6%	4.1%
	SW too low	4.0%	1.3%	0.8%	4.5%	16.1%	1.6%	10.0%	3.9%	4.6%	3.9%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.3%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	4.2%	10.8%	2.6%	1.2%	3.6%	4.4%	12.6%	5.7%	4.7%	4.1%
	B - Good	9.1%	4.4%	9.7%	10.3%	17.9%	6.0%	15.5%	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%
	C - Average	26.5%	13.3%	19.3%	36.1%	35.1%	31.2%	10.2%	24.5%	28.3%	26.0%
	D - Poor	31.0%	18.3%	36.9%	35.7%	28.4%	29.9%	13.9%	33.2%	28.5%	31.6%
	F - Failing	29.2%	53.3%	31.6%	16.7%	15.0%	28.6%	47.7%	36.6%	29.4%	29.2%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	5.1%	9.0%	5.0%	0.4%	7.1%	5.9%	16.7%	0.0%	5.3%	5.0%
	B - Good	14.2%	7.6%	10.0%	19.0%	21.8%	13.9%	14.0%	16.3%	17.7%	13.4%
	C - Average	37.0%	21.3%	32.3%	49.5%	48.6%	35.9%	11.9%	38.6%	34.2%	37.6%
	D - Poor	25.9%	27.4%	29.2%	25.3%	11.8%	27.3%	37.9%	28.1%	26.5%	25.8%
	F - Failing	17.8%	34.5%	23.5%	5.8%	10.7%	17.0%	19.5%	17.0%	16.3%	18.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Political ideology							Union		
		Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No	
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	5.1%	10.7%	3.6%	2.3%	4.2%	5.0%	18.5%	5.7%	6.0%	4.9%
	B - Good	11.9%	5.1%	12.3%	13.2%	22.9%	9.0%	12.0%	8.0%	14.0%	11.4%
	C - Average	29.7%	13.1%	25.0%	37.2%	34.2%	35.5%	20.1%	37.0%	28.2%	30.1%
	D - Poor	30.7%	28.1%	31.7%	36.1%	25.8%	30.9%	18.4%	24.8%	30.6%	30.8%
	F - Failing	22.6%	43.1%	27.5%	11.1%	12.9%	19.6%	31.1%	24.5%	21.2%	22.9%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	5.7%	10.3%	4.9%	1.1%	11.8%	4.4%	12.6%	5.7%	6.1%	5.6%
	B - Good	16.1%	10.7%	15.1%	18.1%	17.8%	18.2%	16.6%	12.9%	11.6%	17.2%
	C - Average	36.1%	34.5%	40.4%	36.4%	31.0%	38.2%	16.7%	28.4%	37.3%	35.8%
	D - Poor	26.7%	22.0%	22.5%	36.6%	20.8%	26.1%	41.8%	23.8%	26.0%	26.9%
	F - Failing	15.4%	22.5%	17.0%	7.9%	18.6%	13.1%	12.3%	29.2%	19.0%	14.6%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	4.0%	10.4%	2.4%	0.9%	6.8%	3.5%	12.6%	0.0%	5.1%	3.8%
	B - Good	8.9%	3.2%	8.0%	10.4%	17.4%	8.1%	15.5%	0.0%	8.8%	9.0%
	C - Average	28.3%	14.6%	22.0%	39.4%	35.1%	30.0%	19.1%	30.0%	33.2%	27.1%
	D - Poor	32.4%	26.4%	32.6%	35.4%	29.3%	37.5%	18.8%	24.5%	30.8%	32.8%
	F - Failing	26.4%	45.4%	35.0%	13.8%	11.4%	20.9%	33.9%	45.5%	22.1%	27.4%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	A - Excellent	4.0%	11.0%	3.2%	1.9%	4.5%	2.4%	10.2%	0.0%	7.5%	3.2%
	B - Good	6.8%	4.6%	6.3%	5.4%	15.5%	4.5%	15.1%	6.1%	7.0%	6.7%
	C - Average	36.0%	22.5%	26.6%	45.8%	38.2%	43.6%	19.4%	51.2%	37.1%	35.7%
	D - Poor	29.7%	22.1%	35.1%	32.0%	28.3%	29.1%	19.9%	21.1%	24.8%	30.9%
	F - Failing	23.5%	39.9%	28.8%	14.9%	13.4%	20.4%	35.3%	21.6%	23.7%	23.5%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very good job	3.0%	11.2%	1.5%	1.5%	3.5%	1.6%	3.3%	0.0%	6.2%	2.3%
	SW good job	11.5%	3.3%	10.5%	12.3%	23.3%	9.8%	22.7%	8.2%	11.4%	11.6%
	Good job	14.6%	14.5%	12.0%	13.8%	26.8%	11.4%	26.0%	8.2%	17.6%	13.9%
	Can't say	26.2%	9.0%	19.8%	35.6%	33.0%	30.9%	10.8%	37.9%	26.5%	26.1%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	76.5%	68.2%	50.6%	40.2%	57.7%	63.2%	53.9%	55.9%	60.1%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	21.0%	22.0%	30.9%	29.0%	29.9%	19.4%	10.2%	27.7%	25.3%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	55.5%	46.2%	19.7%	11.2%	27.8%	43.8%	43.7%	28.3%	34.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Political ideology							Union	
			Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very pos impact	12.9%	16.9%	5.9%	13.7%	25.7%	9.3%	16.9%	20.2%	17.5%	11.8%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	19.0%	32.0%	46.7%	45.6%	29.9%	28.7%	33.7%	32.1%	35.2%
	Positive impact	47.5%	36.0%	37.9%	60.4%	71.3%	39.1%	45.6%	53.8%	49.7%	47.0%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	24.4%	35.5%	31.2%	22.3%	47.5%	15.9%	33.5%	26.8%	35.0%
	Negative impact	19.0%	39.6%	26.6%	8.4%	6.4%	13.3%	38.5%	12.7%	23.5%	17.9%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	12.3%	16.5%	7.1%	3.6%	9.7%	20.1%	4.6%	15.9%	9.4%
Very neg impact	8.4%	27.4%	10.1%	1.3%	2.9%	3.6%	18.4%	8.1%	7.6%	8.5%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Job creation	21.1%	17.4%	14.2%	28.3%	41.8%	14.8%	21.5%	11.3%	20.8%	21.2%
	Can't say	52.4%	30.4%	51.6%	57.7%	46.2%	63.5%	36.8%	70.7%	49.9%	52.9%
	Job loss	26.5%	52.2%	34.2%	14.1%	12.0%	21.7%	41.8%	18.0%	29.3%	25.9%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Serious threat	37.8%	20.3%	24.3%	50.4%	73.3%	33.5%	19.3%	47.3%	43.3%	36.6%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	23.0%	40.3%	34.3%	15.8%	41.6%	38.4%	14.4%	29.2%	34.1%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	52.8%	26.7%	9.9%	6.4%	11.5%	25.1%	15.5%	20.8%	20.3%
	Can't say	8.6%	3.9%	8.7%	5.4%	4.6%	13.4%	17.1%	22.7%	6.6%	9.1%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very willing	7.2%	11.8%	3.4%	6.6%	16.1%	4.4%	14.0%	3.9%	11.7%	6.1%
	SW willing	22.8%	9.5%	19.4%	33.3%	34.6%	19.8%	24.7%	8.9%	21.4%	23.1%
	Willing	30.0%	21.3%	22.9%	39.9%	50.7%	24.2%	38.7%	12.8%	33.0%	29.2%
	Can't say	17.1%	6.7%	14.9%	23.3%	18.7%	18.6%	5.6%	26.6%	22.1%	15.9%
	Unwilling	53.0%	71.9%	62.2%	36.8%	30.7%	57.2%	55.6%	60.5%	44.9%	54.8%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	16.7%	21.4%	17.7%	15.2%	30.8%	24.4%	11.8%	17.7%	21.7%
Very unwilling	32.0%	55.2%	40.9%	19.1%	15.5%	26.4%	31.2%	48.8%	27.2%	33.1%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very pos	6.5%	9.5%	5.3%	4.9%	14.2%	3.8%	10.0%	2.4%	8.4%	6.0%
	SW positive	25.2%	12.5%	19.6%	36.0%	42.5%	20.5%	15.7%	24.1%	27.1%	24.7%
	Positive	31.6%	22.0%	24.9%	40.9%	56.7%	24.3%	25.8%	26.6%	35.5%	30.8%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	15.2%	27.6%	32.5%	20.4%	40.5%	19.6%	26.3%	22.3%	30.1%
	Negative	29.7%	56.4%	38.8%	19.5%	12.7%	22.4%	50.6%	11.5%	38.6%	27.6%
	SW neg	14.4%	20.5%	18.3%	13.4%	4.1%	14.6%	11.4%	5.5%	25.7%	11.8%
	Very neg	15.3%	35.9%	20.5%	6.2%	8.6%	7.8%	39.2%	6.0%	12.8%	15.8%
I don't know	10.0%	6.5%	8.8%	7.1%	10.2%	12.8%	3.9%	35.6%	3.6%	11.5%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Political ideology							Union		
		Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No	
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strongly support	18.9%	17.2%	14.5%	21.2%	29.4%	16.3%	30.5%	15.9%	19.7%	18.8%
	SW support	30.5%	17.7%	30.0%	37.8%	41.7%	26.6%	28.4%	23.5%	33.0%	29.9%
	Support	49.4%	34.9%	44.6%	59.0%	71.1%	42.9%	58.9%	39.4%	52.7%	48.6%
	Can't say	22.0%	14.4%	18.7%	22.2%	18.2%	31.1%	14.6%	36.9%	21.1%	22.2%
	Oppose	28.6%	50.7%	36.7%	18.8%	10.7%	26.0%	26.6%	23.7%	26.2%	29.1%
	SW oppose	13.6%	15.9%	16.6%	12.4%	5.4%	17.2%	2.6%	6.3%	12.5%	13.9%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	34.9%	20.2%	6.4%	5.3%	8.8%	24.0%	17.4%	13.7%	15.3%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state oarks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	14.1%	15.8%	16.4%	29.5%	11.4%	27.2%	10.2%	25.1%	14.5%
	SW Yes	13.1%	7.8%	8.6%	22.6%	18.4%	9.8%	13.4%	7.9%	12.7%	13.2%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	7.2%	16.3%	21.8%	21.3%	21.1%	17.1%	19.6%	17.8%	18.1%
	Yes	47.6%	29.1%	40.7%	60.8%	69.2%	42.4%	57.7%	37.7%	55.7%	45.7%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	22.8%	23.4%	25.0%	19.8%	34.3%	7.2%	45.6%	18.8%	27.6%
	No	26.5%	48.1%	35.9%	14.3%	11.1%	23.4%	35.1%	16.7%	25.5%	26.7%
	Lean No	6.7%	6.5%	11.7%	4.4%	2.1%	6.2%	6.9%	3.6%	8.7%	6.3%
	SW No	3.9%	2.7%	5.4%	3.9%	1.5%	4.8%	4.3%	0.0%	1.9%	4.4%
	Strongly No	15.8%	39.0%	18.7%	6.0%	7.5%	12.3%	24.0%	13.0%	14.9%	16.0%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very willing	23.7%	57.8%	27.7%	11.9%	16.2%	14.6%	35.2%	14.0%	25.9%	23.3%
	SW willing	27.1%	17.1%	33.3%	27.4%	15.6%	32.2%	38.2%	17.1%	26.0%	27.4%
	Willing	50.9%	74.9%	61.0%	39.3%	31.8%	46.8%	73.4%	31.1%	51.9%	50.7%
	Can't say	20.8%	16.4%	20.1%	21.3%	16.0%	25.1%	4.4%	39.6%	21.8%	20.5%
	Unwilling	28.3%	8.7%	19.0%	39.4%	52.2%	28.0%	22.2%	29.3%	26.4%	28.8%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	5.4%	11.9%	16.9%	18.7%	18.6%	15.0%	8.4%	9.3%	15.5%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	3.3%	7.1%	22.5%	33.5%	9.5%	7.1%	21.0%	17.1%	13.3%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Strongly support	21.8%	55.9%	28.4%	8.2%	9.9%	13.3%	44.0%	4.6%	24.1%	21.2%
	SW support	24.6%	23.0%	31.0%	22.6%	23.1%	22.6%	27.7%	10.0%	24.2%	24.6%
	Support	46.3%	78.8%	59.4%	30.8%	33.0%	36.0%	71.8%	14.6%	48.3%	45.9%
	Can't say	24.0%	15.2%	20.8%	32.7%	14.2%	27.7%	5.6%	47.6%	20.4%	24.8%
	Oppose	29.7%	6.0%	19.8%	36.5%	52.8%	36.3%	22.6%	37.8%	31.3%	29.3%
	SW oppose	14.6%	1.0%	12.4%	15.5%	15.0%	23.7%	15.5%	18.9%	13.3%	14.9%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	4.9%	7.4%	20.9%	37.8%	12.7%	7.1%	18.9%	18.0%	14.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Political ideology							Union	
			Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	15.7%	38.0%	18.7%	7.9%	8.4%	6.8%	36.0%	19.6%	19.4%	14.8%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	18.5%	20.4%	20.8%	15.7%	20.5%	25.1%	2.1%	19.7%	19.0%
	Increase	34.8%	56.4%	39.1%	28.7%	24.0%	27.3%	61.0%	21.7%	39.1%	33.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	18.9%	27.6%	25.3%	18.9%	28.7%	25.3%	22.2%	22.9%	25.4%
	Decrease	29.5%	18.4%	23.8%	34.3%	44.3%	33.8%	13.6%	21.7%	30.7%	29.2%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	5.0%	9.0%	19.3%	12.0%	16.0%	2.6%	5.1%	11.7%	12.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	13.4%	14.8%	15.0%	32.3%	17.8%	11.1%	16.7%	19.0%	16.9%
Can't say	10.8%	6.3%	9.6%	11.7%	12.8%	10.1%	0.0%	34.4%	7.2%	11.6%	
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	51.3%	47.4%	44.1%	54.4%	62.4%	54.3%	56.3%	41.5%	52.4%	51.0%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	20.7%	28.4%	27.8%	19.2%	28.1%	15.4%	11.9%	28.4%	24.4%
	Increase	76.5%	68.1%	72.5%	82.2%	81.7%	82.3%	71.7%	53.4%	80.8%	75.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	17.4%	20.1%	12.9%	5.5%	9.4%	17.3%	26.1%	11.2%	15.1%
	Decrease	5.2%	10.4%	5.5%	2.7%	6.1%	3.3%	10.9%	3.5%	5.4%	5.2%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	1.7%	5.1%	1.1%	3.8%	2.6%	10.9%	0.0%	2.7%	3.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	8.7%	0.4%	1.6%	2.3%	0.7%	0.0%	3.5%	2.8%	2.0%
Can't say	3.9%	4.1%	1.8%	2.2%	6.8%	4.9%	0.0%	17.1%	2.6%	4.2%	
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	42.7%	38.8%	36.8%	46.2%	55.3%	44.7%	29.8%	36.8%	49.3%	41.2%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	17.9%	29.8%	34.3%	25.1%	30.8%	21.7%	10.3%	25.6%	28.5%
	Increase	70.7%	56.6%	66.6%	80.5%	80.4%	75.5%	51.4%	47.1%	74.9%	69.7%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	24.0%	21.4%	11.9%	9.7%	15.2%	23.5%	33.5%	15.0%	18.1%
	Decrease	7.4%	16.4%	7.4%	5.4%	5.9%	3.2%	25.1%	3.5%	7.5%	7.4%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	4.1%	5.7%	2.9%	2.4%	1.3%	10.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.7%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	12.3%	1.7%	2.5%	3.4%	1.9%	15.0%	3.5%	4.8%	3.7%
Can't say	4.4%	3.0%	4.6%	2.2%	4.0%	6.2%	0.0%	16.0%	2.6%	4.9%	
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	30.1%	40.3%	28.5%	24.1%	35.9%	29.0%	38.5%	23.4%	29.7%	30.2%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	32.7%	36.5%	45.2%	29.2%	33.0%	39.9%	19.7%	38.0%	35.3%
	Increase	65.9%	73.0%	64.9%	69.3%	65.0%	62.0%	78.3%	43.1%	67.7%	65.5%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	16.5%	23.7%	21.2%	22.3%	25.8%	9.7%	28.9%	23.9%	22.0%
	Decrease	4.8%	3.2%	3.9%	4.8%	4.4%	5.6%	7.3%	11.9%	3.6%	5.1%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	2.5%	3.3%	2.8%	2.9%	5.6%	4.3%	8.4%	2.7%	4.0%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	3.1%	3.5%	0.9%	1.1%
Can't say	7.0%	7.3%	7.5%	4.8%	8.3%	6.5%	4.6%	16.1%	4.9%	7.5%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Political ideology							Union	
			Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	20.0%	45.5%	21.1%	12.6%	14.1%	14.6%	33.3%	5.5%	19.2%	20.1%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	27.2%	36.5%	32.8%	22.2%	32.2%	27.2%	15.3%	36.8%	29.5%
	Increase	50.8%	72.7%	57.5%	45.3%	36.3%	46.7%	60.6%	20.9%	56.0%	49.6%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	17.9%	23.1%	33.0%	24.4%	31.6%	15.1%	33.0%	26.4%	26.8%
	Decrease	10.1%	2.9%	7.9%	10.6%	20.1%	10.2%	12.8%	13.2%	9.7%	10.2%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	2.3%	6.2%	8.0%	10.4%	5.7%	9.8%	6.1%	7.2%	6.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	0.6%	1.7%	2.6%	9.7%	4.5%	3.1%	7.1%	2.4%	3.8%
	Can't say	12.4%	6.4%	11.5%	11.0%	19.2%	11.5%	11.5%	32.9%	8.0%	13.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Political ideology								Union	
		Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No	
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Greatly increase	8.5%	27.2%	6.2%	4.7%	6.0%	5.2%	18.3%	0.0%	12.6%	7.5%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	11.5%	22.6%	14.7%	7.4%	11.7%	8.4%	2.2%	19.0%	13.1%
	Increase	22.7%	38.7%	28.8%	19.3%	13.4%	17.0%	26.6%	2.2%	31.6%	20.6%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	32.4%	30.4%	23.9%	15.9%	24.0%	29.6%	30.8%	21.2%	27.3%
	Decrease	41.6%	21.7%	33.3%	49.4%	60.8%	46.9%	39.2%	34.8%	40.7%	41.8%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	11.4%	16.4%	21.7%	15.2%	28.6%	26.3%	6.8%	19.6%	19.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	10.3%	17.0%	27.7%	45.5%	18.3%	12.9%	28.0%	21.1%	22.6%
	Can't say	9.6%	7.2%	7.5%	7.4%	9.9%	12.2%	4.6%	32.2%	6.5%	10.3%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes	13.2%	18.6%	11.4%	15.0%	19.5%	5.2%	31.4%	8.6%	21.5%	11.3%
	No	86.8%	81.4%	88.6%	85.0%	80.5%	94.8%	68.6%	91.4%	78.5%	88.7%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes, positive	12.9%	17.0%	12.8%	11.2%	18.3%	9.9%	13.9%	8.7%	16.8%	12.0%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	12.1%	24.4%	17.9%	19.3%	18.3%	34.1%	27.5%	14.0%	21.2%
	No, no change	67.2%	71.0%	62.8%	70.9%	62.3%	71.8%	52.1%	63.8%	69.2%	66.8%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes	32.3%	26.1%	33.4%	34.0%	33.8%	29.2%	28.4%	50.7%	27.8%	33.3%
	No	67.7%	73.9%	66.6%	66.0%	66.2%	70.8%	71.6%	49.3%	72.2%	66.7%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Political ideology							Union	
			Very cons	SW cons	SW lib	Very lib	Mod/ Ind	Libert.	Other	Yes	No
Marital status	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Married	43.2%	51.4%	50.3%	41.7%	23.1%	41.8%	60.4%	30.1%	63.8%	38.4%
	Single	32.7%	20.3%	25.2%	37.0%	40.3%	38.5%	36.3%	44.7%	18.5%	36.1%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	17.2%	13.7%	13.0%	18.2%	12.5%	3.2%	9.0%	8.9%	14.9%
	Widowed	6.3%	9.4%	8.5%	3.9%	7.1%	4.9%	0.0%	5.7%	3.6%	7.0%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	1.6%	2.4%	4.4%	11.3%	2.3%	0.0%	10.5%	5.2%	3.7%
Children	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes	55.9%	62.1%	63.9%	52.1%	40.1%	55.5%	51.8%	55.4%	62.4%	54.4%
	No	44.1%	37.9%	36.1%	47.9%	59.9%	44.5%	48.2%	44.6%	37.6%	45.6%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Very conservative	13.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%	12.2%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.6%	26.4%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.2%	21.5%
	Very liberal	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	11.0%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	22.1%
	Libertarian	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	2.7%	2.8%
	Other	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.1%	3.9%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	22.2%	9.5%	28.7%	52.7%	13.4%	24.0%	16.0%	27.0%	20.7%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	2.1%	11.0%	41.9%	34.7%	24.6%	0.0%	19.2%	29.8%	20.5%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	0.0%	6.4%	9.7%	3.6%	13.4%	4.4%	10.0%	5.2%	8.1%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	5.7%	14.3%	7.4%	2.5%	22.7%	18.2%	11.4%	10.0%	12.6%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	2.8%	16.6%	3.1%	3.9%	11.6%	4.3%	5.0%	6.5%	9.0%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	27.3%	29.8%	4.4%	1.0%	5.6%	22.8%	10.3%	11.5%	15.2%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	36.2%	10.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	7.7%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	3.6%	2.1%	2.6%	1.4%	8.6%	26.4%	28.1%	1.7%	6.2%
Union	Sample Size	825	107	213	182	95	174	23	31	155	670
	Yes	18.8%	23.7%	17.2%	20.7%	22.4%	14.6%	18.0%	15.6%	100.0%	0.0%
	No	81.2%	76.3%	82.8%	79.3%	77.6%	85.4%	82.0%	84.4%	0.0%	100.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Right track	41.4%	61.7%	62.4%	38.2%	38.0%	17.3%	14.4%	16.1%	31.8%	39.9%	61.6%
	Wrong track	58.6%	38.3%	37.6%	61.8%	62.0%	82.7%	85.6%	83.9%	68.2%	60.1%	38.4%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strong fav	20.4%	57.0%	20.1%	15.8%	5.3%	6.5%	5.2%	2.9%	1.5%	19.6%	31.2%
	SW favorable	33.2%	32.0%	63.9%	49.8%	39.7%	11.9%	8.2%	2.6%	19.7%	32.7%	40.7%
	Favorable	53.6%	88.9%	84.0%	65.6%	45.0%	18.4%	13.5%	5.5%	21.2%	52.2%	71.9%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	9.6%	13.8%	31.4%	46.5%	77.0%	84.9%	89.4%	74.8%	45.3%	14.3%
	SW unfav	15.4%	5.3%	10.8%	16.3%	26.4%	31.2%	14.0%	13.6%	31.3%	16.0%	7.4%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	4.3%	3.0%	15.1%	20.1%	45.8%	70.9%	75.8%	43.4%	29.2%	6.9%
	No opinion	2.5%	1.4%	2.2%	1.2%	7.2%	4.6%	0.0%	2.2%	4.0%	2.0%	10.4%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.5%	3.4%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strong fav	9.1%	23.7%	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	2.9%	0.9%	2.9%	1.5%	8.2%	21.7%
	SW favorable	34.5%	45.0%	55.0%	34.1%	30.0%	20.6%	15.5%	10.9%	25.2%	33.8%	43.2%
	Favorable	43.6%	68.7%	64.1%	43.2%	34.5%	23.5%	16.4%	13.8%	26.8%	42.0%	65.0%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	15.3%	25.2%	43.1%	42.2%	66.3%	73.7%	78.0%	53.8%	44.6%	17.5%
	SW unfav	23.3%	10.2%	20.7%	16.7%	29.8%	44.2%	31.8%	22.7%	27.3%	24.3%	10.9%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	5.1%	4.5%	26.4%	12.4%	22.1%	41.9%	55.3%	26.5%	20.3%	6.6%
	No opinion	11.6%	14.5%	8.4%	11.9%	19.2%	10.2%	7.7%	8.2%	12.4%	11.3%	14.9%
	Not heard of	2.2%	1.4%	2.3%	1.8%	4.2%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	7.0%	2.1%	2.7%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strong fav	4.2%	12.5%	2.1%	3.3%	2.2%	1.6%	0.9%	1.3%	1.5%	3.6%	11.4%
	SW favorable	22.5%	31.5%	34.8%	23.0%	16.9%	14.8%	9.3%	9.1%	15.0%	21.8%	32.1%
	Favorable	26.7%	44.0%	36.9%	26.3%	19.2%	16.4%	10.2%	10.4%	16.5%	25.4%	43.5%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	37.0%	52.7%	65.7%	61.1%	73.9%	84.9%	83.0%	67.1%	63.0%	33.3%
	SW unfav	33.9%	28.6%	37.3%	37.9%	38.5%	43.0%	40.2%	16.3%	19.5%	34.9%	20.3%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	8.4%	15.4%	27.8%	22.7%	30.9%	44.7%	66.7%	47.5%	28.1%	13.1%
	No opinion	9.6%	17.5%	8.7%	6.3%	14.5%	6.9%	1.7%	3.3%	10.3%	9.1%	16.7%
	Not heard of	2.8%	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	5.2%	2.8%	3.1%	3.3%	6.0%	2.5%	6.4%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strong fav	11.8%	39.5%	9.8%	3.3%	3.0%	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	11.0%	21.8%
	SW favorable	32.6%	47.1%	58.7%	52.1%	22.6%	10.1%	5.4%	5.1%	10.0%	32.1%	39.9%
	Favorable	44.4%	86.7%	68.5%	55.4%	25.6%	11.7%	6.3%	5.1%	11.5%	43.1%	61.6%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	7.9%	25.2%	39.8%	51.1%	87.4%	89.4%	90.6%	72.1%	49.5%	26.2%
	SW unfav	22.3%	5.2%	22.1%	26.5%	33.8%	48.4%	23.9%	9.6%	33.5%	22.8%	15.9%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	2.7%	3.1%	13.4%	17.2%	39.0%	65.5%	81.0%	38.5%	26.8%	10.3%
	No opinion	6.0%	4.1%	5.8%	4.7%	19.2%	0.0%	1.2%	4.4%	12.0%	5.8%	8.8%
	Not heard of	1.7%	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	4.2%	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%	1.6%	3.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strong fav	6.9%	5.9%	0.8%	1.1%	0.9%	6.5%	11.9%	27.5%	14.8%	6.6%	10.4%
	SW favorable	22.9%	3.9%	8.3%	7.1%	24.7%	48.2%	53.6%	53.5%	10.2%	23.1%	19.8%
	Favorable	29.8%	9.8%	9.1%	8.2%	25.6%	54.7%	65.4%	81.0%	25.0%	29.7%	30.3%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	82.9%	84.0%	88.9%	55.9%	41.6%	31.4%	16.1%	57.4%	63.4%	54.1%
	SW unfav	26.8%	19.3%	26.6%	43.7%	37.2%	36.4%	27.9%	11.4%	14.9%	26.8%	27.2%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	63.6%	57.4%	45.2%	18.7%	5.3%	3.5%	4.7%	42.5%	36.6%	26.9%
	No opinion	5.8%	6.1%	6.9%	1.1%	15.3%	0.9%	0.0%	2.9%	13.2%	5.6%	8.4%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.2%	0.0%	1.8%	3.2%	2.7%	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%	1.3%	7.2%	
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	39.3%	40.8%	29.5%	34.1%	24.1%	35.2%	44.0%	32.8%	36.1%	39.9%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	14.3%	17.5%	6.6%	5.4%	7.9%	6.1%	0.0%	14.7%	10.2%	14.2%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	11.5%	12.8%	39.6%	22.0%	22.5%	19.1%	17.5%	19.5%	18.7%	9.9%
	Taxes	6.7%	4.5%	2.4%	3.2%	11.4%	9.9%	13.7%	7.4%	2.4%	6.6%	6.9%
	Immigration	7.7%	5.8%	2.9%	8.9%	2.1%	15.2%	15.6%	15.5%	1.8%	7.7%	7.8%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	5.8%	6.8%	3.1%	3.8%	3.3%	2.0%	4.3%	8.2%	4.8%	4.7%
	Health care	5.9%	9.4%	6.9%	1.3%	9.3%	8.0%	0.0%	2.2%	4.8%	5.8%	6.8%
	Gas prices	4.0%	2.8%	4.2%	2.0%	7.8%	3.5%	3.6%	6.4%	0.0%	3.8%	6.7%
	Energy costs	1.3%	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.9%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%	5.7%	1.3%	1.6%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	2.1%	1.5%	3.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	2.3%	0.5%	1.5%	1.4%	3.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Something else	1.8%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%	2.8%	1.6%	7.4%	1.8%	1.4%	
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A lot better	3.3%	9.6%	1.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	2.9%	8.5%
	A little better	27.1%	40.5%	38.4%	34.6%	23.7%	11.0%	12.2%	5.0%	21.6%	26.5%	35.6%
	Better	30.4%	50.1%	40.1%	34.6%	26.8%	11.0%	13.9%	7.2%	21.6%	29.4%	44.1%
	Staying the same	35.0%	33.3%	37.6%	30.2%	42.0%	38.9%	29.3%	37.9%	26.4%	34.3%	43.8%
	Worse	34.6%	16.6%	22.3%	35.2%	31.1%	50.1%	56.8%	54.9%	52.0%	36.3%	12.1%
	A little worse	21.8%	12.2%	19.1%	21.6%	22.0%	37.5%	33.0%	20.9%	17.8%	22.5%	12.1%
A lot worse	12.8%	4.4%	3.2%	13.6%	9.1%	12.6%	23.8%	34.0%	34.2%	13.8%	0.0%	
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A lot better	3.6%	8.0%	2.3%	2.4%	6.7%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	6.2%
	A little better	18.6%	31.8%	23.6%	13.9%	14.6%	10.9%	10.1%	7.2%	11.6%	17.3%	35.2%
	Better	22.2%	39.8%	25.8%	16.4%	21.3%	10.9%	12.3%	7.2%	11.6%	20.7%	41.3%
	Staying the same	44.8%	41.7%	49.0%	39.8%	47.8%	43.5%	44.3%	48.7%	38.4%	45.2%	39.8%
	Worse	33.0%	18.5%	25.1%	43.8%	30.9%	45.6%	43.4%	44.1%	50.0%	34.1%	18.9%
	A little worse	23.8%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	22.0%	35.4%	31.9%	21.1%	27.8%	24.4%	16.0%
A lot worse	9.2%	3.5%	5.1%	8.8%	8.9%	10.1%	11.5%	22.9%	22.3%	9.6%	2.9%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Vote history							Language			
		Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish	
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Much better	3.7%	10.9%	1.2%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	1.8%	1.3%	2.8%	3.5%	6.0%
	SW better	19.3%	31.3%	30.2%	14.3%	15.2%	13.6%	6.2%	1.1%	12.7%	18.5%	30.4%
	Better	23.0%	42.2%	31.4%	14.3%	19.7%	13.6%	8.0%	2.4%	15.4%	22.0%	36.4%
	About the same	35.9%	34.5%	40.5%	48.2%	44.7%	29.8%	26.9%	28.1%	31.6%	35.6%	39.9%
	Worse	41.1%	23.3%	28.1%	37.5%	35.6%	56.6%	65.2%	69.5%	53.0%	42.4%	23.7%
	SW worse	28.7%	18.7%	24.6%	27.9%	24.1%	40.3%	42.6%	41.7%	22.2%	29.4%	18.4%
Much worse	12.4%	4.7%	3.5%	9.6%	11.5%	16.3%	22.6%	27.8%	30.8%	12.9%	5.3%	
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	6.6%	1.5%	1.1%	2.3%	5.5%	6.4%	4.4%	6.7%	4.4%	2.6%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	16.0%	20.6%	18.4%	21.7%	23.0%	27.8%	25.4%	22.9%	21.5%	19.4%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	10.4%	6.0%	6.0%	13.7%	18.9%	6.6%	9.5%	4.7%	8.8%	15.6%
	No	61.3%	64.3%	66.7%	74.5%	57.5%	47.5%	55.5%	55.2%	62.8%	61.5%	58.2%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	2.6%	5.2%	0.0%	4.7%	5.1%	3.7%	5.5%	2.9%	3.8%	4.2%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Way too high	38.3%	30.8%	22.0%	27.6%	25.7%	41.6%	68.1%	62.7%	58.4%	39.3%	24.5%
	SW too high	38.0%	37.8%	45.6%	45.4%	40.7%	44.8%	28.5%	25.3%	24.8%	38.0%	38.2%
	Too high	76.4%	68.6%	67.7%	73.0%	66.4%	86.4%	96.7%	88.0%	83.2%	77.4%	62.8%
	About right	19.4%	26.1%	25.4%	23.7%	30.3%	12.7%	2.7%	9.9%	7.3%	18.4%	33.2%
	Too low	4.2%	5.3%	7.0%	3.3%	3.3%	0.9%	0.7%	2.1%	9.5%	4.2%	4.1%
	SW too low	4.0%	5.3%	7.0%	1.5%	2.6%	0.9%	0.7%	2.1%	9.5%	4.1%	2.8%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	4.2%	10.4%	1.3%	4.5%	4.4%	0.0%	4.8%	1.3%	0.0%	3.6%	12.2%
	B - Good	9.1%	15.6%	11.4%	4.6%	6.7%	10.5%	5.1%	0.0%	6.5%	7.9%	24.6%
	C - Average	26.5%	40.8%	34.8%	17.9%	35.5%	13.4%	8.9%	13.6%	12.3%	25.5%	39.3%
	D - Poor	31.0%	22.3%	34.5%	41.3%	26.9%	41.9%	32.5%	33.9%	20.6%	32.2%	14.2%
	F - Failing	29.2%	10.9%	18.0%	31.7%	26.6%	34.1%	48.7%	51.1%	60.7%	30.7%	9.7%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	5.1%	11.2%	3.5%	4.9%	5.1%	0.0%	4.2%	2.3%	1.8%	4.4%	14.1%
	B - Good	14.2%	23.2%	18.1%	7.1%	11.7%	10.7%	6.6%	4.7%	17.1%	13.5%	23.7%
	C - Average	37.0%	43.8%	45.6%	45.8%	39.7%	33.6%	20.9%	28.9%	15.6%	36.2%	46.7%
	D - Poor	25.9%	16.2%	25.6%	22.6%	28.9%	31.9%	34.7%	28.4%	27.9%	26.9%	12.4%
	F - Failing	17.8%	5.7%	7.2%	19.6%	14.5%	23.8%	33.6%	35.8%	37.6%	18.9%	3.1%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Vote history							Language			
		Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	5.1%	12.6%	2.5%	4.5%	2.7%	2.0%	4.1%	1.3%	4.4%	4.7%	9.8%
	B - Good	11.9%	13.2%	19.3%	3.0%	16.6%	12.0%	6.5%	2.6%	6.4%	10.6%	29.7%
	C - Average	29.7%	39.8%	34.4%	36.0%	34.4%	22.1%	12.6%	24.3%	16.5%	28.8%	42.2%
	D - Poor	30.7%	24.6%	35.3%	24.0%	26.4%	38.9%	37.4%	28.7%	27.5%	32.2%	11.4%
	F - Failing	22.6%	9.7%	8.5%	32.5%	19.9%	25.0%	39.5%	43.1%	45.2%	23.7%	6.9%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	5.7%	11.8%	2.9%	7.5%	4.2%	1.8%	6.6%	2.3%	1.8%	5.0%	14.7%
	B - Good	16.1%	22.4%	20.4%	10.0%	15.8%	15.6%	10.1%	7.9%	11.4%	16.0%	17.1%
	C - Average	36.1%	30.9%	39.9%	34.2%	38.3%	38.0%	35.3%	43.8%	26.7%	35.9%	38.4%
	D - Poor	26.7%	21.5%	28.7%	40.5%	24.9%	29.0%	29.7%	18.8%	23.6%	27.4%	18.0%
	F - Failing	15.4%	13.4%	8.1%	7.8%	16.8%	15.6%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%	15.7%	11.9%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	4.0%	11.0%	2.0%	4.5%	1.2%	1.1%	3.5%	1.3%	0.0%	3.3%	14.0%
	B - Good	8.9%	15.6%	12.3%	6.5%	9.9%	3.5%	2.1%	0.9%	7.9%	8.5%	14.6%
	C - Average	28.3%	31.8%	43.0%	27.7%	33.7%	14.9%	13.8%	19.7%	14.5%	27.1%	43.6%
	D - Poor	32.4%	30.2%	32.6%	33.3%	30.8%	39.4%	31.2%	34.8%	31.3%	33.8%	13.9%
	F - Failing	26.4%	11.4%	10.1%	28.1%	24.5%	41.2%	49.4%	43.2%	46.2%	27.3%	14.0%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	A - Excellent	4.0%	11.5%	1.4%	2.3%	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%	3.4%	11.6%
	B - Good	6.8%	12.5%	8.1%	5.8%	6.0%	4.5%	1.7%	0.0%	8.4%	6.1%	16.2%
	C - Average	36.0%	42.4%	48.1%	32.5%	43.8%	21.3%	20.1%	25.7%	27.8%	34.5%	55.6%
	D - Poor	29.7%	23.6%	31.0%	38.7%	28.2%	37.3%	35.3%	31.0%	11.6%	31.0%	13.5%
	F - Failing	23.5%	9.9%	11.4%	20.6%	20.2%	34.0%	40.8%	40.9%	52.1%	25.1%	3.1%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very good job	3.0%	8.8%	0.9%	2.3%	4.3%	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%	6.2%
	SW good job	11.5%	19.3%	14.4%	7.9%	11.1%	8.0%	6.4%	1.1%	8.6%	10.1%	31.0%
	Good job	14.6%	28.1%	15.4%	10.2%	15.3%	8.0%	7.2%	2.4%	8.6%	12.9%	37.2%
	Can't say	26.2%	33.9%	37.3%	20.0%	34.0%	23.0%	10.8%	13.8%	3.9%	26.0%	28.0%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	38.0%	47.3%	69.8%	50.7%	68.9%	82.0%	83.7%	87.5%	61.1%	34.8%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	23.4%	31.8%	33.1%	19.2%	27.0%	21.7%	19.4%	33.2%	26.1%	21.3%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	14.6%	15.5%	36.7%	31.5%	41.9%	60.3%	64.3%	54.3%	35.0%	13.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very pos impact	12.9%	25.0%	15.1%	7.6%	6.2%	8.1%	5.0%	6.1%	16.0%	12.0%	25.2%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	39.9%	50.0%	46.8%	27.9%	31.0%	18.8%	18.0%	21.0%	33.8%	45.8%
	Positive impact	47.5%	64.9%	65.0%	54.4%	34.1%	39.1%	23.8%	24.1%	37.0%	45.8%	71.0%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	30.8%	30.5%	26.8%	52.3%	33.2%	28.4%	38.9%	30.3%	34.1%	25.6%
	Negative impact	19.0%	4.3%	4.5%	18.9%	13.6%	27.7%	47.8%	37.0%	32.8%	20.1%	3.4%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	1.8%	3.7%	15.1%	12.9%	17.5%	27.7%	10.1%	7.3%	11.2%	3.4%
Very neg impact	8.4%	2.5%	0.7%	3.7%	0.7%	10.3%	20.1%	27.0%	25.5%	9.0%	0.0%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Job creation	21.1%	36.4%	29.6%	18.1%	14.2%	14.9%	7.4%	8.4%	9.3%	20.6%	27.9%
	Can't say	52.4%	54.3%	59.5%	51.6%	66.8%	54.1%	36.0%	39.5%	43.9%	51.5%	64.4%
	Job loss	26.5%	9.3%	10.9%	30.3%	19.0%	31.0%	56.6%	52.1%	46.9%	27.9%	7.7%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Serious threat	37.8%	64.6%	48.9%	41.5%	17.5%	19.0%	17.9%	12.9%	44.0%	37.8%	38.4%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	21.9%	38.5%	40.6%	43.2%	44.4%	28.8%	26.6%	27.0%	32.3%	43.9%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	4.4%	6.8%	11.8%	20.6%	25.2%	48.1%	54.6%	20.8%	21.4%	6.6%
	Can't say	8.6%	9.1%	5.8%	6.2%	18.6%	11.4%	5.1%	5.9%	8.2%	8.4%	11.0%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very willing	7.2%	12.7%	10.7%	4.5%	6.8%	0.0%	1.7%	3.0%	7.5%	6.7%	13.6%
	SW willing	22.8%	27.4%	30.4%	32.9%	19.9%	28.3%	8.9%	10.4%	11.6%	22.6%	25.5%
	Willing	30.0%	40.1%	41.0%	37.4%	26.7%	28.3%	10.6%	13.4%	19.0%	29.3%	39.1%
	Can't say	17.1%	23.1%	22.0%	13.5%	23.9%	11.6%	9.0%	9.2%	4.2%	16.1%	30.8%
	Unwilling	53.0%	36.8%	37.0%	49.2%	49.3%	60.2%	80.4%	77.5%	76.7%	54.7%	30.1%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	19.7%	21.1%	25.6%	23.3%	22.3%	17.0%	25.1%	15.9%	21.4%	14.3%
Very unwilling	32.0%	17.1%	15.9%	23.5%	26.1%	37.9%	63.3%	52.4%	60.9%	33.2%	15.8%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very pos	6.5%	12.7%	8.3%	6.5%	3.8%	2.3%	1.9%	2.4%	4.6%	6.2%	10.1%
	SW positive	25.2%	33.3%	37.3%	37.7%	20.4%	17.6%	10.2%	11.0%	7.6%	23.6%	46.2%
	Positive	31.6%	46.0%	45.7%	44.2%	24.3%	19.9%	12.1%	13.4%	12.3%	29.8%	56.3%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	30.7%	33.0%	25.1%	39.8%	25.2%	19.6%	18.3%	27.9%	28.7%	28.4%
	Negative	29.7%	12.1%	11.6%	24.8%	24.5%	42.5%	61.0%	62.0%	41.9%	31.5%	5.7%
	SW neg	14.4%	8.0%	7.7%	9.9%	14.8%	26.5%	20.7%	27.8%	17.9%	15.1%	5.7%
	Very neg	15.3%	4.1%	3.9%	14.9%	9.8%	15.9%	40.3%	34.2%	24.0%	16.4%	0.0%
	I don't know	10.0%	11.1%	9.7%	5.9%	11.4%	12.4%	7.3%	6.3%	17.9%	10.0%	9.6%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Vote history									Language	
		Total	Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strongly support	18.9%	29.5%	22.8%	22.5%	7.9%	10.2%	14.8%	5.9%	24.1%	19.4%	12.5%
	SW support	30.5%	34.6%	39.5%	39.3%	28.7%	28.6%	18.6%	15.8%	24.1%	29.4%	43.9%
	Support	49.4%	64.1%	62.3%	61.7%	36.6%	38.8%	33.4%	21.7%	48.2%	48.9%	56.4%
	Can't say	22.0%	23.9%	23.2%	17.8%	37.4%	9.3%	18.5%	22.0%	10.8%	21.3%	31.7%
	Oppose	28.6%	12.1%	14.5%	20.5%	26.0%	51.9%	48.1%	56.3%	41.1%	29.8%	11.9%
	SW oppose	13.6%	8.0%	8.5%	14.2%	15.3%	32.7%	15.2%	19.1%	10.4%	13.9%	9.3%
	Strongly oppose	15.0%	4.0%	6.0%	6.3%	10.6%	19.2%	32.9%	37.2%	30.7%	15.9%	2.6%
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	27.0%	17.8%	16.7%	7.9%	9.4%	14.7%	4.8%	20.0%	16.3%	18.5%
	SW Yes	13.1%	15.5%	18.4%	22.1%	12.2%	11.2%	7.4%	4.4%	1.5%	12.5%	20.7%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	19.1%	26.2%	18.0%	21.0%	17.5%	6.8%	14.6%	9.9%	18.6%	10.4%
	Yes	47.6%	61.6%	62.4%	56.7%	41.1%	38.1%	28.8%	23.8%	31.4%	47.4%	49.6%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	30.3%	27.0%	21.1%	38.2%	21.8%	12.1%	23.8%	30.2%	24.9%	39.7%
	No	26.5%	8.1%	10.6%	22.2%	20.7%	40.1%	59.1%	52.3%	38.4%	27.7%	10.7%
	Lean No	6.7%	3.1%	4.7%	6.3%	2.8%	15.5%	12.9%	9.5%	4.2%	6.9%	4.7%
	SW No	3.9%	0.7%	1.3%	5.4%	5.6%	6.3%	11.2%	1.6%	1.5%	4.1%	1.5%
	Strongly No	15.8%	4.3%	4.6%	10.5%	12.2%	18.3%	34.9%	41.2%	32.7%	16.7%	4.5%
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very willing	23.7%	24.9%	8.1%	13.0%	20.2%	12.7%	46.1%	48.0%	28.3%	23.8%	23.5%
	SW willing	27.1%	19.6%	25.9%	36.3%	19.6%	54.3%	31.3%	18.3%	25.6%	27.2%	26.1%
	Willing	50.9%	44.6%	34.0%	49.3%	39.8%	67.0%	77.4%	66.3%	53.9%	51.0%	49.6%
	Can't say	20.8%	23.6%	18.9%	23.0%	36.0%	14.5%	15.2%	16.2%	11.7%	19.4%	38.7%
	Unwilling	28.3%	31.9%	47.1%	27.7%	24.2%	18.5%	7.5%	17.5%	34.4%	29.6%	11.6%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	13.8%	20.0%	19.1%	18.1%	13.3%	4.7%	6.4%	16.6%	14.5%	11.6%
	Very unwilling	14.0%	18.1%	27.1%	8.6%	6.1%	5.2%	2.8%	11.1%	17.9%	15.1%	0.0%
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Strongly support	21.8%	18.2%	6.3%	7.5%	20.8%	26.9%	37.8%	55.5%	21.7%	22.8%	8.7%
	SW support	24.6%	18.3%	23.5%	33.4%	21.3%	29.3%	37.4%	16.2%	19.0%	23.8%	34.3%
	Support	46.3%	36.5%	29.8%	40.9%	42.1%	56.2%	75.2%	71.7%	40.7%	46.6%	43.0%
	Can't say	24.0%	30.9%	24.5%	15.8%	38.1%	27.3%	12.0%	15.4%	12.8%	23.2%	34.9%
	Oppose	29.7%	32.6%	45.7%	43.3%	19.8%	16.4%	12.8%	12.9%	46.5%	30.3%	22.1%
	SW oppose	14.6%	12.9%	23.2%	20.8%	11.2%	12.6%	9.2%	5.6%	16.2%	14.6%	15.3%
	Strongly oppose	15.1%	19.7%	22.4%	22.5%	8.6%	3.8%	3.7%	7.3%	30.3%	15.7%	6.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography	Total	Vote history									Language	
		Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish	
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	15.7%	9.7%	7.6%	12.4%	14.0%	20.0%	27.0%	30.5%	22.1%	15.8%	13.6%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	11.2%	21.3%	27.5%	17.7%	21.4%	20.4%	26.3%	16.8%	19.2%	18.2%
	Increase	34.8%	20.9%	28.9%	39.9%	31.7%	41.4%	47.4%	56.8%	38.9%	35.0%	31.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	23.5%	26.6%	26.9%	38.9%	22.6%	25.4%	12.0%	10.6%	25.0%	23.3%
	Decrease	29.5%	37.3%	36.8%	26.8%	21.9%	21.6%	20.7%	20.1%	38.9%	29.3%	32.2%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	14.9%	15.4%	11.9%	10.2%	16.1%	7.8%	7.3%	6.5%	12.1%	13.6%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	22.4%	21.4%	14.9%	11.7%	5.5%	12.9%	12.8%	32.4%	17.2%	18.6%
	Can't say	10.8%	18.3%	7.7%	6.4%	7.5%	14.4%	6.5%	11.1%	11.6%	10.6%	12.7%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	51.3%	62.3%	55.5%	63.3%	45.5%	41.7%	39.9%	31.5%	60.4%	51.6%	47.8%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	20.8%	26.7%	20.6%	21.2%	35.3%	29.9%	33.7%	10.7%	25.5%	20.1%
	Increase	76.5%	83.1%	82.2%	83.9%	66.7%	77.0%	69.8%	65.2%	71.1%	77.1%	67.9%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	6.4%	13.7%	14.2%	23.1%	13.5%	19.3%	21.8%	7.9%	14.2%	17.2%
	Decrease	5.2%	2.8%	1.9%	1.8%	7.4%	2.8%	10.9%	7.9%	13.1%	5.1%	6.8%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	1.9%	1.2%	0.9%	7.4%	1.9%	8.4%	0.9%	0.0%	3.0%	4.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%	2.5%	7.0%	13.1%	2.1%	2.5%
	Can't say	3.9%	7.7%	2.3%	0.0%	2.9%	6.7%	0.0%	5.2%	7.9%	3.6%	8.1%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	42.7%	49.6%	48.0%	55.4%	35.0%	35.9%	33.5%	29.7%	47.0%	44.2%	23.1%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	26.3%	31.2%	26.8%	27.2%	33.5%	25.1%	29.2%	21.3%	28.2%	24.5%
	Increase	70.7%	75.9%	79.2%	82.2%	62.2%	69.4%	58.6%	58.9%	68.3%	72.4%	47.6%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	14.2%	14.4%	15.4%	28.3%	11.2%	22.2%	25.0%	9.2%	16.7%	28.0%
	Decrease	7.4%	4.3%	3.5%	1.3%	5.8%	6.7%	16.0%	12.2%	19.3%	7.0%	13.0%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	1.5%	2.6%	1.3%	3.2%	4.0%	8.4%	2.5%	6.2%	3.2%	6.6%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	2.8%	1.0%	0.0%	2.6%	2.7%	7.6%	9.7%	13.1%	3.7%	6.4%
	Can't say	4.4%	5.6%	2.9%	1.1%	3.7%	12.8%	3.2%	3.8%	3.2%	3.9%	11.5%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	30.1%	34.4%	26.8%	33.9%	23.0%	28.0%	34.1%	26.1%	35.4%	30.4%	25.7%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	28.6%	47.1%	36.6%	25.9%	38.8%	38.7%	33.2%	30.8%	35.7%	36.7%
	Increase	65.9%	62.9%	73.8%	70.5%	48.9%	66.9%	72.8%	59.3%	66.2%	66.1%	62.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	21.6%	20.4%	20.3%	32.3%	22.3%	20.1%	27.4%	11.6%	22.3%	23.0%
	Decrease	4.8%	5.7%	2.5%	4.2%	12.8%	1.0%	0.0%	4.0%	13.5%	4.8%	5.0%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	4.3%	2.1%	2.8%	11.0%	1.0%	0.0%	4.0%	7.9%	3.8%	3.8%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	1.5%	0.4%	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	1.0%	1.2%
	Can't say	7.0%	9.7%	3.2%	4.9%	6.0%	9.8%	7.1%	9.3%	8.7%	6.8%	9.5%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Liquid Natural Gas usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	20.0%	17.6%	10.7%	20.9%	15.7%	13.8%	35.9%	31.3%	25.7%	20.5%	12.9%
	Somewhat increase	30.9%	29.6%	32.1%	36.9%	27.2%	35.2%	35.5%	29.9%	12.5%	31.0%	29.4%
	Increase	50.8%	47.2%	42.8%	57.8%	43.0%	49.0%	71.4%	61.1%	38.2%	51.4%	42.3%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	24.5%	33.6%	25.1%	34.5%	26.9%	14.9%	26.8%	23.3%	26.6%	27.5%
	Decrease	10.1%	9.3%	13.8%	10.4%	14.0%	5.8%	5.3%	2.9%	19.0%	9.5%	17.4%
	Somewhat decrease	6.6%	4.9%	10.7%	7.5%	8.3%	3.6%	4.8%	2.9%	5.5%	6.3%	10.5%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	4.4%	3.1%	2.8%	5.7%	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%	13.5%	3.3%	6.9%
	Can't say	12.4%	19.1%	9.7%	6.7%	8.6%	18.3%	8.4%	9.2%	19.5%	12.4%	12.8%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Greatly increase	8.5%	12.5%	3.0%	8.3%	7.4%	5.7%	11.7%	13.3%	6.2%	8.6%	6.8%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	9.9%	12.4%	9.2%	10.4%	36.5%	19.8%	12.4%	6.5%	13.9%	18.1%
	Increase	22.7%	22.4%	15.4%	17.5%	17.8%	42.2%	31.5%	25.7%	12.7%	22.5%	24.9%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	17.4%	23.3%	21.3%	36.4%	15.3%	36.3%	43.7%	22.3%	26.1%	27.7%
	Decrease	41.6%	45.1%	56.6%	54.8%	34.4%	32.6%	24.1%	24.5%	48.8%	41.9%	36.5%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	18.3%	23.3%	34.2%	18.5%	17.8%	12.8%	11.0%	19.1%	19.5%	15.2%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	26.8%	33.4%	20.5%	15.9%	14.8%	11.3%	13.5%	29.8%	22.4%	21.3%
Can't say	9.6%	15.1%	4.8%	6.4%	11.4%	9.9%	8.2%	6.1%	16.1%	9.5%	10.9%	
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes	13.2%	16.8%	14.7%	2.0%	17.7%	11.4%	8.4%	12.0%	15.7%	12.1%	28.4%
	No	86.8%	83.2%	85.3%	98.0%	82.3%	88.6%	91.6%	88.0%	84.3%	87.9%	71.6%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes, positive	12.9%	19.3%	13.5%	10.0%	13.8%	12.4%	8.5%	6.7%	8.5%	12.1%	23.9%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	18.1%	16.7%	19.4%	29.4%	21.1%	19.6%	18.8%	19.6%	19.9%	20.1%
	No, no change	67.2%	62.6%	69.8%	70.6%	56.9%	66.5%	71.9%	74.5%	71.9%	68.1%	56.0%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes	32.3%	28.3%	34.2%	34.6%	39.9%	31.8%	29.0%	29.1%	34.2%	31.6%	40.8%
	No	67.7%	71.7%	65.8%	65.4%	60.1%	68.2%	71.0%	70.9%	65.8%	68.4%	59.2%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Total	Vote history							Language		
			Straight Dem	Mostly Dem	Few more Dem than Rep	Vote evenly	Few more Rep than Dem	Mostly Rep	Straight Rep	Third Party	English	Spanish
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography												
Marital status	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Married	43.2%	37.0%	42.5%	37.5%	43.2%	49.9%	54.4%	49.5%	28.9%	43.0%	45.2%
	Single	32.7%	36.9%	35.4%	34.7%	38.5%	22.5%	21.1%	24.1%	50.1%	31.6%	48.0%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	17.5%	11.9%	17.9%	12.7%	11.0%	8.0%	18.4%	16.5%	14.3%	6.9%
	Widowed	6.3%	3.2%	5.7%	5.7%	4.3%	12.6%	13.1%	6.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	5.5%	4.6%	4.2%	1.4%	4.1%	3.5%	2.1%	4.5%	4.3%	0.0%
Children	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes	55.9%	51.6%	47.8%	55.1%	56.8%	64.5%	70.7%	59.3%	47.5%	56.7%	45.1%
	No	44.1%	48.4%	52.2%	44.9%	43.2%	35.5%	29.3%	40.7%	52.5%	43.3%	54.9%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Very conservative	13.0%	13.2%	1.2%	0.0%	6.2%	4.3%	24.4%	59.9%	8.7%	13.0%	13.3%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	11.3%	12.8%	21.9%	30.6%	50.1%	52.9%	34.1%	10.1%	26.0%	23.9%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	29.0%	41.5%	28.5%	13.5%	8.0%	6.7%	6.0%	10.8%	22.3%	19.1%
	Very liberal	11.5%	27.8%	17.9%	5.5%	2.4%	5.3%	0.8%	0.0%	3.1%	11.7%	8.5%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	12.9%	23.3%	37.4%	39.6%	28.7%	8.1%	0.0%	33.9%	20.9%	22.4%
	Libertarian	2.8%	3.1%	0.0%	1.6%	4.2%	1.4%	4.4%	0.0%	13.7%	2.2%	10.0%
	Other	3.8%	2.8%	3.3%	5.0%	3.6%	2.2%	2.7%	0.0%	19.8%	3.9%	2.7%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.5%	40.0%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	17.3%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	8.7%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	14.5%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	5.7%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	7.9%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	8.3%	2.5%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.5%	3.4%
Union	Sample Size	825	180	183	62	100	70	120	65	44	767	57
	Yes	18.8%	23.2%	25.2%	13.0%	15.5%	14.3%	14.9%	20.1%	5.9%	18.5%	23.0%
	No	81.2%	76.8%	74.8%	87.0%	84.5%	85.7%	85.1%	79.9%	94.1%	81.5%	77.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
CA on the:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Right track	41.4%	64.0%	13.5%	38.7%	68.1%	13.2%	44.2%	77.9%	23.1%	52.5%	65.9%	19.2%	37.9%	30.4%	45.9%	46.8%
	Wrong track	58.6%	36.0%	86.5%	61.3%	31.9%	86.8%	55.8%	22.1%	76.9%	47.5%	34.1%	80.8%	62.1%	69.6%	54.1%	53.2%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Barack Obama	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strong fav	20.4%	38.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.9%	5.7%	19.9%	37.6%	11.4%	27.6%	38.7%	4.8%	11.5%	10.3%	24.4%	26.7%
	SW favorable	33.2%	62.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	21.7%	27.9%	46.0%	28.2%	30.4%	53.2%	14.8%	32.6%	19.3%	40.6%	27.0%
	Favorable	53.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	81.1%	27.4%	47.8%	83.6%	39.5%	58.0%	91.9%	19.6%	44.1%	29.6%	64.9%	53.7%
	Unfavorable	43.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	17.9%	72.4%	32.1%	16.4%	58.8%	23.4%	7.7%	78.8%	24.9%	68.7%	33.8%	19.1%
	SW unfav	15.4%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	11.7%	17.9%	19.6%	7.4%	19.2%	14.4%	4.8%	25.5%	13.9%	16.1%	15.1%	15.6%
	Strong unfav	27.7%	0.0%	64.2%	0.0%	6.2%	54.5%	12.6%	9.0%	39.7%	9.0%	2.9%	53.3%	11.0%	52.7%	18.7%	3.6%
	No opinion	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	77.2%	0.5%	0.2%	16.4%	0.0%	1.2%	14.4%	0.4%	1.6%	21.3%	1.6%	1.2%	17.2%
	Not heard of	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.8%	0.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.4%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Jerry Brown	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strong fav	9.1%	16.5%	0.4%	3.5%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	3.9%	6.8%	17.5%	2.2%	4.3%	6.7%	11.1%	2.1%
	SW favorable	34.5%	49.5%	17.7%	10.0%	79.1%	0.0%	0.0%	56.8%	26.9%	23.7%	53.9%	19.5%	15.8%	25.9%	39.7%	25.2%
	Favorable	43.6%	66.0%	18.1%	13.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	79.0%	30.7%	30.5%	71.3%	21.7%	20.2%	32.6%	50.8%	27.2%
	Unfavorable	42.7%	21.8%	71.7%	2.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	15.2%	60.8%	12.8%	18.0%	69.2%	19.9%	55.9%	39.7%	14.9%
	SW unfav	23.3%	17.1%	32.8%	0.0%	0.0%	54.7%	0.0%	12.7%	30.9%	9.3%	13.6%	34.5%	10.3%	27.5%	23.1%	8.6%
	Strong unfav	19.3%	4.7%	38.9%	2.6%	0.0%	45.3%	0.0%	2.5%	29.9%	3.5%	4.4%	34.8%	9.6%	28.5%	16.6%	6.3%
	No opinion	11.6%	10.5%	8.8%	65.6%	0.0%	0.0%	84.2%	4.6%	7.6%	46.1%	9.8%	8.7%	39.5%	10.3%	8.6%	41.6%
	Not heard of	2.2%	1.7%	1.5%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%	1.3%	0.8%	10.6%	0.9%	0.4%	20.4%	1.2%	0.9%	16.3%
Favorable/Unfavorable: CA State Legislature	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strong fav	4.2%	7.1%	0.8%	0.0%	9.1%	0.4%	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.8%	0.0%	7.1%	3.0%	2.1%
	SW favorable	22.5%	34.5%	9.3%	0.0%	39.2%	9.1%	11.3%	84.4%	0.0%	0.0%	40.3%	8.6%	6.5%	20.1%	24.2%	17.7%
	Favorable	26.7%	41.6%	10.1%	0.0%	48.4%	9.5%	11.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48.9%	9.4%	6.5%	27.2%	27.3%	19.8%
	Unfavorable	60.9%	44.9%	83.1%	29.9%	43.0%	86.8%	37.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	38.6%	85.3%	37.6%	64.5%	63.8%	22.7%
	SW unfav	33.9%	33.4%	36.4%	9.5%	31.1%	38.9%	27.0%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	29.6%	39.7%	22.7%	29.7%	38.0%	16.4%
	Strong unfav	27.0%	11.6%	46.8%	20.3%	11.8%	47.9%	10.5%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	9.1%	45.6%	14.9%	34.9%	25.8%	6.4%
	No opinion	9.6%	11.3%	5.1%	40.5%	7.1%	3.4%	37.1%	0.0%	0.0%	77.7%	11.6%	4.1%	32.2%	6.5%	8.4%	32.0%
	Not heard of	2.8%	2.1%	1.6%	29.6%	1.6%	0.3%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	22.3%	0.8%	1.2%	23.8%	1.7%	0.5%	25.5%
Favorable/Unfavorable: Democratic Party	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strong fav	11.8%	21.0%	1.2%	0.0%	20.0%	4.6%	7.8%	28.5%	4.1%	13.7%	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	14.7%	4.9%
	SW favorable	32.6%	55.1%	6.7%	4.8%	52.6%	14.1%	26.9%	52.8%	24.1%	31.0%	73.5%	0.0%	0.0%	21.6%	39.4%	19.1%
	Favorable	44.4%	76.1%	8.0%	4.8%	72.6%	18.7%	34.6%	81.2%	28.1%	44.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.0%	54.1%	24.1%
	Unfavorable	47.9%	17.6%	87.6%	22.6%	23.8%	77.7%	31.7%	16.9%	67.1%	20.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	69.0%	42.4%	10.6%
	SW unfav	22.3%	14.4%	32.2%	20.0%	16.3%	28.9%	20.8%	12.1%	28.4%	14.4%	0.0%	46.5%	0.0%	22.4%	23.9%	8.8%
	Strong unfav	25.6%	3.1%	55.4%	2.6%	7.5%	48.9%	10.9%	4.8%	38.7%	6.0%	0.0%	53.5%	0.0%	46.6%	18.5%	1.8%
	No opinion	6.0%	4.8%	3.5%	58.5%	2.2%	3.6%	25.6%	1.0%	4.5%	24.3%	0.0%	0.0%	77.9%	2.1%	3.0%	46.8%
	Not heard of	1.7%	1.6%	0.9%	14.2%	1.4%	0.0%	8.1%	0.9%	0.3%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	22.1%	0.0%	0.5%	18.6%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Favorable/Unfavorable: Republican Party	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strong fav	6.9%	5.5%	8.8%	5.2%	6.1%	8.7%	3.7%	10.3%	6.4%	2.3%	6.4%	7.9%	3.0%	23.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	SW favorable	22.9%	11.0%	38.7%	9.6%	16.1%	30.3%	21.2%	20.1%	25.2%	17.5%	13.0%	34.9%	5.0%	76.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Favorable	29.8%	16.5%	47.4%	14.9%	22.3%	39.0%	24.8%	30.4%	31.5%	19.8%	19.4%	42.8%	8.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Unfavorable	62.7%	76.0%	49.2%	23.0%	73.0%	58.4%	43.4%	64.1%	65.7%	45.3%	76.5%	55.5%	28.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	SW unfav	26.8%	24.8%	30.8%	5.5%	24.1%	32.6%	17.2%	21.6%	30.3%	20.8%	24.1%	31.6%	12.3%	0.0%	42.7%	0.0%
	Strong unfav	35.9%	51.2%	18.4%	17.6%	49.0%	25.7%	26.2%	42.5%	35.4%	24.5%	52.4%	23.9%	15.9%	0.0%	57.3%	0.0%
	No opinion	5.8%	5.9%	2.8%	43.7%	3.6%	2.3%	23.7%	4.2%	2.6%	25.3%	3.8%	1.1%	47.0%	0.0%	0.0%	77.3%
Not heard of	1.7%	1.6%	0.5%	18.3%	1.1%	0.3%	8.1%	1.4%	0.2%	9.6%	0.3%	0.6%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.7%	
Most important issue facing CA today?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Jobs/Econ	36.4%	38.0%	34.9%	30.1%	36.8%	36.3%	35.2%	36.4%	36.8%	34.5%	39.0%	34.3%	34.1%	35.3%	36.7%	38.8%
	Edu/Schools	10.5%	13.9%	6.3%	10.4%	15.4%	5.5%	10.7%	12.3%	10.4%	7.2%	13.9%	7.6%	9.3%	7.9%	12.0%	8.1%
	State budg/Deficit	18.1%	16.1%	21.5%	7.0%	13.5%	24.6%	12.6%	11.0%	22.1%	13.7%	14.2%	21.9%	17.2%	19.1%	18.6%	10.2%
	Taxes	6.7%	5.4%	8.2%	8.3%	5.5%	8.3%	5.3%	5.0%	7.0%	8.5%	3.8%	9.1%	7.8%	9.0%	5.4%	8.0%
	Immigration	7.7%	5.0%	11.7%	0.0%	6.6%	10.0%	4.2%	8.4%	8.7%	1.6%	5.9%	10.7%	0.0%	11.3%	6.8%	1.7%
	Crime/Drugs/Guns	4.8%	5.5%	3.7%	8.4%	5.9%	3.1%	6.7%	8.3%	3.0%	6.3%	5.7%	3.8%	6.0%	4.8%	4.5%	7.2%
	Health care	5.9%	6.6%	4.7%	9.6%	6.6%	3.5%	11.0%	9.8%	3.8%	8.0%	7.5%	4.7%	4.5%	4.7%	6.5%	5.7%
	Gas prices	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%	11.7%	2.4%	4.7%	6.4%	2.1%	3.4%	10.8%	3.4%	3.4%	10.7%	3.7%	3.5%	9.0%
	Energy costs	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	1.1%	2.2%	1.0%	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%	2.2%	2.0%	0.9%	2.1%
	Water/Drought	1.4%	1.3%	1.1%	6.6%	1.9%	0.9%	1.6%	1.1%	1.0%	3.9%	1.8%	0.8%	2.8%	0.7%	1.6%	2.9%
	Trans/Infra	1.4%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.1%	3.1%	0.7%	1.0%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	2.0%
	Something else	1.8%	1.1%	2.2%	7.8%	1.3%	1.6%	4.0%	0.4%	2.1%	3.4%	1.2%	1.8%	5.4%	1.2%	1.7%	4.5%
California's economy getting:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A lot better	3.3%	5.0%	0.7%	8.7%	6.7%	0.2%	1.9%	9.3%	1.0%	1.7%	6.5%	0.8%	0.0%	5.6%	2.4%	1.2%
	A little better	27.1%	42.6%	9.9%	0.0%	45.0%	13.2%	13.7%	50.3%	18.2%	21.3%	44.6%	12.8%	16.2%	16.9%	33.1%	18.2%
	Better	30.4%	47.6%	10.6%	8.7%	51.7%	13.4%	15.6%	59.6%	19.1%	23.0%	51.1%	13.5%	16.2%	22.4%	35.5%	19.4%
	Staying the same	35.0%	35.5%	33.0%	53.2%	36.9%	29.8%	44.9%	30.6%	34.4%	47.0%	32.9%	34.8%	48.0%	31.5%	33.7%	58.8%
	Worse	34.6%	16.9%	56.4%	38.1%	11.3%	56.9%	39.5%	9.8%	46.5%	30.1%	16.0%	51.7%	35.8%	46.0%	30.8%	21.8%
	A little worse	21.8%	13.3%	32.0%	26.9%	8.0%	33.8%	28.4%	6.1%	28.6%	22.0%	12.0%	31.2%	20.2%	26.2%	20.6%	14.4%
A lot worse	12.8%	3.6%	24.5%	11.1%	3.3%	23.1%	11.1%	3.7%	17.8%	8.0%	4.0%	20.5%	15.6%	19.8%	10.1%	7.4%	
Your household economic situation getting:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A lot better	3.6%	5.5%	1.2%	3.5%	6.5%	0.8%	3.1%	8.8%	1.5%	2.5%	6.2%	1.3%	2.5%	5.5%	2.8%	2.2%
	A little better	18.6%	28.0%	8.1%	2.0%	27.5%	12.1%	10.5%	32.4%	14.2%	10.5%	29.5%	10.3%	7.8%	16.5%	19.6%	18.0%
	Better	22.2%	33.5%	9.3%	5.5%	34.0%	12.9%	13.5%	41.2%	15.7%	13.0%	35.7%	11.5%	10.2%	22.0%	22.5%	20.2%
	Staying the same	44.8%	45.2%	43.9%	51.4%	47.2%	40.5%	50.8%	43.2%	43.8%	53.4%	45.0%	43.8%	50.3%	43.4%	45.4%	46.0%
	Worse	33.0%	21.3%	46.8%	43.1%	18.8%	46.7%	35.7%	15.6%	40.5%	33.6%	19.3%	44.7%	39.5%	34.6%	32.1%	33.8%
	A little worse	23.8%	17.2%	32.1%	23.6%	15.6%	31.7%	25.5%	12.4%	28.1%	27.5%	15.0%	30.9%	30.5%	21.2%	24.5%	29.1%
A lot worse	9.2%	4.0%	14.8%	19.5%	3.1%	15.0%	10.1%	3.2%	12.4%	6.1%	4.2%	13.8%	9.0%	13.5%	7.7%	4.8%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
CA's economy compared to rest of country:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Much better	3.7%	5.8%	1.3%	0.0%	6.5%	1.5%	1.4%	10.2%	1.3%	1.2%	7.2%	0.8%	1.8%	7.2%	2.5%	0.0%
	SW better	19.3%	29.9%	7.4%	3.5%	32.8%	7.2%	13.8%	35.7%	11.8%	20.5%	30.3%	10.2%	12.2%	12.1%	22.2%	24.0%
	Better	23.0%	35.7%	8.7%	3.5%	39.4%	8.7%	15.2%	45.9%	13.2%	21.7%	37.5%	11.0%	14.0%	19.2%	24.6%	24.0%
	About the same	35.9%	40.4%	28.5%	61.3%	39.1%	28.3%	49.8%	41.8%	30.4%	50.5%	39.1%	30.7%	50.4%	26.3%	38.4%	53.5%
	Worse	41.1%	24.0%	62.8%	35.3%	21.6%	63.0%	34.9%	12.2%	56.4%	27.8%	23.4%	58.3%	35.6%	54.5%	37.0%	22.5%
	SW worse	28.7%	20.9%	39.3%	16.6%	17.8%	40.8%	25.2%	10.2%	38.1%	21.9%	19.9%	36.9%	27.9%	34.9%	27.0%	17.8%
Much worse	12.4%	3.1%	23.5%	18.7%	3.7%	22.1%	9.7%	2.0%	18.3%	5.9%	3.4%	21.5%	7.7%	19.6%	9.9%	4.7%	
In the past six months, have you considered moving to another state or country for career growth?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes, definite plans	4.3%	4.3%	4.4%	2.6%	4.6%	4.1%	3.8%	6.9%	3.5%	2.2%	4.7%	3.8%	4.1%	10.4%	1.6%	2.3%
	Yes, still considering	21.3%	18.6%	25.6%	9.3%	18.7%	25.9%	15.2%	15.2%	25.8%	12.3%	17.0%	26.5%	14.3%	23.9%	21.0%	14.3%
	Yes, no longer considering	9.3%	8.6%	9.7%	15.0%	8.2%	9.9%	11.0%	9.3%	8.7%	11.9%	8.2%	9.0%	17.4%	9.2%	8.0%	20.0%
	No	61.3%	65.2%	57.0%	53.8%	64.3%	57.9%	62.3%	63.1%	59.5%	66.1%	66.6%	57.4%	54.4%	52.4%	66.3%	54.2%
	Yes, unrelated to job	3.9%	3.4%	3.3%	19.3%	4.3%	2.2%	7.7%	5.4%	2.4%	7.4%	3.5%	3.2%	9.7%	4.1%	3.1%	9.2%
Are taxes:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Way too high	38.3%	25.1%	56.2%	19.1%	23.2%	56.7%	29.0%	24.0%	47.4%	24.4%	23.2%	54.8%	23.3%	50.1%	34.2%	26.1%
	SW too high	38.0%	43.0%	33.1%	22.9%	40.7%	32.9%	45.4%	42.1%	33.8%	50.1%	45.9%	31.2%	35.3%	37.4%	38.6%	36.2%
	Too high	76.4%	68.1%	89.2%	42.0%	63.9%	89.6%	74.5%	66.1%	81.2%	74.5%	69.1%	85.9%	58.6%	87.5%	72.8%	62.3%
	About right	19.4%	26.2%	8.5%	51.3%	29.7%	7.7%	23.3%	30.3%	14.0%	22.8%	26.6%	10.1%	36.1%	10.8%	21.8%	33.6%
	Too low	4.2%	5.7%	2.2%	6.7%	6.3%	2.7%	2.2%	3.5%	4.8%	2.7%	4.3%	4.0%	5.3%	1.7%	5.4%	4.1%
	SW too low	4.0%	5.5%	2.2%	2.6%	6.1%	2.7%	1.2%	3.5%	4.7%	1.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.5%	1.7%	5.4%	1.1%
	Way too low	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	4.1%	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Limiting waste and inefficiency in government	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	4.2%	7.0%	1.1%	0.0%	7.6%	1.3%	2.9%	10.5%	1.6%	3.5%	6.9%	1.5%	6.0%	6.8%	3.0%	4.3%
	B - Good	9.1%	12.5%	4.0%	19.8%	14.1%	4.0%	8.9%	21.9%	4.0%	6.4%	14.3%	3.9%	11.2%	10.4%	8.0%	13.3%
	C - Average	26.5%	36.8%	12.1%	46.3%	35.6%	13.2%	38.7%	39.7%	15.4%	52.3%	38.0%	14.0%	37.5%	18.9%	26.8%	53.3%
	D - Poor	31.0%	28.5%	35.8%	8.0%	28.7%	33.8%	29.3%	21.5%	36.9%	22.3%	28.5%	34.5%	23.5%	30.4%	33.1%	15.5%
	F - Failing	29.2%	15.2%	47.0%	25.8%	14.0%	47.7%	20.2%	6.3%	42.1%	15.5%	12.2%	46.2%	21.8%	33.5%	29.1%	13.5%
Encouraging small business growth	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	5.1%	7.2%	2.3%	7.2%	8.6%	2.5%	2.1%	11.9%	2.1%	5.2%	8.1%	1.9%	7.7%	7.0%	3.8%	7.9%
	B - Good	14.2%	21.9%	4.9%	10.5%	21.1%	6.2%	17.3%	29.9%	6.2%	19.7%	23.7%	5.5%	13.8%	13.5%	12.8%	28.9%
	C - Average	37.0%	43.6%	27.4%	53.7%	44.0%	26.0%	48.4%	41.5%	32.1%	51.3%	43.9%	28.7%	48.4%	27.4%	40.0%	49.7%
	D - Poor	25.9%	20.0%	34.5%	9.6%	19.7%	33.4%	22.5%	14.0%	33.5%	14.5%	18.8%	34.1%	15.6%	27.5%	27.3%	8.2%
	F - Failing	17.8%	7.2%	30.9%	19.0%	6.6%	31.9%	9.7%	2.7%	26.2%	9.3%	5.5%	29.8%	14.5%	24.6%	16.1%	5.3%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy
 Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13
 Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
		Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																	
Encouraging large companies to bring and keep jobs in the state	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	5.1%	7.8%	1.7%	4.3%	8.3%	2.1%	4.3%	11.9%	2.1%	4.8%	8.5%	1.4%	8.0%	7.0%	3.7%	9.4%
	B - Good	11.9%	17.7%	4.8%	11.6%	19.8%	5.0%	8.4%	28.9%	4.5%	12.0%	19.9%	4.8%	10.3%	12.7%	11.0%	16.6%
	C - Average	29.7%	35.9%	20.5%	48.7%	35.2%	18.3%	47.9%	38.2%	23.0%	44.2%	36.7%	21.8%	38.5%	23.4%	30.4%	49.4%
	D - Poor	30.7%	29.0%	34.5%	9.5%	28.8%	34.3%	25.6%	17.8%	37.3%	26.0%	26.4%	35.4%	26.3%	29.1%	33.2%	16.7%
	F - Failing	22.6%	9.6%	38.4%	25.9%	8.0%	40.3%	13.8%	3.1%	33.0%	12.9%	8.4%	36.5%	16.9%	27.8%	21.8%	7.8%
Providing access to quality public education	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	5.7%	8.8%	1.7%	7.2%	9.2%	2.6%	4.0%	13.2%	2.3%	6.0%	9.8%	1.1%	10.7%	7.9%	4.0%	11.0%
	B - Good	16.1%	21.0%	10.1%	15.5%	21.8%	9.1%	20.0%	31.8%	8.0%	22.4%	22.8%	9.8%	17.2%	16.3%	14.6%	27.6%
	C - Average	36.1%	36.3%	35.6%	39.3%	35.2%	34.7%	43.1%	41.3%	32.9%	40.5%	37.1%	34.4%	41.0%	39.6%	34.4%	36.3%
	D - Poor	26.7%	23.7%	30.9%	21.4%	23.4%	32.0%	20.7%	10.2%	35.0%	21.5%	23.1%	31.5%	17.5%	17.9%	32.2%	15.9%
	F - Failing	15.4%	10.2%	21.8%	16.5%	10.4%	21.6%	12.2%	3.5%	21.8%	9.6%	7.2%	23.3%	13.6%	18.3%	14.8%	9.2%
Reducing the state budget deficit	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	4.0%	5.9%	1.5%	7.2%	7.3%	1.8%	0.5%	10.5%	1.3%	3.7%	7.1%	1.0%	5.2%	6.7%	2.6%	5.4%
	B - Good	8.9%	13.9%	3.3%	2.6%	17.5%	0.8%	7.0%	22.6%	3.5%	6.2%	15.4%	3.3%	6.3%	6.1%	10.1%	10.4%
	C - Average	28.3%	37.6%	16.1%	35.4%	39.6%	14.3%	35.9%	43.4%	19.6%	38.4%	37.2%	19.5%	31.4%	23.3%	29.9%	34.5%
	D - Poor	32.4%	32.3%	33.4%	21.1%	25.5%	37.8%	37.2%	18.4%	38.6%	32.0%	31.3%	32.9%	35.5%	31.5%	32.7%	33.5%
	F - Failing	26.4%	10.4%	45.7%	33.6%	10.1%	45.3%	19.4%	5.1%	37.1%	19.7%	9.0%	43.3%	21.5%	32.3%	24.8%	16.3%
Limiting or eliminating unnecessary regulatory red tape	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	A - Excellent	4.0%	6.8%	0.7%	0.0%	7.9%	0.8%	1.6%	11.6%	1.1%	1.8%	7.8%	1.1%	0.0%	7.1%	2.7%	2.0%
	B - Good	6.8%	10.1%	2.4%	10.5%	10.9%	3.1%	5.2%	16.6%	2.7%	5.6%	11.6%	2.7%	4.3%	6.8%	6.6%	8.0%
	C - Average	36.0%	46.1%	21.1%	65.7%	45.6%	19.0%	58.0%	50.8%	23.9%	63.1%	47.3%	21.4%	60.8%	26.0%	37.8%	60.0%
	D - Poor	29.7%	25.6%	36.4%	10.0%	23.4%	38.1%	24.0%	17.6%	37.1%	19.6%	23.9%	36.1%	24.3%	28.8%	30.9%	24.0%
	F - Failing	23.5%	11.4%	39.4%	13.8%	12.3%	39.0%	11.2%	3.4%	35.2%	9.8%	9.4%	38.7%	10.6%	31.2%	22.0%	6.0%
CA doing a good job using the money it collects from taxpayers?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very good job	3.0%	4.9%	0.8%	0.0%	6.4%	0.4%	0.7%	10.3%	0.3%	0.7%	6.2%	0.6%	0.0%	7.2%	1.3%	1.2%
	SW good job	11.5%	19.5%	1.5%	14.1%	19.2%	4.3%	9.6%	29.7%	4.0%	9.6%	20.9%	3.2%	9.4%	10.3%	11.3%	18.8%
	Good job	14.6%	24.4%	2.3%	14.1%	25.6%	4.7%	10.3%	40.1%	4.2%	10.3%	27.1%	3.8%	9.4%	17.4%	12.5%	20.0%
	Can't say	26.2%	33.9%	14.2%	56.7%	32.1%	14.6%	43.3%	33.9%	18.6%	46.7%	34.9%	15.3%	43.3%	16.5%	28.0%	49.3%
	Not getting what paid for	59.3%	41.7%	83.5%	29.2%	42.3%	80.8%	46.4%	26.0%	77.2%	43.0%	38.0%	80.9%	47.3%	66.1%	59.5%	30.7%
	SW aren't getting what they pay for	25.8%	26.1%	26.9%	4.8%	28.3%	25.0%	19.9%	21.7%	28.0%	23.7%	26.6%	26.4%	17.1%	22.3%	28.9%	13.7%
	Def. aren't getting what they pay for	33.5%	15.6%	56.5%	24.5%	14.0%	55.7%	26.4%	4.3%	49.2%	19.3%	11.4%	54.5%	30.2%	43.8%	30.6%	17.0%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy
 Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13
 Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very pos impact	12.9%	20.1%	3.8%	13.9%	22.7%	3.7%	10.3%	28.8%	6.3%	11.0%	21.8%	4.8%	11.8%	11.5%	13.1%	16.2%
	SW pos impact	34.6%	44.5%	23.3%	23.8%	43.4%	25.4%	35.5%	43.8%	30.2%	36.7%	46.6%	26.2%	18.1%	29.6%	38.5%	22.6%
	Positive impact	47.5%	64.6%	27.0%	37.6%	66.1%	29.2%	45.8%	72.6%	36.5%	47.8%	68.4%	31.1%	29.9%	41.1%	51.6%	38.8%
	Neither a pos or neg	33.5%	29.4%	36.7%	58.3%	27.5%	35.0%	47.8%	22.6%	35.4%	47.7%	26.6%	35.8%	58.5%	28.4%	33.3%	54.9%
	Negative impact	19.0%	6.0%	36.2%	4.1%	6.4%	35.9%	6.5%	4.9%	28.1%	4.5%	5.0%	33.1%	11.6%	30.5%	15.0%	6.3%
	SW neg impact	10.6%	4.9%	18.4%	1.8%	4.6%	18.7%	4.7%	4.4%	15.0%	2.6%	3.4%	18.5%	3.3%	15.8%	9.1%	3.1%
Very neg impact	8.4%	1.1%	17.8%	2.3%	1.8%	17.1%	1.8%	0.5%	13.1%	1.9%	1.5%	14.7%	8.3%	14.7%	6.0%	3.2%	
CA's "green energy" policies impact on CA jobs:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Job creation	21.1%	32.8%	7.3%	12.1%	34.8%	9.0%	15.4%	41.7%	14.1%	11.3%	36.1%	9.6%	6.8%	21.0%	22.5%	10.4%
	Can't say	52.4%	55.8%	45.9%	80.3%	53.7%	44.6%	72.3%	47.7%	49.1%	78.4%	52.6%	47.8%	79.5%	39.4%	54.9%	82.1%
	Job loss	26.5%	11.4%	46.8%	7.6%	11.6%	46.3%	12.3%	10.6%	36.8%	10.3%	11.4%	42.6%	13.7%	39.6%	22.6%	7.6%
Global warming:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Serious threat	37.8%	49.9%	24.5%	16.9%	53.0%	24.2%	32.1%	49.8%	32.6%	37.9%	52.1%	26.2%	28.7%	24.1%	44.8%	34.0%
	Moderate threat	33.2%	35.3%	30.3%	36.1%	32.1%	34.6%	32.0%	38.7%	32.2%	25.8%	35.3%	31.0%	34.0%	35.8%	32.1%	31.4%
	No threat/Not real	20.4%	7.9%	37.4%	1.8%	11.1%	33.3%	9.6%	9.2%	27.2%	10.9%	7.5%	34.4%	7.9%	35.1%	14.9%	7.7%
	Can't say	8.6%	6.9%	7.9%	45.2%	3.8%	7.8%	26.2%	2.3%	8.0%	25.4%	5.1%	8.5%	29.4%	5.0%	8.1%	26.9%
Pay more for increased use of green energy?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very willing	7.2%	10.6%	3.5%	0.0%	11.2%	4.5%	2.9%	16.1%	4.3%	2.2%	11.0%	4.5%	1.8%	6.8%	8.1%	1.0%
	SW willing	22.8%	30.0%	13.8%	22.9%	32.5%	12.9%	22.4%	33.1%	18.6%	21.3%	31.9%	15.7%	14.2%	18.5%	25.2%	19.5%
	Willing	30.0%	40.6%	17.2%	22.9%	43.7%	17.4%	25.3%	49.1%	22.9%	23.6%	42.9%	20.3%	16.0%	25.3%	33.3%	20.5%
	Can't say	17.1%	21.7%	10.1%	33.7%	19.7%	13.0%	21.7%	20.2%	14.7%	21.9%	19.9%	13.6%	22.3%	13.9%	17.4%	27.3%
	Unwilling	53.0%	37.7%	72.7%	43.4%	36.6%	69.6%	53.0%	30.7%	62.4%	54.6%	37.2%	66.1%	61.7%	60.8%	49.3%	52.2%
	SW unwilling	20.9%	20.8%	22.0%	9.9%	22.3%	21.0%	16.6%	16.8%	22.2%	23.6%	20.7%	20.4%	25.7%	17.4%	22.5%	22.1%
Very unwilling	32.0%	16.9%	50.7%	33.6%	14.4%	48.6%	36.3%	13.9%	40.2%	31.0%	16.5%	45.7%	36.0%	43.4%	26.8%	30.1%	
AB32's effect on CA's economy	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very pos	6.5%	9.9%	2.7%	0.0%	8.9%	5.5%	1.7%	13.0%	4.8%	0.6%	10.1%	3.6%	4.0%	6.8%	6.4%	5.6%
	SW positive	25.2%	37.0%	11.5%	11.1%	37.8%	14.5%	18.1%	40.5%	20.0%	17.5%	38.3%	16.0%	7.1%	18.7%	29.4%	15.6%
	Positive	31.6%	46.9%	14.3%	11.1%	46.7%	20.1%	19.8%	53.4%	24.8%	18.2%	48.3%	19.5%	11.1%	25.5%	35.8%	21.2%
	Neither pos nor neg	28.7%	28.9%	26.5%	53.1%	29.0%	21.8%	48.9%	26.5%	25.5%	49.0%	29.6%	25.3%	44.4%	24.4%	29.1%	42.4%
	Negative	29.7%	14.5%	50.1%	9.8%	16.1%	49.8%	10.1%	14.2%	40.7%	8.5%	13.5%	46.6%	17.2%	43.5%	25.4%	10.4%
	SW neg	14.4%	9.9%	20.6%	6.9%	10.9%	20.4%	7.0%	10.0%	18.3%	4.7%	8.9%	20.2%	10.4%	21.2%	12.0%	7.6%
	Very neg	15.3%	4.6%	29.4%	2.9%	5.3%	29.4%	3.1%	4.2%	22.4%	3.8%	4.6%	26.5%	6.8%	22.3%	13.4%	2.8%
I don't know	10.0%	9.7%	9.1%	26.0%	8.1%	8.3%	21.1%	5.9%	8.9%	24.3%	8.6%	8.5%	27.3%	6.6%	9.7%	26.0%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Taxing oil/natural gas extraction in CA:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strongly support	18.9%	24.5%	12.8%	8.4%	27.0%	11.8%	15.6%	29.3%	16.5%	8.4%	25.1%	14.2%	12.4%	13.1%	22.9%	8.9%
	SW support	30.5%	37.9%	21.5%	26.9%	39.8%	21.5%	28.5%	43.7%	24.4%	31.6%	38.6%	22.6%	32.0%	26.9%	32.1%	31.2%
	Support	49.4%	62.4%	34.3%	35.3%	66.8%	33.3%	44.2%	73.0%	40.9%	39.9%	63.8%	36.9%	44.3%	40.0%	55.0%	40.1%
	Can't say	22.0%	23.6%	17.9%	49.6%	18.2%	20.0%	40.4%	13.8%	21.4%	43.1%	21.5%	19.1%	42.8%	16.7%	22.4%	39.7%
	Oppose	28.6%	14.0%	47.8%	15.1%	15.0%	46.7%	15.4%	13.2%	37.7%	16.9%	14.7%	44.0%	12.9%	43.3%	22.6%	20.2%
	SW oppose	13.6%	9.5%	19.1%	8.4%	10.2%	18.6%	8.9%	10.1%	15.6%	11.5%	10.1%	18.0%	6.8%	17.3%	12.4%	9.1%
Strongly oppose	15.0%	4.5%	28.6%	6.7%	4.7%	28.2%	6.5%	3.1%	22.1%	5.4%	4.6%	26.0%	6.1%	26.0%	10.2%	11.1%	
Vote Yes/No - Tax on oil and natural gas. Revenues to education, clean energy, county infrastructure and services, and state parks. Initiative statute.	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strongly Yes	16.5%	20.5%	11.9%	11.5%	23.0%	13.4%	5.1%	25.2%	14.9%	5.1%	21.1%	13.9%	6.2%	10.5%	20.3%	8.1%
	SW Yes	13.1%	19.4%	6.0%	2.0%	18.5%	8.6%	9.6%	19.8%	10.4%	11.7%	19.8%	8.3%	3.9%	12.9%	14.1%	5.7%
	Lean Yes	18.0%	20.8%	14.2%	24.6%	22.0%	13.0%	21.5%	22.5%	15.9%	19.1%	20.6%	14.8%	24.0%	12.1%	21.0%	17.1%
	Yes	47.6%	60.7%	32.0%	38.2%	63.5%	35.0%	36.2%	67.5%	41.2%	35.9%	61.5%	36.9%	34.0%	35.4%	55.4%	30.9%
	Can't say right now	25.9%	26.6%	23.1%	51.5%	22.7%	21.7%	49.4%	22.3%	21.0%	57.7%	26.5%	21.2%	51.5%	21.5%	24.4%	56.5%
	No	26.5%	12.7%	44.9%	10.3%	13.9%	43.2%	14.4%	10.1%	37.7%	6.4%	12.0%	41.8%	14.5%	43.1%	20.2%	12.5%
	Lean No	6.7%	5.1%	9.2%	0.0%	5.6%	9.1%	2.8%	4.3%	8.7%	2.1%	4.7%	9.2%	2.7%	9.9%	5.3%	5.9%
	SW No	3.9%	1.9%	6.5%	2.5%	1.2%	6.1%	5.7%	1.3%	5.6%	1.1%	2.0%	5.9%	2.1%	6.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Strongly No	15.8%	5.6%	29.1%	7.8%	7.1%	28.0%	5.8%	4.5%	23.4%	3.2%	5.2%	26.7%	9.7%	26.5%	12.0%	5.6%	
Ease enviro. regs to low price of gas:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very willing	23.7%	16.6%	32.8%	21.7%	18.7%	32.9%	11.4%	19.4%	27.2%	15.9%	17.4%	30.2%	19.9%	36.9%	18.2%	17.7%
	SW willing	27.1%	25.7%	29.6%	17.6%	26.0%	28.2%	27.4%	29.8%	26.6%	24.2%	26.1%	28.9%	22.3%	30.2%	25.7%	27.6%
	Willing	50.9%	42.3%	62.4%	39.3%	44.7%	61.1%	38.8%	49.3%	53.8%	40.2%	43.5%	59.1%	42.3%	67.1%	43.9%	45.3%
	Can't say	20.8%	22.6%	16.5%	46.6%	17.2%	18.4%	39.5%	17.3%	17.7%	43.4%	20.2%	17.6%	43.7%	16.7%	20.2%	41.5%
	Unwilling	28.3%	35.1%	21.1%	14.1%	38.1%	20.5%	21.7%	33.5%	28.5%	16.4%	36.3%	23.3%	14.0%	16.1%	35.9%	13.3%
	SW unwilling	14.3%	16.0%	12.6%	9.3%	17.1%	13.0%	9.3%	16.0%	14.4%	10.1%	17.5%	12.7%	6.0%	9.1%	17.5%	8.5%
Very unwilling	14.0%	19.1%	8.5%	4.8%	21.0%	7.5%	12.3%	17.5%	14.1%	6.3%	18.9%	10.6%	8.1%	7.0%	18.5%	4.7%	
Tapping oil reserves from Monterey Shale:	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Strongly support	21.8%	14.1%	32.9%	0.0%	13.4%	35.1%	6.7%	15.1%	27.4%	8.4%	14.5%	31.4%	4.1%	36.2%	16.5%	8.7%
	SW support	24.6%	23.5%	26.7%	14.0%	24.9%	26.2%	18.2%	28.8%	24.1%	17.6%	22.8%	28.1%	12.4%	31.9%	22.6%	11.4%
	Support	46.3%	37.6%	59.6%	14.0%	38.4%	61.4%	24.9%	43.9%	51.5%	26.0%	37.3%	59.5%	16.5%	68.1%	39.1%	20.1%
	Can't say	24.0%	23.7%	21.4%	63.2%	22.2%	17.7%	49.1%	21.6%	20.4%	46.6%	25.6%	18.0%	51.7%	17.6%	23.6%	52.4%
	Oppose	29.7%	38.7%	19.0%	22.8%	39.4%	20.9%	26.1%	34.6%	28.0%	27.4%	37.1%	22.5%	31.9%	14.3%	37.3%	27.5%
	SW oppose	14.6%	19.0%	9.4%	10.9%	18.4%	10.6%	15.1%	14.4%	14.4%	16.0%	17.1%	12.0%	16.5%	8.2%	17.2%	18.7%
Strongly oppose	15.1%	19.7%	9.6%	12.0%	21.1%	10.3%	11.0%	20.1%	13.6%	11.4%	20.0%	10.5%	15.4%	6.1%	20.1%	8.8%	

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy
 Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13
 Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Nuclear power usage	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Greatly increase	15.7%	11.6%	21.9%	0.0%	11.9%	22.0%	7.8%	15.3%	17.5%	7.5%	10.7%	21.4%	8.4%	25.5%	11.0%	15.5%
	Somewhat increase	19.1%	18.2%	20.6%	14.6%	18.4%	22.5%	11.1%	23.0%	19.6%	8.8%	19.8%	19.6%	13.1%	23.4%	18.1%	11.3%
	Increase	34.8%	29.9%	42.5%	14.6%	30.3%	44.5%	18.9%	38.3%	37.0%	16.3%	30.4%	41.0%	21.6%	48.8%	29.1%	26.8%
	Neither increase or decrease	24.9%	26.2%	23.2%	26.3%	26.3%	23.3%	25.6%	23.0%	24.6%	30.3%	25.7%	22.7%	34.0%	19.9%	26.8%	29.1%
	Decrease	29.5%	33.2%	25.5%	22.5%	33.6%	25.0%	30.5%	32.7%	28.2%	29.4%	32.9%	26.7%	27.9%	22.3%	32.8%	30.6%
	Somewhat decrease	12.2%	13.8%	11.1%	2.4%	13.2%	10.3%	15.4%	12.0%	12.5%	11.4%	14.2%	11.2%	7.9%	9.1%	13.7%	12.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	17.3%	19.4%	14.4%	20.1%	20.4%	14.7%	15.1%	20.7%	15.6%	18.0%	18.7%	15.5%	20.0%	13.2%	19.1%	18.3%
	Can't say	10.8%	10.7%	8.9%	36.7%	9.7%	7.3%	24.9%	6.0%	10.2%	24.1%	11.0%	9.6%	16.6%	9.0%	11.3%	13.5%
Solar power usage	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Greatly increase	51.3%	58.4%	44.7%	22.0%	62.6%	43.8%	38.9%	60.1%	50.6%	35.8%	58.7%	47.3%	33.5%	41.8%	57.2%	39.9%
	Somewhat increase	25.2%	23.9%	27.3%	17.7%	23.8%	28.1%	20.4%	25.0%	25.0%	26.3%	23.6%	26.7%	24.3%	31.1%	23.7%	14.3%
	Increase	76.5%	82.3%	72.0%	39.6%	86.4%	71.8%	59.3%	85.1%	75.6%	62.1%	82.3%	74.0%	57.8%	72.8%	80.9%	54.2%
	Neither increase or decrease	14.4%	11.1%	17.1%	32.2%	8.9%	17.2%	23.1%	10.4%	15.3%	18.6%	10.9%	15.3%	29.0%	17.6%	11.0%	30.1%
	Decrease	5.2%	2.4%	8.6%	7.9%	2.7%	7.8%	5.2%	1.9%	6.6%	5.7%	2.8%	7.8%	3.0%	5.8%	5.1%	4.3%
	Somewhat decrease	3.1%	1.6%	4.6%	7.9%	2.2%	3.3%	5.2%	1.2%	3.4%	5.7%	1.6%	4.5%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	4.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	2.1%	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%	0.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%	1.2%	3.3%	0.0%	2.8%	2.0%	0.0%
	Can't say	3.9%	4.2%	2.3%	20.3%	2.0%	3.2%	12.4%	2.6%	2.6%	13.6%	4.0%	2.8%	10.2%	3.8%	3.1%	11.4%
Wind power usage	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Greatly increase	42.7%	49.0%	37.8%	5.4%	49.6%	40.5%	27.7%	48.2%	43.7%	26.0%	47.9%	41.8%	18.7%	36.4%	48.6%	19.0%
	Somewhat increase	27.9%	28.6%	27.8%	19.2%	31.6%	24.3%	27.6%	30.5%	25.9%	32.6%	29.1%	26.4%	31.1%	31.7%	27.1%	20.1%
	Increase	70.7%	77.6%	65.6%	24.5%	81.2%	64.8%	55.3%	78.7%	69.6%	58.6%	77.0%	68.2%	49.8%	68.2%	75.6%	39.1%
	Neither increase or decrease	17.5%	14.6%	19.3%	40.9%	11.8%	19.9%	28.3%	13.7%	17.2%	27.3%	15.7%	16.8%	32.3%	20.5%	13.2%	41.7%
	Decrease	7.4%	3.9%	11.2%	15.1%	5.4%	10.9%	2.8%	5.4%	9.0%	4.0%	4.4%	10.5%	5.4%	7.0%	7.6%	7.5%
	Somewhat decrease	3.5%	2.1%	4.6%	11.6%	3.1%	4.7%	1.1%	2.5%	4.0%	3.1%	2.1%	4.6%	4.2%	2.7%	3.8%	4.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.9%	1.8%	6.6%	3.5%	2.3%	6.2%	1.8%	2.9%	5.0%	0.9%	2.3%	5.9%	1.2%	4.3%	3.8%	3.3%
	Can't say	4.4%	3.9%	4.0%	19.5%	1.6%	4.4%	13.6%	2.2%	4.2%	10.1%	2.9%	4.5%	12.4%	4.4%	3.6%	11.6%
Water power usage	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Greatly increase	30.1%	29.5%	32.7%	5.4%	32.6%	32.7%	14.1%	33.2%	31.6%	15.7%	32.0%	31.0%	13.7%	29.4%	31.3%	22.4%
	Somewhat increase	35.8%	37.5%	35.3%	14.5%	38.6%	36.0%	26.3%	37.7%	35.6%	32.4%	35.7%	37.3%	27.3%	35.1%	38.5%	16.1%
	Increase	65.9%	67.0%	68.0%	19.9%	71.2%	68.7%	40.3%	71.0%	67.3%	48.1%	67.7%	68.3%	41.0%	64.5%	69.8%	38.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	22.3%	23.5%	19.3%	42.6%	19.6%	21.0%	35.0%	21.2%	20.5%	33.6%	22.3%	19.7%	38.3%	23.5%	19.5%	40.8%
	Decrease	4.8%	3.7%	5.5%	14.1%	4.5%	4.5%	6.9%	3.7%	5.4%	4.2%	3.7%	4.7%	11.3%	3.2%	4.8%	11.4%
	Somewhat decrease	3.8%	2.9%	4.3%	11.5%	3.7%	3.2%	5.7%	3.7%	3.9%	3.6%	3.0%	3.6%	9.1%	2.7%	3.8%	8.3%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	2.6%	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.7%	0.7%	1.1%	2.1%	0.6%	1.0%	3.1%
	Can't say	7.0%	5.8%	7.2%	23.4%	4.7%	5.8%	17.7%	4.2%	6.8%	14.0%	6.2%	7.3%	9.5%	8.7%	5.9%	9.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

	Total	Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave		
		Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography																
Liquid Natural Gas usage																
Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
Greatly increase	20.0%	17.2%	24.5%	4.3%	15.5%	28.4%	7.7%	19.6%	23.0%	5.6%	16.4%	25.1%	8.5%	28.9%	16.9%	10.3%
Somewhat increase	30.9%	31.3%	31.3%	17.5%	34.6%	29.6%	22.7%	32.1%	32.6%	19.8%	33.5%	29.5%	23.8%	33.3%	30.5%	24.0%
Increase	50.8%	48.5%	55.9%	21.7%	50.1%	58.0%	30.4%	51.7%	55.6%	25.5%	49.9%	54.6%	32.3%	62.2%	47.4%	34.2%
Neither increase or decrease	26.7%	26.7%	25.9%	37.6%	26.2%	24.4%	35.5%	25.8%	25.5%	34.7%	27.7%	23.9%	38.4%	22.7%	27.9%	32.4%
Decrease	10.1%	12.1%	7.6%	10.3%	11.5%	9.0%	8.8%	13.1%	8.9%	9.5%	10.6%	9.6%	10.2%	6.0%	12.3%	8.0%
Somewhat decrease	6.6%	8.4%	4.3%	6.0%	7.9%	5.7%	4.9%	8.1%	5.7%	7.4%	6.9%	6.5%	5.0%	3.8%	8.0%	5.1%
Greatly decrease/Eliminate	3.5%	3.6%	3.3%	4.4%	3.6%	3.3%	3.9%	5.0%	3.2%	2.1%	3.6%	3.2%	5.2%	2.2%	4.3%	2.8%
Can't say	12.4%	12.7%	10.7%	30.3%	12.1%	8.5%	25.4%	9.5%	10.1%	30.4%	11.9%	11.8%	19.1%	9.1%	12.4%	25.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Coal power usage	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Greatly increase	8.5%	8.5%	9.1%	0.0%	8.8%	10.0%	2.9%	9.7%	8.7%	5.0%	8.8%	8.5%	6.5%	14.9%	6.1%	2.9%
	Somewhat increase	14.2%	11.2%	18.4%	7.2%	12.7%	17.2%	9.8%	15.8%	14.0%	11.6%	12.5%	16.6%	8.5%	23.3%	10.4%	9.5%
	Increase	22.7%	19.7%	27.6%	7.2%	21.4%	27.2%	12.6%	25.4%	22.7%	16.5%	21.3%	25.2%	15.0%	38.3%	16.5%	12.4%
	Neither increase or decrease	26.2%	21.2%	30.5%	50.6%	19.9%	29.9%	34.6%	21.9%	26.6%	33.3%	21.5%	29.4%	33.0%	28.3%	24.0%	36.5%
	Decrease	41.6%	50.2%	31.7%	30.4%	53.0%	33.7%	29.7%	47.0%	41.7%	29.3%	48.9%	36.3%	31.9%	26.7%	50.1%	29.5%
	Somewhat decrease	19.2%	21.8%	16.2%	17.8%	23.9%	15.8%	14.9%	23.0%	17.8%	18.3%	21.6%	16.9%	20.4%	12.7%	22.2%	20.4%
	Greatly decrease/Eliminate	22.3%	28.4%	15.5%	12.7%	29.1%	17.8%	14.7%	24.0%	23.9%	11.0%	27.3%	19.4%	11.5%	14.0%	27.9%	9.0%
	Can't say	9.6%	8.9%	10.2%	11.8%	5.7%	9.2%	23.1%	5.7%	9.0%	20.9%	8.3%	9.1%	20.1%	6.8%	9.5%	21.7%
Is all/part of your household supplied by solar power?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes	13.2%	16.8%	8.5%	16.5%	17.4%	10.0%	9.8%	20.9%	10.1%	12.1%	17.0%	10.0%	11.1%	16.5%	10.8%	20.2%
	No	86.8%	83.2%	91.5%	83.5%	82.6%	90.0%	90.2%	79.1%	89.9%	87.9%	83.0%	90.0%	88.9%	83.5%	89.2%	79.8%
In the past 12 months, have you encountered a change in your employment status?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes, positive	12.9%	17.4%	7.4%	11.9%	17.4%	9.8%	8.3%	26.1%	8.0%	8.8%	19.4%	7.7%	7.5%	17.9%	10.4%	14.1%
	Yes, negative	19.9%	18.6%	20.9%	26.4%	16.7%	22.5%	21.9%	16.2%	22.3%	15.6%	17.3%	22.2%	20.2%	18.2%	20.7%	19.3%
	No, no change	67.2%	64.0%	71.7%	61.7%	66.0%	67.7%	69.9%	57.7%	69.7%	75.6%	63.3%	70.1%	72.3%	63.8%	68.9%	66.6%
Are you currently seeking a job/new position?	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes	32.3%	34.2%	28.6%	48.4%	29.7%	31.3%	43.3%	33.2%	31.2%	35.1%	32.9%	30.5%	39.2%	32.7%	31.0%	40.6%
	No	67.7%	65.8%	71.4%	51.6%	70.3%	68.7%	56.7%	66.8%	68.8%	64.9%	67.1%	69.5%	60.8%	67.3%	69.0%	59.4%

CBRT 2013 Issue Survey Series: Energy

Fielding Dates: 04/26/13-04/29/13

Margin of error = +/- 3.5%

		Obama Fave			Brown Fave			CA Leg Fave			Dem Pty Fave			Rep Pty Fave			
Data weighted by party, gender, age, ethnicity, education, and geography		Total	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK	Fav	Unfav	DK
Marital status	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Married	43.2%	41.3%	45.0%	49.7%	41.5%	48.6%	31.5%	37.6%	47.8%	32.2%	40.4%	45.9%	42.5%	51.3%	40.4%	34.2%
	Single	32.7%	34.8%	29.8%	37.6%	31.8%	27.1%	53.3%	39.2%	26.5%	49.3%	35.4%	28.5%	43.8%	26.3%	34.0%	48.2%
	Divorced/Separated	13.8%	14.3%	13.8%	5.2%	15.6%	13.5%	8.9%	13.6%	14.0%	12.9%	15.2%	13.8%	5.3%	11.6%	15.8%	5.2%
	Widowed	6.3%	5.1%	8.3%	0.0%	5.5%	8.4%	2.8%	5.1%	7.7%	2.4%	4.0%	8.7%	5.3%	8.0%	5.5%	7.2%
	Domestic Partner	4.0%	4.5%	3.1%	7.4%	5.6%	2.5%	3.4%	4.4%	3.9%	3.1%	5.0%	3.2%	3.2%	2.8%	4.4%	5.2%
Children	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes	55.9%	52.7%	60.5%	48.0%	55.7%	59.9%	44.4%	51.6%	59.8%	45.9%	51.0%	59.3%	62.8%	65.9%	51.6%	52.3%
	No	44.1%	47.3%	39.5%	52.0%	44.3%	40.1%	55.6%	48.4%	40.2%	54.1%	49.0%	40.7%	37.2%	34.1%	48.4%	47.7%
Political ideology	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Very conservative	13.0%	6.7%	21.8%	0.0%	8.5%	20.1%	5.4%	11.6%	14.5%	8.5%	8.3%	18.3%	7.2%	29.1%	6.6%	2.8%
	Somewhat conservative	25.8%	15.9%	38.3%	25.3%	17.8%	36.9%	17.2%	19.5%	30.9%	14.5%	13.6%	39.1%	13.9%	41.9%	19.7%	13.2%
	Somewhat liberal	22.0%	30.9%	11.1%	20.8%	29.5%	14.4%	21.9%	24.1%	20.7%	23.8%	32.2%	13.8%	14.8%	9.7%	28.2%	19.0%
	Very liberal	11.5%	18.6%	3.4%	1.8%	18.3%	6.0%	6.8%	18.0%	8.7%	11.3%	19.6%	5.0%	5.3%	2.6%	16.2%	7.8%
	Moderate/Independent	21.0%	23.2%	18.0%	24.5%	21.3%	16.8%	33.2%	21.0%	19.3%	29.7%	21.4%	17.1%	43.4%	12.6%	23.1%	37.4%
	Libertarian	2.8%	1.7%	4.1%	2.6%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.3%	3.0%	2.6%	1.8%	4.0%	1.1%	1.5%	3.4%	2.3%
	Other	3.8%	3.0%	3.2%	25.1%	1.8%	2.9%	12.9%	3.4%	2.8%	9.4%	3.1%	2.7%	14.4%	2.5%	2.7%	17.4%
Vote history	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Vote straight Dem	21.8%	36.3%	4.9%	9.4%	34.4%	7.8%	25.4%	36.0%	13.3%	33.5%	42.7%	3.6%	15.5%	7.2%	28.9%	21.3%
	Vote mostly Dem	22.2%	34.9%	7.1%	14.7%	32.7%	13.1%	17.4%	30.7%	19.2%	18.8%	34.3%	11.7%	18.2%	6.8%	29.8%	20.3%
	Few more Dem than Rep	7.5%	9.2%	5.5%	6.9%	7.5%	7.6%	7.5%	7.4%	8.1%	4.9%	9.4%	6.3%	4.6%	2.1%	10.7%	2.9%
	Vote evenly	12.1%	10.1%	13.0%	31.0%	9.6%	11.9%	20.5%	8.7%	12.1%	19.2%	7.0%	12.9%	36.6%	10.4%	10.8%	29.7%
	Few more Rep than Dem	8.5%	2.9%	15.3%	11.9%	4.6%	13.3%	6.3%	5.3%	10.4%	6.6%	2.2%	15.6%	1.1%	15.7%	5.7%	4.1%
	Vote mostly Rep	14.5%	3.7%	28.6%	7.2%	5.5%	25.1%	10.5%	5.6%	20.3%	5.7%	2.1%	27.1%	8.1%	32.0%	7.3%	6.0%
	Vote straight Rep	7.9%	0.8%	16.3%	12.2%	2.5%	14.4%	4.7%	3.1%	10.7%	4.2%	0.9%	14.9%	4.4%	21.4%	2.0%	3.0%
	Vote Third Party	5.4%	2.1%	9.3%	6.6%	3.3%	6.8%	7.6%	3.3%	5.9%	7.1%	1.4%	8.1%	11.4%	4.5%	4.9%	12.6%
Union	Sample Size	825	442	356	27	359	352	113	220	502	102	366	395	64	245	517	62
	Yes	18.8%	23.8%	13.4%	8.1%	22.4%	17.5%	11.5%	23.3%	18.4%	11.0%	21.3%	18.3%	7.6%	20.0%	18.9%	13.9%
	No	81.2%	76.2%	86.6%	91.9%	77.6%	82.5%	88.5%	76.7%	81.6%	89.0%	78.7%	81.7%	92.4%	80.0%	81.1%	86.1%